

IV. Stylistics

369. In discussing word order we have touched on much that could be considered to fall within the province of stylistics. No clear boundary can be drawn. Style depends to a large extent, after all, on the ordered arrangement of words. For practical purposes, however, it was convenient to undertake a concentrated, separate treatment of word order. Now we may move on to other aspects of style as manifested in the *Gāthās* and *YH*.

As noted in §313, these are highly stylized texts. Much of the discussion will concern the occurrence of various figures of speech and other formal devices. But it will be appropriate to begin with a general characterization.

Zarathushtra's poetry in the *Gāthās* is impassioned and forthright, much of it explicitly directed at a succession of divine or human addressees, with a mixture of prayers, statements, questions, wishes, demands, and exhortations. The verse is structured in stanzas of three, four, or five lines; the stanzas are mostly self-contained in sense, comprising one or more sentences, but occasionally a complex sentence is laid out on a larger plan, with a syntactically parallel element placed at corresponding places in two or three successive stanzas. Sentences may be brief and concise, but often they are elaborate and syntactically dense.¹ Zarathushtra draws freely on the elevated traditional language of cult poetry, as shown by the frequency of Vedic parallels, but his own mode of thought is reflected in the abundant use of abstract nouns with varying degrees of personification (§26). He does not refrain from what may have been coarse expressions of denigration such as 48. 10 *mūṭrām ahiiā madahiiā*, 'the piss of this liquor'; 51. 12 *vaēpiiō Kāuuinō*, 'the Kavi catamite'. His imagery is drawn from the world around him, his commonest images being those of the house and the path that leads to it: the house of Good Thought (30. 10; 32. 15; 44. 9), of Worst Thought (32. 13), of Wrong (46. 6, 11; 49. 11; 51. 14), of Lord Mazdā (49. 10), of song (45. 8; 50. 4; 51. 15); the path or paths of Good Thought (33. 5; 34. 12 f.; 51. 16), of enhancement (43. 3), of enlightenment (46. 4), of enablement (50. 4); of the path for the soul to follow (44. 8). Another desirable destination is the 'pasture' of Right and Good Thought (33. 3). Poetic composition in praise of a divinity is associated with speeding horses or a racing chariot (29. 8(?); 30. 10; 50. 6 f.). This is inherited imagery,² but that does not seem to be the case with the conception of one's life's course as

1 Cf. §§7 and 46. Hintze (1997), 59, contrasts the more sophisticated style of the *Gāthās* with the simpler constructions of the *Yāsts*.

2 West (2007a), 41–3.

being like a racecourse, with a ‘last bend’ and a finish line (43. 5 f.; 48. 2; 51. 6; cf. 49. 9).

YH is a formal text composed to be spoken by a priest before a congregation of worshippers and on their behalf, enunciating their convictions and aspirations. Its style is elevated, hieratic. Typical of it is the quasi-legal use of comprehensive polar expressions of the ‘both X and non-X’ type, to cover all eventualities, and of clusters of two or three near-synonyms to exclude any equivocation, as in 36. 4–5 *pairi.jasāmaidē nēmaxiiāmāhī išūidiiāmāhī ōβā*, ‘we attend thee, we revere thee, we thank thee’; 37. 3 *ašāunam frauuašiš naramcā nāirinamcā*, ‘the fravashis of the righteous, both men and women’, cf. 39. 2, 3; 41. 2; 41. 1 *stūtō, garō, vahmōng Ahurāi Mazdāi Ašāicā vahištāi dadamahicā ciš-mahicā ācā vaēdaiiamahī*, ‘praises, songs, laudations to Lord Mazdā and best Right we dedicate and assign and proclaim’; 41. 4 *hanaēmācā zaēmācā*, ‘may we earn and win’.

Economy of Expression³

370. When the same verb is to be understood in two successive clauses, it is regularly omitted in one of them, more often in the second than the first.

Verb omitted in the second clause: 30. 7 *aṭ kəhrpōm utaiiūitiš dadāt, ārmaitiš qnmā*, ‘then vitality informs the body, piety the soul’, cf. 33. 12; 31. 11 *hiiat astuuantəm dadā uštanəm, hiiat šīiaoṇnācā sōnghaścā*, ‘since thou gavest bodily vitality, since (thou gavest) actions and pronouncements’; 31. 14 *yā išudō dadontē dāṅranam hacā ašāunō yāścā ... drəguuōdəbiiō*, ‘what requitals will be given of gifts from the righteous one and what (of gifts) from the wrongful’; 32. 1 *axiiācā x’aētus yāsat, ahiiā vərəzənəm maṭ airiāmānā, ahiiā daēuuā mahmī manōi, Ahurahiīā uruuāzōmā Mazdā*, ‘suppose for his the clan prays, for his the village with the tribe, for his the Daevas, in my fancy, for Lord Mazdā’s gladdening’; 32. 5 *tā dəbənaotā mašim hujiiātōiš ... hiiat vā akā manəḡhā yōḡ daēuuōḡ Akascā Mainiiuš*, ‘so you lure the mortal from good living, as the Evil Will does you who are Daevas, by evil thought’; 32. 9 *dušsastiš srauuā mōrəndat, huuō jiiātəuš sōḡghanāiš xratūm*, ‘the false teacher perverts good repute, he (perverts) life’s reason with his pronouncements’; 34. 8 *tāiš zī nā šīiaoṇnāiš biiantī ... hiiat aš.aojā nāidiiāḡhəm*, ‘for they intimidate us by those actions, as a strong man does a weaker one’; 34. 15 *aṭ mōi vahištā ... vaocā, tā tū vohū manəḡhā*, ‘tell me the best things, just those things do thou (tell) with Good Thought’; 43. 14 *hiiat nā friiāi vaēdəmnō isuuā daidit, maibiiō, Mazdā*, ‘what a man of means, possessing it himself, would offer a friend, (give) to me, Mazdā’; 44. 4 *kas.nā dərətā zaṃcā adē nabāścā auuapastōiš? kē apō uruuārāścā?* ‘who held the earth from beneath and the heavens from falling down? Who the waters and plants?’; 47. 4 *kasəušcīt nā ašāunē kādē anḡhat; isuuācīt ḡas paraoš*

3 Cf. Humbach i. 105 f.; Skjærvø 171 f.

akō drəguuāitē, ‘even the poor man may be kind to the righteous one; even the man of much means, malign towards the wrongful’; 50. 10 *aṭ yā varəšā yācā pairī āiš šīiaodnā*, ‘whatever things I do and whatever (I have done) before’.

The place of the omitted verb may be taken by *iṭā* or *aṭā*: 32. 6 *pourū aēnā ḡnāxštā yāiš srauahiieitī, yezī tāiš aṭā*, ‘the many offences against peace by which he seeks renown, if by those actions (he is doing) so’; 47. 4, quoted in §133.

371. Verb omitted in the first clause: 43. 1 *uštā ahmāi, yahmāi uštā kahmāicīṭ, vasā.xšaiiṭs Mazdā dāiiāt Ahurō*, ‘his wishes to him, to whomsoever (he grants) wishes, may Lord Mazdā, ruling at will, grant’; 43. 8 *haiṭiiō duuaēšā hiiāt isōiīā drəguuāitē, aṭ ašāunē rafnō xīiēm aojōḡhuuat*, ‘may I be in reality, as I would wish, a bane to the wrongful one, but to the righteous a strong support’; 46. 1, quoted in §373; 46. 9 *yā tōi Ašā, yā Ašāi ḡōuš tašā mraoṭ*, ‘what Right (said) to thee, what the maker of the cow said to Right’; 46. 18 *yā maibiiā yaoš, ahmāi ascīṭ vahištā ... cōišəm*, ‘whoever (confers) weal on me, on him for my part I confer the best’ (and then in 19 *ahmāi ... ḡāuuā azī*, ‘on him (I confer) two milch cows’); 48. 6, quoted in §390; 51. 4 *kuṭrā ārōiš ā fsəratuš, kuṭrā mərəzdi-kā ā xštāt?* ‘where does respect(?) instead of harm, where does mercy appear?’ (and then a series of further ‘where?’ clauses with the same verb understood); *YH* 40. 4 quoted in §389.

372. On the same principle, a noun may be understood from the clause preceding: 48. 8 *kā tōi vaḡhəuš ... xšəṭrahiīā ištīš? kā tōi ašōiš ṭβaxīiā maibiiō, Ahurā? kā ṭβōi, Ašā ... ?* ‘what (is) the potency of thy good dominion? What (that) of thy reward for me, Lord? What thy (potency), Right?’; 49. 12 *kaṭ tōi ašā zbaiieṭē avanḡō Zaraṭuštrāi? kaṭ tōi vohū manajhā?* ‘what hast thou of help for him who invokes thee with right, for Zarathushtra? What hast thou (for him who invokes thee) with good thought?’

373. Other places where a noun or pronoun is understood from the context: 28. 11 *yā āiš ašəm ni.pəḡhē manascā vohū yauuaētāitē, tuuōm, Mazdā Ahurā, frō mā sīšā ṭβahmāt vaocajhē mainiiəuš hacā, ṭβā āəḡḡhā*, ‘thou who dost by them (= hymns) protect thy right and good thought for ever, teach me, Lord Mazdā, to voice (them) in line with thy will, through thy mouth’; 43. 3 *ahiiā aḡhəuš astuuatō manajhascā*, ‘in this material existence and (that) of thought’; 46. 1 *kaḡm nəmōi zaḡm, kuṭrā nəmōi aiiēnī? pairī xʷaētəuš airiiamnascā dadaitī*, ‘what land for refuge, where am I to go for refuge? They set (me) apart from clan and tribe’; 49. 2 *ṭkaēšō drəguuā daibitā ašāṭ rərəšō*, ‘the wrongful teacher who deceitfully diverts (people) from right’. In 45. 1 *nū īm vīspā, ciṭrē zī, mazdāḡhōdūm*, ‘now all take it to heart, for it is clear’, the masc. pronoun *īm* and adjective *ciṭrē* have no explicit reference, and we must perhaps understand *maṭram*.

For relative clauses where the head has to be understood see §227.

374. Nominal sentences (§§8–9, 12) are such a natural and traditional form of utterance that the absence of copula in them can hardly be classed as economy of expression: rather its presence, at any rate in the 3rd person present indicative, would appear as pleonastic. One or two examples, however, stand out as genuinely brachylogical, notably 31. 5 *yehiiā mā ərəšiš*, ‘the one whose prophet (I am)’; 29. 7 *kas.tē, vohū managhā yē ...?* ‘whom hast thou, who by good thought ...?’

In 29. 11 *nū nā auuarē*, ‘now (come) down to us’, an imperative verb is to be understood.

Pleonasm

375. Much rarer than economy of expression is the superfluous repetition of a word in a second clause, as in 30. 5 *aiiā mainiuuā vətātā yē drəguuā acištā vərəziio, ašəm mainiuš spōništō*, ‘of those two Wills, the wrongful one chooses the worst things to do, but the bounteous Will (chooses) Right’; 31. 9 *ūβōi as ārmaitiš, ūβō ā gəuš tašā as xratuš mainiiōuš*, ‘thine was piety, thine was the cow-fashioner sapience of will’; and the three passages quoted in §235.

In 33. 6 *mainiiōuš ā vahištāt kaiiā ahmāt, auuā managhā yā vərəziieidiiā mantā vāstriiā, tā tōi iziiā ... darštōišcā hōm.parštōišcā*, ‘from this my best will I desire, with that mind by which one takes it in mind to do pastoral works, I long to see and confer with thee’, we have not only a second demonstrative pronoun as correlative where one has already been provided, but also a second main verb repeating the sense of the first.

In 43. 4 the conjunction *hiiat* ‘when’ is repeated after the intervention of a relative clause has created excessive distance between the first one and the verb it governs: *hiiat tā zastā, yā tū hafšī auuā yā dā ašīš drəguuātē ašāunaēcā ...*, *hiiat mōi vaḡhōuš hazō jimaḡ managhō*, ‘when by that hand in which thou holdest those rewards that thou didst set for the wrongful one and the righteous ...²when the force of good thought comes to me’.

Understatement (Litotes)

376. A particular effect may be achieved by using, instead of a forceful positive statement, a negation of its opposite, as in 46. 1 *nōit mā xšnāuš, yā vərəzēnā hēcā*, ‘the communities I consort with do not please me’, i.e. they displease me; more clearly so in 51. 12 *nōit tā īm xšnāuš vaēpiio Kauinō pətātā zimō ... hiiat ahmī urūraošt aštō*, ‘the Kavi catamite did not please him thereby at the crossing in the winter, that the emissary had barred his way at it’; 46. 6 *nōit nā isəmnō*, ‘a man not wanted’. Similarly perhaps (though the degree of positive

emphasis is hard to gauge) 28. 10 *asūnā* ‘not vain’; 29. 3 *Ašā, nōit sarajā, a-duuaēšō gauuōi*, ‘Right, no breacher of unity, unhostile to the cow’.

With double negative: 43. 12 *aṭ tū mōi nōit asruštā pairiiaoyžā*, ‘thou givest me advice (that will be) not unheeded’.

Rhetorical Questions

377. Of the many interrogative sentences in the *Gāthās* (§9), some appear in dialogue contexts, in the mouths of others than Zarathushtra, and receive answers: 29. 1, 2, 5, 7; 43. 7, 9. A much larger number are addressed by the prophet to Mazdā. To these too an answer may occasionally be forthcoming (34. 5; 44. 12; cf. 31. 6), but usually it is not, and we are to understand that Zarathushtra does not know what the answer is: he is using the question form to express his actual wonderment, doubt, or despair. Such questions are not put in the expectation of an answer but as a rhetorical tactic.

In one place at least we find a ‘rhetorical question’ in the accepted sense of the term, that is, a question to which the answer is meant to be obvious: 44. 20 *ciṭṭanā, Mazdā, huxšadrā daēuuā aṅharō—aṭ iṭ pərəsā—yōi pišīieṅtī aēibiū kəm, yāiš gəm Karpā Usixscā aēšmāi dātā, yācā Kauuā qnmōnē urūdōiiatā?* ‘what, Mazdā, has the Daevas’ dominion been good—that is what I ask—they that blaspheme(?) for the sake of those with whom the Karpan and the Usij subject the cow to violence and (to all the ills) that the Kavi makes her lament to her soul?’

Parenthesis

378. Zarathushtra sometimes interrupts his sentences by the parenthetical insertion of shorter ones that are syntactically quite separate. Mostly they are introduced with asyndeton, but in a few cases their explanatory nature is indicated by the particle *zī* ‘for’, and in one case there is a connecting relative pronoun to mark continuity of grammatical subject.

Some of these insertions are very short, occupying less than a verse, as in: 44. 1 *taṭ ṽβā pərəsā—ərəš mōi vaocā, Ahurā— | nəmajhō ā*, ‘this I ask thee—tell me straight, Lord—out of reverence’; 44. 16 *kā varəṭrəm.jā ṽβā pōi sēṅghā, yōi hēntī— | ciṭrā mōi daṃ—ahūm.biš?* ‘who is the victorious one to protect with thy law (all) who exist—let me be given clarity—the world-healer?’; 44. 20, quoted in §377; 45. 1, quoted in §373; 48. 2 *vaocā mōi ā—tuuēm vīduuā, Ahurā— | parā hīiaṭ mā yāmōṅg pərəṭā jimaitī*, ‘tell me—thou (art) the knowing one, Lord—before the end of the course approaches me’; 48. 5 *huxšadrā xšēntəm—mā nē dušxšadrā xšēntā— | vaṅhūiā cistōiš śīaoṭnāiš*, ‘let good rulers rule—do not let bad rulers rule us—with enactments of good insight’; 49. 8

Frašaoštrāi ... Ašahiīā dā | sarēm—taṭ ʔβā, Mazdā, yāsā, Ahurā— | maibiiācā,
‘to Frashaushtra grant union with Right—this I pray thee, Lord Mazdā—and to me’.

Others occupy a whole verse:

43. 11 *hiiaṭ xšmā uxδāiš dīdaijḥē paouruuīm | —sadrā mōi sṣ mašīiaēšū*
zrazdāitiš— | taṭ vərəziieidiīā, hiiaṭ mōi mraotā vahištəm, ‘as I am learning by
your utterances primarily—trust in mortals reveals itself to me as grief—to do
that which you tell me is best’.

44. 10 *təm daēnəm, yā hātəm vahištā | —yā mōi gaēḏā Ašā frādōiṭ hacēmna—*
| ārmatōiš uxδāiš šīiaodnā ərəš daidiiaṭ? ‘that religion which is the best in exis-
tence—may it promote my flock in union with Right—do they with pious
words and deeds conceive it aright?’

49. 9 *sraotū sāsna fšōjḥiīō suiīē taštō | —nōiṭ ərəšuuacā sarēm didaṣ*
drəguuātā— | hiiaṭ daēnā vahištē yūjēn mīzdē ... Dājānāspā, ‘let the cultivator
hear the teachings, made as he is to be strong—the straight speaker does not
preach union with the wrongful one—since they yoke their moral selves for
the best reward, those Djamaaspas’.

An especially long parenthesis appears in 45. 7–8:

yehiīā sauuā išānti rādayhō
yōi zī juuā āḡharacā buuanṭicā—
aməratāiṭi ašāunō uruuā aēšō
utaiiūtā, yā nərəš sādṛā drəguuatō;
tācā xšaḏṛā Mazdā dāmiš Ahurō— ||
tēm nā staotāiš nəmaḡhō ā viiuarəšō
nū zī iṭ cašmainī vī.adarəšəm.

The Caring One whose strengthening all may set in train,
those living, and who have been, and who will come to be—
the righteous man’s soul is active in continued life
and in vitality, which is vexation to the men of Wrong;
of those realms too the Lord Mazdā is the creator—
him, seeking to envelop him in our reverent praises,
I have just now discerned in my eye.

In two passages we find two parentheses in the same sentence:

43. 10 *aṭ tū mōi dāiš Ašəm, hiiaṭ mā zaozomī—*
Ārmatī hacimnō iṭ ā arəm—
pərəsacā nā, yā tōi ḡhmā parštā
(parštəm zī ʔβā yaḏənā taṭ †əmauuantəm),
hiiaṭ ʔβā xšaiṭəs aēšəm diiāt əmauuantəm.

Show me thou Right, that one I constantly invoke—
in company with Piety I have started towards it—
and ask us what thou hast to ask us
(for the question asked by thee is like that of the †strong),
so that one might be enabled to make thee potent and strong.

51. 8–9 *aṭ zī tōi vaxšiiā, Mazdā—vīdušē zī nā mruiiāt—
 hiiat akōi ā drəguuāitē, uštā yō ašəm dādrē
 (huuō zī maḍrā šiiātō, yō vīdušē mrauuiāt) ||
 yaṃ xšnūtəm rānōibiiā dā θβā ādrā suxrā, Mazdā.*

For I will tell Thee, Mazdā— a man would be speaking to one who knows—
 that amid ill for the wrongful one, but in bliss for him who has embraced Right
 (happy that prophet who speaks to one who knows!)
 (is) the atonement that thou didst set for the two parties through thy flaming fire,
 Mazdā.

Figures

Conjunction of contrary terms

379. Contrary or complementary terms are sometimes coupled together to make an emphatic expression of the totality that they embrace. This is known as polar expression; the pairings are sometimes called merisms. Examples: 45. 1 *yaēcā asnāt yaēcā dūrāt išaθā*, ‘you who come eagerly from near and far’; 45. 9 *pasūš vīrōng*, ‘herds and men’, cf. 31. 15; 46. 10 *nā gənā vā*, ‘man or woman’, cf. YH 35. 6; 39. 2; 41. 2.

In 29. 4 *yā zī vāuuəzōi pairī ciθīt daēuuāišcā mašiiāišcā yācā varəšaitē aipī ciθīt*, ‘things that have been done in the past by Daevas and mortals and things that may be done in the future’, we have one merism inside another: ‘past and future’, = at any time ever, and ‘Daevas and mortals’, = anyone at all. Both are paralleled elsewhere: for past, (present,) future cf. the passages quoted in §156; for Daevas and mortals, 45. 11 *daēuuōng ... mašiiāscā*; 48. 1 *daēuuāišcā mašiiāišcā*.⁴

380. The pairing of male and female for the sake of comprehensiveness may also be expressed through gendered pronouns or adjectives; see YH 39. 3 quoted in §37.

381. A particular type of merism paralleled in Vedic, Greek, and elsewhere⁵ is that of ‘X and non-X’, where the prefix *a(n)*- effects the negation. There are several examples in the quasi-legal stipulations of YH: 35. 2 *iīadacā aniiadacā*, ‘here and elsewhere’;⁶ 35. 4 *srumuuatascā asrumuuatascā xšaiiantascā axšaiiantascā*, ‘hearers and non-hearers, rulers and non-rulers’. Cf. §11.

4 The latter phrase corresponds to Vedic *devāśas ca mārtiyāśas ca* (RV 6. 15. 8), which still has the older, more comprehensive sense of ‘gods and mortals’. Cf. West (2007a), 100.

5 West (2007a), 101 f.

6 The oddly spelled *iīadā* is an artificial back-formation from *aniiadā* ‘elsewhere’ (< *aniia*- ‘other’), as if it were formed with the negative *an*-.

With *nōiṭ*: 31. 5 *tāciṭ* ... *yā nōiṭ vā aṅhaṭ aṅhaiṭi vā*, ‘those things that will not be, or will be’.

382. In other passages opposed terms are linked with *cā* or *vā* to signify, not the totalities that they define, but critical alternatives: 30. 4 *dazdē gaēmca ajiīāi-tīmca*, ‘a man adopts life and (= or) non-life’; 30. 11 *xʷiticā ēnəiṭi*, ‘through success and (= or) failure’; 31. 12 *aḍrā vācam baraiṭi miṭah.uuacā vā əras.uuacā vā, viḍuuā vā əuuīduuā vā*, ‘there speaks forth one of false words or one of straight words, a knowing one or an unknowing one’; 45. 9 *yā nā usən cōraṭ spēṅcā aspēṅcā*, ‘who makes at will (our) fortune and misfortune’; 46. 17 *yā vī.cinaoṭ dāṭəmcā adāṭəmcā*, ‘who discriminates between the unjust and the just man’, cf. 46. 15; 48. 4 *yā dāṭ manō vahiiō ... ašiiascā*, ‘he who sets in place better thought or worse’.

383. A single term may be emphasized by adding the negation of its opposite: ‘X, not non-X’, or ‘X, not Y’.⁷ So 30. 3 *āscā hudāṅhō əraš vī.šiiātā, nōiṭ duždāṅhō*, ‘and between them well-doers discriminate rightly, (but) not ill-doers’; 31. 10 *frauuarətā vāstrīm ... nōiṭ ... auuāstriiō ... humərətōiš baxštā*, ‘she chose the herdsman ... the non-herdsman did not get her goodwill’; 31. 17 *viḍuuā viḍuše mraotū, mā əviḍuuā aipī dābāuuaiiat*, ‘let the knowing one speak to the knowing, let the unknowing delude no longer’; 44. 12 *huuō, nōiṭ aiiēm, aṅgrō mainiētē*, ‘he it is, not the other, who thinks as an enemy’; 46. 8 *yā im hujiiātōiš pāiāt, nōiṭ dužiiātōiš*, ‘may they keep him from good living, not from bad living’; 46. 17 *yaṭā vā ašmānī sēṅghānī, nōiṭ anafšmṅm*, ‘so I may proclaim for you verses, not non-verses’ (i.e. nothing less than verses); 47. 4 *ahmāt mainiūš rārəšiiēiṅṭi drəguuanṭō ...; nōiṭ iṭā ašauuanō*, ‘from this Will the wrongful deflect people ...; not so the righteous’; 48. 5 *huxšadrā xšəṅṭṅm, mā nā dušxšadrā xšəṅṭā*, ‘let good rulers rule, do not let bad rulers rule us’.

384. Other examples of opposed terms set in pointed antithesis: 43. 5 *akām akāi, vaṅḥīm ašim vaṅhaouuē*, ‘evil for the evil one, a good reward for the good’; 46. 5 *yā ašauuā drəguuanṭəm*, ‘a righteous man (receiving) a wrongful one’; 49. 4 *fšuiiasū ašuiianṭō, yaēšṅm nōiṭ huuarštāiš vaš dužuuarštā*, ‘non-stockraisers among stockraisers, through whose not (doing) good deeds the bad deeds prevail’.

Conjunction of related terms⁸

385. A positive, comparative, or superlative term may be reinforced by association with another form of the same word: 43. 3 *vaṅḥūš vahiiō*, ‘better than good’; 51. 6 *vahiiō vaṅḥūš ... akāṭ ašiiō*, ‘better than good ... worse than bad’; YH 36. 2 *uruuāzištahiiā uruuāziā, ṅamištahiiā nəmaṅḥā*, ‘with the most joyous

7 Cf. H. Humbach, *MSS 14* (1959), 23–33; West (2007a), 105.

8 Cf. Humbach i. 98 f.; West (2007a), 111–16.

one's joy, with the most reverent one's reverence'; 36. 6 *sraēstam at tōi kahrpēm kahrpam ... barəzištəm barəzimanam*, 'fairest body of thy bodies ... highest of the high'; 39. 5 *vajhēuš xʷaētəuš xʷaētātā*, 'with a good clan's clan-ship'.

386. A noun or adjective may be used twice in close association in different cases (polyptoton), usually expressing matched or reciprocal relationship: 31. 17 *vīduuā vīdušē mraotū*, 'let the knowing one speak to the knowing one'; 46. 2 *hiiať friiō friiāi daiđīť*, 'as a friend would give to a friend'; 46. 6 *huuō zī drəguuā, yē drəguuāitē vahištō, huuō ašauuā, yahmāi ašauuā friiō*, 'for he is wrongful who is good to the wrongful one; he is righteous, who has a righteous one as friend'; 53. 4 *ašāunī ašāuuabiio*, 'a righteous woman (serving) the righteous'; 46. 18 *qstəng ahmāi yē nā qstāi daiđitā*, 'hostilities on him who would subject us to hostility', cf. *YH* 36. 1; 53. 5 *aniiō ainīm vīuuōnghanū*, 'let one vie with another'; *YH* 35. 10, quoted in §91.

387. Polyptoton may also express accumulation, as in 43. 2 *xʷāθrōi ā nā xʷāθrəm daiđitā*, 'a man might add well-being to well-being for himself'.

388. Further examples of the deliberate association of related terms (paronomasia): 28. 8 *vahištəm θβā, vahištā, yēm ašā vahištā hazaošəm ahurəm, yāsā*, 'for the best gift, O best one, I pray thee, the Lord of one mind with best Right'; 43. 10 *pərasācā nā, yā tōi əhmā parštā, parštəm zī θβā ...*, 'and ask us what thou hast to ask us, for what is asked by thee ...'; 44. 1 *nəmañhō ā, yađā nəmē xšməuuatō*, '(I ask) out of reverence, how (is the proper) reverence of your kind'; 45. 11 *yas.tā daēuuōng aparō mašiiqscā tarəmaštā yōi im tarəmainiian-tā*, 'whoever so follows us in scorning the Daevas and mortals who scorn him'; *YH* 38. 3 *frauazañhō Ahurānīs Ahurahiī hāuuapañhā*, 'the Lord's Wives that speed on by the Lord's artistry'.

Anaphora⁹

389. Anaphora, the repetition of a word (with or without morphological variation) in successive parallel clauses or phrases, is very common. The repetition is usually twofold or threefold, but can be more. The repeated element is usually initial in the clause or phrase.

Examples: 28. 6–7, quoted in §343; 28. 11 *θβahmāt vaocañhē mainiīəuš hacā, θβā əəəñhā*, 'to voice in line with thy will, through thy mouth'; 31. 9 *θβōi as ārmaitiš, θβā ā gəuš tašā as xratus mainiīəuš*, 'thine was piety, thine was the cow-fashioner sapience of will' (note the repetition of *as*, contrary to §370); 32. 5 *hiiať vā akā manañhā yōng daēuuōng akāqscā mainiīuš, akā šīiaoθnəm vacañhā yā ...*, 'as by evil thought the Evil Will also (lures) you who are Daevas, (and) by the evil speech with which ...'; 45. 1 *nū gūšōdūm, nū sraotā ... nū im vīspā*,

9 Skjærvø 147–9.

ciḍrā zī, mazdāḡhōdūm, ‘now listen, now hear, now all take it to heart, for it is clear’; 46. 11 *yōng x^vā uruuā x^vaēcā xraodaḡ daēnā*, ‘whom their own soul and their own moral self will torment’; 49. 7 *taḡcā vohū, Mazdā, sraotū manāḡhā, sraotū ašā*, ‘let a man hear this too with Good Thought, Mazdā, let him hear it with Right’.

YH 35. 8 *Ašahiiā āaḡ sairī, Ašahiiā vərəzēnē*, ‘in union with Right, in the community of Right’; 36. 4, quoted in §394; 36. 5 *vīspāiš ṽβā humatāis, vīspāiš hūxtāiš, vīspāiš huuarštāiš pairi.jasāmaidē*, ‘with all good thoughts, with all good words, with all good deeds we attend thee’; 37. 5 *vohucā manō yazamaidē vohucā xšadrəm vaḡ^vhīmcā daēnaḡ vaḡ^vhīmcā fsəratūm vaḡ^vhīmcā ārmatīm*, ‘Good Thought we worship, and good Dominion, and good Morality, and good Respect(?), and good Piety’, cf. 38. 3; 39. 5; 40. 4 *avā x^vaētus, avā vərəzēnā, avā haxēmam xīiāḡ yāiš hišcamaidē, avā vā utā xīiāmā*, ‘so may it be with the clan, so with the communities, so with the societies we associate with, so also may it be with us for you’.

390. Some particular recurrent usages may be listed here separately.

Anaphora with the negative particle: 29. 5 *nōit ərəzəjiōi frajiiāitiš, nōit fšuiientē drəḡuuasū pairī?* ‘is there no prospect for the righteous-living one, none for the stockraiser among the wrongful?’; 44. 13 *yōi ... nōit Ašahiiā ā.dūuiieinṡi hacēnā, nōit frasaiiā Vaḡhēuš cāxnarē Manāḡhō*, ‘who do not strive for the companionship of Right, (and) have not had the pleasure of consulting Good Thought’; 45. 2 *nōit nā manā, nōit sēḡhā, nōit xratauuō, naēdā varēnā, nōit uxḡā, naēdā šīiaodnā, nōit daēnā, nōit uruuḡnō hacaiḡtē*, ‘not our thoughts, not our pronouncements, not our intellects, nor our choices, not our words, nor our deeds, not our moralities, not our souls, are in accord’.

With demonstrative pronouns: 32. 1, quoted in §318; 45. 8–10 *tām nē staotāiš nēmanāḡhō ā vīuuarəšō | nū zī īṡ cašmainī vī.adarəšəm ... || tām nē vohū maḡ manāḡhā ciḡšnušō ... || tām nē yasnāiš ārmatōiš mimayzō*, ‘him I seeking to envelop in our reverent praises have just now discerned in my eye ... seeking to make him, together with Good Thought, pleased with us ... seeking to magnify him with piety’s acts of worship’ (note the parallelism of the three desiderative participles); 48. 6 *hā zī [nē] hušōiḡēmā, hā nē utaiiūtī ḡṡ tēuūšī ... aḡ axīiāi Ašā Mazdā uruuarā vaxšaḡ*, ‘for she it is that gives us easy living, she too vitality and strength ... and for her Mazdā with Right was to grow the plants’; 51. 18 *tām cistīm Dējāmāspō ... vərəntē, taḡ xšadrəm Manāḡhō Vaḡhēuš vīdō*, ‘that insight Djamašpa chooses to find, that realm of Good Thought’; YH 38. 4 *yā vā, vaḡ^vhīš, Ahurō Mazdā nāmaḡ dadāḡ, tāiš vā yazamaidē, tāiš friiḡmahī, tāiš namaxīiāmahī, tāiš išūidiāmahī*, ‘the names that Lord Mazdā gave you, Good Ones, with them we worship you, with them we propitiate, with them we reverence, with them we give thanks’.

Parallel questions with the same or different interrogative words: 29. 1 *kahmāi mā ṽβarōzḡdūm? kē mā tašaḡ?* ‘for whom did you shape me? Who made me?’; 44. 3–7, a long series of questions: note in particular 5 *kē huupā ... ḡṡ*

... *kē huuapā* ... *dāt* ...? ‘what skilful artificer made ... what skilful artificer made ...?’; 48. 8, 9–11; 49. 12.

With two interrogatives in a single sentence: 46. 1 *kam nēmōi zaṃ, kuḍrā nēmōi aiiēnī*? ‘what land for refuge, where am I to go for refuge?’; 49. 7 *kē airiiamā, kē xʷaētus dātāiš aḡhaṭ*, ‘what tribe, what clan will it be by (thy) ordinances?’; 50. 1 *kē mōi pasēuš, kē mōnā ḍrātā vistō*? ‘who has been found as my cattle’s, who as my own protector?’; 51. 4, quoted in §371; 51. 11, quoted in §370.

391. Parallel dependent clauses may be linked in series by anaphora.

Relative clauses: 28. 2–3, see §367;¹⁰ 32. 7 *aēšam aēnaḡham ... yā jōiiā sōḡghaitē, yāiš srāuuī xʷaēnā aiiḡhā, yāēšam tū ... irixtəm ... vaēdištō ahī*, ‘of such offences which are decreed mortal, for which one is tried by the glowing metal, (and) of whose consequences thou art the paramount provider’; 32. 11 *taēcīt mā mōrəḡḡden jiiōtūm, yōi drəḡuuantō mazbīš cikōiterəš ... yōi vahištāt ašāunō ... rārəšiiḡn manḡhō*, ‘those are they who pervert life, the wrongful who with the grandees have distinguished themselves ..., who will divert the righteous from best thought’; 46. 16 *yāḍrā Ašā hacaitē Ārmaitiš, | yāḍrā vaḡh-ōuš manḡhō ištā xšāḍrəm, | yāḍrā Mazdā varədəmḡm šāēitī Ahurō*, ‘to where Piety is together with Right, to where Good Thought’s realm is at one’s disposal, to where Lord Mazdā abides in abundance’.

Temporal clauses: 31. 11 *hiiat nē, Mazdā, paouruuīm gaēḍāscā tašō daēnāscā | ḍβā manḡhā xratūšcā, hiiat astuuantəm dadā uštanəm, | hiiat šiiāoḍnācā sōḡghāscā*, ‘since first, Mazdā, thou didst fashion our living bodies and moral selves with thy thought, and our intellects, since thou gavest bodily vitality, since (thou gavest) actions and pronouncements’.

392. Related to anaphora is the effect produced by the co-ordination of words sharing the same prefix: 46. 2 *mā kamnašsuuā hiiatcā kamnānā ahmī*, ‘from my poverty in herds and because I am poor in men’; 49. 11 *duššāḍrəḡḡng duššiiāoḍnəḡḡng dužuuacaḡhō duždaēnəḡḡng dušmanḡhō*, ‘ill-dominioned, ill-actioned, ill-speaking, ill-moralled, ill-thinking’; YH 35. 2 *humatanḡm hūxtanḡm huuarštanḡm*, ‘of good thoughts, good words, good deeds’; 38. 3 *hupərəḍβāscā vā huuōyžāḍāscā hūšnāḍrāscā*, ‘you of good fording, of good current, of good bathing-pools’; 39. 3 *yauuaējiō yauuaēsuuō*, ‘the ever-living, the ever-blessing’; 40. 3 *nəḡš ... ašāunō ašacinḡhō ... haxmainē ahmaibiiā ahmārafənḡhō*, ‘men, right-doers (and) right-seekers ... for association with us, supporters of us’.

393. Parallel sentences with matching elements: YH 36. 3 *ātarš vōi Mazdā Ahurahiīā ahī, mainiiuš vōi ahiiā spēništō ahī*, ‘truly, the fire of Lord Mazdā art thou; truly, his most bounteous will art thou’.

10 Stanza 4 again begins with a *yā* ‘I who’, appearing to continue the anaphora, but it starts a new sentence and the relative clause depends on a new main verb.

Augmented triads

394. In the section on word order attention was drawn to the principle that shorter elements tend to precede longer ones (§336). A special figure embodying this principle is the ‘augmented triad’, in which a colon is made up of three parallel words or phrases of which the third is the bulkiest.¹¹ Sometimes the three members are linked by anaphora.

Examples: 33. 10 *yā zī aḡharō yāscā həntī yāscā, Mazdā, bauuainṭī*, ‘which have been and which are and which, Mazdā, shall come to be’; 43. 7 *ciš ahī? kahiiā ahī? kaṭā aiiārō daxšārā frasaiiāi dīšā ...?* ‘who art thou? Whose art thou? How mightest thou take a day for questioning?’; 45. 2 *nōiṭ nā manā, nōiṭ sēnḡhā, nōiṭ xratauuō*, ‘not our thoughts, not our pronouncements, not our intellects’; 48. 8, two one-line questions followed by a two-line question; 49. 1 *gaidī mōi, ā mōi rapā, ahiiā Vohū aošō vīdā Manayhā*, ‘come to me, support me, devise his destruction with Good Thought’.

YH 36. 4 *vohū ṭβā manayhā, vohū ṭβā ašā, vaḡhuiiā ṭβā cištōiš šīiaoṭənāišcā vacēbīšcā pairi.jasāmaidē*, ‘with good thought, with good Right, with good insight’s deeds and words we attend thee’; 37. 2 *ahiiā xšaṭrācā mazēnācā hauuapayhāišcā*, ‘through his dominion and greatness and artistries’; 38. 5 *apascā vā azīšcā vā mātərāscā vā ... āuuocāmā*, ‘as the Waters, as the Milch Cows, as the Mothers ... we will invoke you’; 41. 1 *dadmahicā cīšmahicā ā.cā vaēdaiimahī*, ‘we dedicate and assign and proclaim’; 41. 5 *aogəmadaēcā usmahicā vīšāmadaēcā* (4, 4, 5 syllables), ‘we declare ourselves and are willing and stand ready’.

11 This is a pattern widely found in ancient literatures of Indo-European ancestry: West (2007a), 117–19.