

## II. Morphosyntax

### Concord<sup>1</sup>

14. In general the usual Indo-European rules of grammatical concord apply: adjectives and pronouns agree in number, gender, and case with the nouns to which they refer; verbs agree in number with the subject.

15. Neuter plural subjects take a singular verb, reflecting the original nature of the neuter plural as a collective: 29. 4 *sax<sup>v</sup>ārā ... yā zī vāuuarəzōi pairī ciṭīṭ ... yācā varāšaitē aipī ciṭīṭ*, ‘initiatives ... those that have been taken in the past and those that may be taken hereafter’; 31. 14 *tā ūβā pərəsā, ... yā zī ā <aē>itī jānghaticā*, ‘I ask thee about those things that are approaching and will come’; 32. 7 *aēšqam aēnaḥqam ... yā jōiā sānghaitē*, ‘of those offences which are decreed to be matters of life’; 49. 4 *yaēšqam nōiṭ huuarštāiš vaš dužuuarštā*, ‘through whose not doing-good-deeds the ill deeds prevail’; 50. 10 *yācā vohū cašmqam arajat manahā*, ‘and those things that have a claim on the eyes in accord with good thought’.

16. A singular verb may also be found where two or more non-neuter subjects are conceived as a unitary group:<sup>2</sup> 29. 1 *ā mā aēšomō hazascā ramō hišāiā dərəš tauuišcā*, ‘fury and force, cruelty, violence, and aggression hold me bound’; 44. 20 *yāiš qam Karapā Usišcā aēšmāi dātā*, ‘with whom the Karpan and the Usij put the cow to violence’. So in 32. 15 we have *anāiš ā vī.nānāsā yā Karəpōtāscā Kəuuitāscā*, ‘by these activities the Karpanhood and the Kavihood have lost their way’; but in the succeeding sentence they are referred to with a plural verb (as they stand for a multiplicity of priests), and then with a dual pronoun: *auuāiš aibī yəng daintī, ... tōi ābiā bairiāntē*, ‘those whom they implicate in them will be borne away from them both.’

17. In 31. 4 we find the so-called *schema Alcmanicum*, by which a singular subject is followed by a plural verb in anticipation of the addition of a further subject: *yadā Ašəm zəuūim anḥən Mazdāscā Ahurāḥhō*, ‘when Right is (lit. are) to be invoked, and Mazdā and the Lords’.<sup>3</sup>

---

1 Cf. Delbrück iii. 229–54; Brugmann (1925), 148–86; Reichelt §§602–8.

2 Cf. Delbrück iii. 237–40.

3 Cf. RV 1. 32. 13; Schwyzer–Debrunner 612.

**18.** There may be imperfect concord between a subject and something with which it is equated, as in 32. 3 *aṭ yūš daēuuā vīspāḡhō Akāt Manayhō stā ciḍram*, ‘but you Daevas are all spawn from Evil Thought’; 32. 10 *yā acištem vaēnājhē aogadā ḡam ašibiiā huuarēcā*, ‘who declares that the worst thing (neut.) to behold with the eyes is the cow (fem.) and the sun (neut.)’; 33. 13 *yā vā ā bifrā, ... yā vaḡhēuš ašiš manayhō*, ‘those virtues(?) of yours, which (neut. pl.) are the reward (fem. sg.) of good thought’; 43. 1 *tat mōi dā ... rāiiō ašiš*, ‘give me that (neut. sg.) as the rewards (fem. pl.) of munificence’; 43. 11 *sādrā mōi saš mašīiaēšū zrazdāitiš*, ‘trust (fem. sg.) in mortals reveals itself to me as grief (neut. pl.)’. In 51. 14 a plural noun is taken up as a singular in a relative clause: *x<sup>v</sup>āiš šīiaoḍnāišcā sēnghāišcā; yā īš sēnghō apēmēm Drūjō demānē ādāt*, ‘by their actions and teachings; which teaching will consign them at the last to the house of Wrong’.

In 33. 2 a relative clause in the singular, defining a class of person, is taken up by a plural in the main clause: *aṭ yā akēm drəguuāitē ... varāšaitī, vaḡhāu vā cōiḍaitē ašīm, tōi vārāi rādəḡtī*, ‘he that does evil to the wrongful one, or instructs his comrade in goodness, they (= such men) will be prompt to (Mazdā’s) will’.

### Apposition<sup>4</sup>

**19.** One noun may be placed beside another in apposition to give it greater definition: 44. 3 *zəḍā ptā*, ‘father-begetter’; perhaps 31. 9 *ḡβō ā ḡēuš tašā as xratuš mainiiēuš*, ‘thine was the cow-fashioner sapience of will’.<sup>5</sup>

In other examples a longer noun phrase is appended in apposition to expand on the meaning: 28. 7 *təm ašīm, vaḡhēuš āiiaptā manayhō*, ‘that reward, the blessings of good thought’; 31. 6 *yā mōi vīduuā vaocāt haiḍīm, maḡrəm yim hauruuatātō ašahiiā aməḡ<ta>tātascā*, ‘who knows and speaks my truth, the prescript of health, right, and continuing life’; 34. 12–13 *sīšā nā ... paḍō vaḡhēuš x<sup>v</sup>aētəḡ manayhō, tēm aduuānəm, Ahurā, yēm mōi mraoš*, ‘teach us the paths of good thought that are well to travel—that road, Lord, of which thou tellest me’.

**20.** A pronoun may be followed up by a noun or noun phrase in apposition, to make its reference more explicit or simply to add extra predication: 29. 5 *vā ... mō uruuā ḡēušcā*, ‘we two, my soul and the cow’s’; 29. 8 *aēm mōi idā vistō, ... Zaraḍuštrō Spitāmō*, ‘this man here I have found, Zarathushtra Spitama’; 30. 1 *aṭ tā vaxšīiā... yā mazdāḍā ...: staotēcā Ahurāi yesniiēcā Vaḡhēuš Manayhō*, ‘now I will tell those things that you are to bring to the attention ..., praises

4 Cf. Delbrück iii. 195–9.

5 One might alternatively say that *tašā* is being used adjectivally; on this property of *nomina agentis* cf. Wackernagel (1926–8), ii. 53 f.

for the Lord and worship of Good Thought'; 30. 3 *aṭ t̄ā mainiiū, paouruiiē yā yāmā xʷafənā asruuātəm, manahi[cā] vacahicā šiiəoθvanōi hī, vahiiō akəmčā*, 'they are the two Wills, the twins who in the beginning made themselves heard through dreaming, those two thoughts, speeches, actions, the better and the evil'; 32. 1 *axiiācā xʷaētus̄ yāsaṭ, ahiiā vərəzənəm maṭ airiiamnā, ahiiā daēuuā mahmī manōi, Ahurahiiā uruuāzəmā Mazdā*, 'suppose for his the clan prays, for his the village with the tribe, for his the Daevas, in my fancy, for the Lord Mazdā's bliss-giving'; 32. 3 *yūš daēuuā vīspāḡhō*, 'all you Daevas'; 44. 7 *θβā fraxšnī auuāmī, Mazdā, spəṅtā mainiiū vīspanəm dātārəm*, 'I am concerned to promote thee, Mazdā, (thee) the ordainer of all things through thy bounteous will'; 46. 19 *yē mōi ašāṭ haiθīm hacā varəšaitī, Zaratuštrāi, hiiat̄ vasnā frašōtəməm*, 'whoever in accord with Right will make real for me, for Zarathushtra, the utmost splendour of my desiring', cf. 51. 12; 47. 2 *huuō ptā Ašahiia, Mazdā*, 'he is the father of Right, he Mazdā'.

21. 'Both A and B' may be expressed by A-cā B-cā (§§287–9), or more emphatically by the dual pronoun *ubē* 'both' followed by the two singular nouns in apposition: 34. 11 *ubē hauruuāscā ... amərətātāscā*, 'both (fem. things,) health and non-dying'.

## Nouns and Adjectives

22. Morphologically nouns and adjectives belong in a single class. Syntactically they differ in that adjectives mostly occur in apposition or predicatively, and that they align their gender, as a noun does not, with that with which they are in apposition or to which they are predicative.

In some circumstances adjectives may assume the independence of nouns:

23. A masc. adjective (sg. or pl.) is often used in general propositions, standing for any or all persons characterized by a certain quality; it may also denote specific persons. Examples: 30. 7 *aēšəm ... paouruiiō*, 'their first one (leader)'; 30. 11 *drəguuōdəbiiō ... ašauuabiiō*, 'for the wrongful ... for the righteous'; 33. 7 *vahištā*, 'O best ones'; 34. 7 *kuθrā tōi arədrā?* 'where are thy zealous ones?'; 34. 8 *pourubiiō*, 'for many'; 34. 10 *huxratuš*, '(any) wise man'; 43. 15 *pouruš drəguuatō ... vīspəṅg ašāunō*, 'the many wrongful ... all the righteous'; 44. 5 *cazdōḡhuuantəm*, 'the prudent man'; 49. 1 *dušəṛəθrīš*, 'the ill-protected'; 53. 8 *dužuuaršnaḡhō ... vīspāḡhō*, '(the) evil-doers, all of them'. Not to be overlooked is 28. 1 (and *passim*) *Mazdā* 'the Mindful One' as alternative to *Mazdā Ahurā* 'the Mindful Lord' or *Ahurā* 'the Lord'.

In 44. 4 *kē vātāi duuənmaibiiāscā yaogəṭ āsū?* 'who yoked the winds' and the clouds' swift pair?', the masc. dual adjective *āsū*, 'swift ones', stands for 'swift steeds'.

24. A neut. sg. adjective may stand for an absolute quality or indefinite entity: 28. 8 *vahištəm*, ‘the best thing’, cf. 31. 6, 32. 16, 43. 2; 31. 6 *haiḍīm*, ‘a truth’; 31. 19 *vajhāu*, ‘in the good’, cf. 33. 2; 33. 2 *akəm*, ‘evil’, cf. 51. 8; 43. 10 *parštəm*, ‘question’; 44. 2 *aḡhāuš vahištahiiā paouruuīm*, ‘the best existence’s first (beginning)’, cf. 45. 2, 3 (twice).

25. A neut. pl. adjective may stand in a more general sense: 32. 12 *akā*, ‘evil things’; 30. 2 *vahištā*, ‘the best things’, cf. 43. 15, 45. 6; 30. 5 *acištā*, ‘the worst things’; 31. 12 *maēḍā*, ‘uncertainties’, cf. 34. 6; 33. 1 *dātā*, ‘ordinances’; 33. 1 *miḍahiiā*, ‘false deeds’; 33. 6 *vāstriiā*, ‘pastoral works’; 34. 7 *sādrā*, ‘sadnesses’, cf. 43. 11, 45. 7; 43. 12 *nōiṭ asrūštā*, ‘things not unheeded’; 44. 3 *tācīṭ ... aniiācā*, ‘these things and other things’; 44. 16 *ciḍrā*, ‘clarity’; 46. 19 *manē.vistāiš maṭ vīspāiš*, ‘with all spiritual acquisitions’; 49. 4 *huuarštā, dužuiarštā*, ‘good deeds, bad deeds’; 53. 3 *spēništā ārmatōiš hudānū*, ‘piety’s most liberal benefactions’; YH 35. 2 *humatanəm hūxtanəm huuarstanəm*, ‘of things well thought, well spoken, well done’; *ibid. vohunəm*, ‘of good things’.

### Abstracta

26. Zarathushtra’s thought moves in abstract realms, and he makes free use of abstract nouns. He does not hesitate to make them the subject of a sentence, as in 30. 7 *aṭ kəhrpēm utaiiūtiš dadāṭ, ārmaitiš aṇmā*, ‘then vitality informs the body, piety the soul’; 30. 8 *yadā aēšəm kaēnā jamaiṭi aēnaḡham*, ‘when the punishment comes for their offences’. Sometimes the language suggests a degree of personification, as in 29. 1 *ā mā aēšəmō hazascā rəmō hišāiiā dərəš tauuišcā*, ‘fury and force, cruelty, violence, and aggression hold me bound’; 30. 6 *hiiaṭ iš ā dābaomā pərəsəmnōḡ upā jasaṭ*, ‘because delusion comes upon them as they deliberate’; 31. 20 *tēm vā ahūm ... daēnā naēšaṭ*, ‘that is the existence to which your morality will lead you’; 32. 3 *Akāt Manaḡhō stā ciḍrəm ... Drūjascā Pairimatōišcā*, ‘ye are seed (sprung) from Evil thought and from Wrong and Contempt’; 43. 15 *daxšaṭ uxšiiāi tušnāmaitiš vahištā*, ‘silent meditation teaches me the best things to say’; 49. 2 *nōiṭ spəṇṭəm dōrašt ahmāi stōi Ārmatīm, naēdā Vohū ... fraštā Manaḡhā*, ‘he has not embraced bounteous Piety to make her his, nor taken counsel with Good Thought’.

27. The figures of *Ārmaiti*- ‘Piety’, *Vohu- Manah*- ‘Good Thought’, and *Ašəm* ‘Right’ are constantly treated as quasi-divine beings associated with Ahura Mazda. In several passages where Right is directly addressed or treated as a living agent, the neuter *Ašəm* (= Vedic *Rtám*) appears to be given animate status by transfer to the masculine gender, with both nominative and vocative appearing as *Ašā*: nom. 29. 3; 30. 9; 46. 9; voc. 28. 3, 5, 6, 7.<sup>6</sup>

6 I take the nom. *Ašā* (for expected *\*Ašō* or *\*Ašā*) to be an irregular modernization of an original *\*Araḡ*. See further West (2007b), 76 f.

28. Abstract stands for concrete in 32. 15 *Karpōtâscā Kauuītâscā*, ‘the Karpanhood and the Kavihood’, for ‘the Karpans and the Kavis’; and in a different type of idiom in 46. 3 *saošiiantam xratauuō*, ‘the sapiences of the Promoters’, for ‘the sapient Promoters’. There is what looks like a similar phrase in 48. 10 *yācā xratū dušxšāvrā daxiiunam*, ‘and the misruling sapiences of the regions’, though the form *xratū* is problematic: if it is a dual, the reference will be to a particular pair of bad rulers, but conceivably it is a neuter plural in a collective sense (cf. §39).

In several places abstracts serve as predicates to personal subjects:<sup>7</sup> 34. 13 *mīzdām, Mazdā, yehiiā tū daθrām*, ‘the reward, Mazdā, of which thou art the gift’; 43. 8 *haiθiiō duuaēšā hiiat isōiiā drəguuāitē, aθ ašāunē rafnō xiiām aojōḡhuuauθ*, ‘may I be in reality, as I would wish, a bane to the wrongful one, but to the righteous one a strong support’; 53. 9 *tōi narapīš rafīš*, ‘they are waning(?) and darkness(?)’; YH 36. 1 *yā ā axtiš ahmāi, yēm axtōiiōi dāḡhē*, ‘(thy Fire), which is torment for him whom thou putttest to torment’; 41. 3 *aθā tū nā gaiiascā astəntâscā xiiā*, ‘so mayest thou be our life and substance’.

#### Verbal Nouns (*nomina agentis, actionis*)

29. Agent nouns formed from verbal roots have a certain ambivalence as between noun and verb status. The object of the inherent verb usually appears in the genitive (nominal rection): 29. 2 *tašā gōuš*, ‘the fashioner of the cow’; 31. 17 *vaḡhēuš fradaxštā manavhō*, ‘the teacher of good thought’; 32. 13 *aḡhēuš marəxtārō ahiiā*, ‘destroyers of this existence’; 44. 4 *vaḡhēuš ... dāmiš manavhō*, ‘the creator of good thought’; 44. 7 *vīspanam dātārəm*, ‘ordainer of all things’; 48. 12 *hamaēstārō aēšmahiiā*, ‘the smiters of violence’; 50. 6 *dātā xratāuš*, ‘the giver of wisdom’; 50. 11 *dātā aḡhēuš*, ‘the ordainer of the world’; 51. 10 *dāmōiš Drūjō*, ‘of the creator of Wrong’. But *dāmiš* ‘creator’ is also used with the object in the accusative (verbal rection): 31. 7 *huuō xraθβā dāmiš ašəm*, ‘he by his wisdom is the creator of Right’; 45. 7 *tācā xšāvrā Mazdā dāmiš Ahurō*, ‘of those realms too Mazdā is the creator’; and similarly with *manaθrī-*, 44. 5 *yā manaθrīš cazdōḡhuuantəm arəθahiiā*, ‘which are admonishers (of) the prudent man of his endeavour’.

In YH 35. 2 agent nouns are construed with the copula to characterize the subject: *humatanam hūxtanam huuarštanam ... mahī aibījarətārō; naē naēstārō yaθənā vohunam mahī*, ‘we are approvers of good thoughts, good words, good deeds ... we are not revilers of what is good’.

30. *Nomina actionis* too are occasionally construed with verbal rection: 32. 11 *aḡhīšcā aḡhauuascā apaiieitī raēxənavhō vaēdām*, ‘by the depriving (instr.) matrons and masters (acc.) (of) the possession (acc.) of their inheritance (gen.)’; 34. 7 *Vaḡhēuš vaēdanā Manavhō sēnghūš raēxnā*, ‘by possession of Good

7 Cf. Humbach i. 102 f.

Thought's decrees (and) legacies'; 43. 11 *mašiiāēšū zrazdāitiš*, 'trust in mortals (loc.)'; 45. 9 *pasūš vīrāng ahmākōng fradaṭāiiā*, 'for the furtherance of our herds (and) men', cf. 31. 16; 48. 5 *yaoždā ... zaṭōam*, 'purification of breeding'; *YH* 35. 9 *ašam manaiiā vahehiā*, 'with better thinking (on) Right'; 40. 4 *ištām rāitī*, 'with (our) offering (our) capability(?)'.

**31.** *Nomina actionis* in *-ti-* are sometimes used in preference to a construction with a finite verb or infinitive: 33. 6 *tā tōi iziiā, Ahurā Mazdā, darštōišcā hām.parštōišcā*, 'with that (mind) I long, Mazdā, for beholding and conferring with thee'; 34. 9 *vayhōuš auuistī manayhō*, 'in (their) non-acquisition of good thought'; 44. 4 *kas.nā dərətā zāmcā adē nabāscā auuapastōiš?* 'who held the earth from beneath and the heaven from falling down?'; 46. 4 *aṭ tōng drəguuā ... pāt gā frōrətōiš*, 'but the wrongful one keeps those oxen from coming forth'.

### Nominal Composition as Syntax

**32.** The creation of nominal compounds was an ancient and productive strategy of Indo-Iranian discourse. Those that contain a verbal component effectively compress a verbal phrase into a single grammatical element capable of being deployed in a larger sentence in any of the relationships that its various case-endings provide for. The compound may simply be an ornamental adjunct that contributes nothing essential to the purpose of the sentence. Or it may, especially if it is newly coined *ad hoc*, carry a pointed or pregnant sense. For example, in 29. 3 the sense 'Right does not want to break ranks with the other Ahuras, and he has no hostility to the cow, so he answers' is packed into the sentence *ahmāi Ašā, nōit sarəjā, aduuaēšō gauuōi, paitī.mrauuat*, 'to him Right, not a union-breacher, unhostile to the cow, will answer'. In 32. 4 what may be paraphrased as 'the worst things that mortals are to do, so that the Daevas are more pleased with them' is expressed as *yā mašiiā acištā danṭō vaxšəntē daē-uuō.zuštā*, 'the worst things, by doing which mortals will wax Daeva-favoured'. In 44. 2 the proposition that a man with certain qualifications has a healing effect on the world is expressed by *huuō ... ahūm.biš*, 'that man is a world-healer'. In 53. 6 the idea is that when the wrongful get their deserts, they will be given only foul food to eat, they will be lamenting, they will lose all amenities, because they have diminished Right: the words (following a lacuna) are *vaiiū.bərədbiiō dušx<sup>v</sup>arəṭāem; nəsaṭ x<sup>v</sup>āṭrəm drəguuōdəbiiō dējīṭ.arətaēibiiō*, 'for the Alas-utterers bad-food; well-being is lost for the wrongful Right-diminishers'.

Degrees of Comparison<sup>8</sup>

**33.** The comparative degree of adjectives or adverbs has two distinct uses. It may signify that something has a greater degree of some quality than something else; if the point of reference is given, it stands in the ablative, as in 43. 3 *vañhāuš vahiiō*, ‘better than good’; 51. 6 *akāt ašiiō*, ‘worse than bad’. Or it may just have contrastive force, as in 30. 3 *manahī vacahicā šīiaoðanōi hī, vahiiō akəmcā*, ‘those two kinds of thought, of speech, of deed, the better one (= the good one) and the bad one’; 45. 2 *yaiiā spaniiā ūitī mrauuat yəm angrəm*, ‘(the two Wills,) of whom the (more) Bounteous one was to speak thus to the Hostile one’; 34. 8 *hiiať as.aojā nāidiiāñhəm*, ‘as a strong man a weaker one’; 48. 4 *yē dāt manō vahiiō ... ašiiascā*, ‘he who sets in place better thought or worse’; 31. 20 *yē āiiať ašauuanəm, diuamnəm hōi aparəm xšaiiō*, ‘whoso goes to the righteous one, radiance is his to possess afterwards (in contrast with now)’, cf. 45. 11. In 34. 6 *yaðā vā yazəmnascā uruuāidiiā stauuas aiienī paiť*, ‘that I may come to you worshipping and praising you more gladly’, one may take the comparative either way, as ‘more gladly than I would otherwise’, or as ‘gladly as opposed to miserably’.

It will be seen from the passages quoted that while two contrasted terms may both be put in the comparative (48. 4), more often one has the comparative form and the other the positive.

**34.** The superlative degree too has two uses. It may signify absolute supremacy within a given category (identified in the genitive): 29. 3 *hātəm aojištō*, ‘mightiest of beings’, cf. YH 35. 3; 45. 6 *vīspanəm mazištəm*, ‘the greatest one of all’; 45. 4 *añhāuš ahiiā vahištəm*, ‘the best one in this world’; YH 36. 6 *sraēštəm ... kəhrpəm kəhrpəm ... barəzištəm barəzimanəm*, ‘fairest body of bodies ... highest of the high’. Where there is no genitive, the absolute value of the superlative may be indicated by other means, as in 33. 5 *vīspā.mazištəm*, ‘all-greatest, supreme’; 46. 19 = 50. 11 *hiiať vasnā frašōtəməm*, ‘what is most splendid by way of my desiring’, i.e. what I desire as being supremely splendid.

The superlative agrees in gender with the noun of which it is predicated, not with the genitive: 44. 10 *təm daēnəm, yā hātəm* (neut.) *vahištā* (fem.), ‘that religion which is the best of existing things’.<sup>9</sup>

**35.** Or the superlative may be simply relative, indicating a high degree of the quality in question. Thus in 30. 4 *vahištəm manō*, ‘best thought’, is merely a metrical alternative for *vohū manō*, ‘good thought’, cf. 32. 11; and likewise with 28. 8 *Ašā vahištā*, 30. 5 *mainiiuš spəništō* (contrasted with positive *yē drəguuā*), 30. 6 *acištəm manō*, 46. 6 *vahištō* (parallel with positive *friiō*); 33. 1 *šīiaoðnā razištā*, ‘by action most just’; 45. 5 *spəntōtəmō*, ‘the most bounteous one’; 49. 8 *fraēštāñhō*, ‘best friends’; 53. 7 *zrazdištō*, ‘fully trusting’.

8 Skjærvø 124 f.

9 Cf. Delbrück iii. 248 f.

**36.** Certain superlative forms are made on verbal roots and have verbal rection: 46. 19 *tācīt mōi sṣṣ tuuōm ... vaēdištō*, ‘(of) those things thou seemest to me the best provider’, cf. 32. 7; 29. 4 *sax<sup>v</sup>ārē mairištō*, ‘most heedful (of) initiatives’; 51. 1 *xšāθrəm ... bāgəm aibī.bairištəm*, ‘dominion most productive (of) fortune’.

### Gender<sup>10</sup>

**37.** The masculine is the default gender for persons of unspecific identity or for classes of person in general propositions; cf. §23 on the substantival use of masculine adjectives. In 53. 4, where the reference is to women getting married, we have the generic feminine *ašāunī*, ‘a righteous woman’.

In *YH* 39. 2 *ašāunəm āat urunō ... narəmcā nāirinəmcā*, ‘the souls of the righteous, both men and women’, both sexes are then covered by the masculine pronoun *yaēsəm*, ‘whose’. In 39. 3, on the other hand, we have *yazamaidē vaṅhūšcā īt vaṅhūšcā īt, ... yōi vaṅhōuš ā manəḥō šīieinī, yāscā ūitī*, ‘we worship the good Ones (masc.) and the good Ones (fem.), ... those (masc.) that dwell on the side of Good Thought, and those (fem.) likewise’.

**38.** When personalized language is used of abstract entities it is appropriate for them to have animate gender. Those that already have a lexical masculine or feminine gender retain it. So *ārmaiti-* is treated as a female being; cf. 49. 2 *nōit spəntəm dōrəšt ahmāi stōi Ārmaitīm*, which may be understood as ‘he has not embraced bounteous Piety to make her his’. But the neuter *aša-*, when so far personified as to speak or be spoken to, is transferred to the morphologically closest animate gender, the masculine; see §27.

**39.** The neuter plural represents a collectivity and is treated as a singular when the subject of a verb (§15). In §28 I have raised the possibility that in 48. 10 the normal masc. pl. *xratauuō* is replaced by a neuter pl. *xratū* to represent the collectivity of bad regional rulers.<sup>11</sup>

Sometimes a neuter plural pronoun is used in summing up a mixed list of items: 31. 13 *yā frasā āuušīiā, yā vā ... pərəsaētē taiiā, yā vā ..., tā ... aibī ašā vaēnahī vīspā*, ‘the questioning (fem.) that is overt, or the secrets (neut.) that the two debate, or if someone ..., all those things thou regardest with Right’; 34. 1–2, where a set of things consisting of two neuter plurals (*šīiaoθnā, vacaṅhā*) and a masculine (*yasna-*) are summed up first in *aēsəm* ‘of these’ (masc. or neut.) and then in the neut. pl. *ī (... vīspā)*; 34. 11.

<sup>10</sup> Delbrück i. 89–133; Reichelt §§412–16.

<sup>11</sup> For neut. plurals of masc. nouns cf. Delbrück i. 123–8.



Number<sup>12</sup>

## Singular

**40.** The singular is often used in a representative sense, standing for a whole class: 44. 20 *Karapā Usixšcā ... Kauuā*, ‘the Karpan and the Usij ... the Kavi’; 29. 5 *nōiṭ arəžəjiioi frajiiāitiš, nōiṭ šsuiientē?* ‘is there no prospect for the righteous-living one, none for the stock-raiser?’; 31. 11 *vasā*, ‘the free agent’; 31. 17 *katārēm ašauuā vā drəguuā vā vərənauuaitē maziio?* *vīduuā vīdušē mraotū, mā auuīduuā aipī dēbāuuaiiat*, ‘which is to be the more persuasive, the righteous one or the wrongful? Let the knowing one speak to the knowing; let the unknowing delude no longer’; 32. 12 *vahištāt šīiaodnāt*, ‘from the best action’. In 30. 4 singular and plural are used equivalently: *acištō drəguuatəm, aṭ ašāunē vahištəm manō*, ‘that of the wrongful (pl.) the worst (existence), but for the righteous one, best thought’, and similarly in 31. 14 and elsewhere.

**41.** In 31. 18 *aṭā iš sāzdūm snaiṭiṣā*, ‘so cut them down with axe’, the imperative is plural, being addressed to an entire audience, but *snaiṭiṣā* is instr. sg. because each man will wield a single axe. But more often the plural is used for things of which a plurality of people each have one or a pair: 29. 5 *aṭ vā ustānāiṣ ā huuā zastāiṣ*, ‘but we two are here with outstretched hands’ (pl. not dual); 30. 2 *sraotā gēušāiṣ vahištā*, ‘hear with your ears the best things’, and similarly in 51. 3; 31. 11 *hiiat nē ... gaēṭāscā tašō daēnāscā ... xratūšcā*, ‘since thou didst fashion our living bodies and moral selves and intellects’; 32. 14 *nī Kāuuaiiascīt xratūš dadaṭ varēcāhīcā*, ‘the very Kavis give up their intellects and dignities’; 34. 13 *daēnā saošiiantəm*, ‘the Promoters’ moral selves’, cf. 49. 9; 46. 11 *xsaṭrāiṣ*, of the Karpans’ and Kavis’ authorities; 33. 9 *aiiā ārōi hākurənəm, yaiiā hacīntē uruuqñō*, ‘the fellowship of those two is assured, whose (dual) souls (pl.) agree (pl.)’, cf. 45. 2.

## Dual

**42.** The dual is regularly used when two persons or things are considered together. So with bodily parts: eyes, 32. 10; hands, 33. 2; thighs, 53. 7. Of a pair of animals: 44. 4 *āsū* ‘swift pair (of steeds)’; 51. 12 *vāzā* ‘pair of draught animals’; 46. 19 *gāuuā azī* ‘pair of milch cows’, or perhaps ‘a milch cow with a bull’. The duality may be resolved into its constituents: 28. 2 *ahuuā, astuuatascā hiiatcā manahō*, ‘of the two existences, the material one and that of thought’; 30. 3 *mainiiū, ... yēmā ..., manahī vacahicā šīiaodanōi hī, vahiiō akəmcā*, ‘the two Wills ... those twins ... the two thoughts, speeches, deeds, the better one and the evil’. Of morally antithetical pairs also 31. 3 *rānōibiiā*, ‘the two parties’, cf. 31. 19; 43. 12; 47. 6; 51. 9; 51. 5 *ašiiā*, ‘the two (alternative) rewards’.

12 Delbrück i. 133–72; Reichelt §§417–24.

43. When two things with separate names are conceived as a linked pair, we find the dual dvandva construction, by which both nouns are put in the dual: 34. 11 *utaiiūiti tēuuīšī*, ‘vitality and strength’, cf. 43. 1, 45. 10, 48. 6, 51. 7; 45. 5 *hauruuātā aməratātā*, ‘health and non-dying’, cf. 45. 10, 47. 1, 51. 7; but on the other hand 34. 11 *ubē hauruuāscā ... aməratatāscā*, ‘both (dual) health (sg.) and non-dying (sg.)’, where the emphasis is on Mazdā’s having these two sources of nourishment to add together.

Normally the duals in this construction are placed in immediate juxtaposition with no other word separating them, but in 48. 6 we find *utaiiūiti dāt tēuuīšī*.

### Plural

44. Certain nouns are *pluralia tantum*: *apō* ‘the waters’ (44. 4; YH 37. 1, 38. 3, 5); *raocā* ‘the light (of day)’ (30. 1, 31. 7, 44. 5, 50. 10; YH 36. 6, 37. 1). *təmah-* ‘darkness’ occurs in the sg. in 31. 20, but in the pl. when coupled with *raocā* in 44. 5.

45. With other nouns the plural gives a nuanced meaning: 29. 10 *yā hušəitiš rāmaṃcā dāt*, ‘by which one may establish well-ordered dwelling (pl., sc. in different settlements?) and peace’; 33. 10 *vīspās tā hujītaiiō*, ‘all those good lives’ (pl. of abstract *hujīti-* ‘good living’); 33. 13 *frō ... daēnā daxšaiiā*, ‘teach (me) moral principles’; 44. 1 *friiā hākurənā*, ‘friendly relations’ (sg. 33. 9, ‘fellowship’ of two persons); 45. 10 *yasnāiš āmatōiš*, ‘with worships of piety’, i.e. pious acts of worship; 48. 7 *aṭ hōi dāmaṃ vībahmī ā dām*, ‘his lodgings are in thy house’; 51. 4 *kuḍrā vīβā xšəḍrā*, ‘where are thy areas of control?’; YH 38. 2 *Īžā, Yaoštaiiō, Fəraštaiiō, Āmataiiō*, ‘the Libations, the Purifications, the Consummations, the Pieties’, as personified objects of veneration.

The plural proper name in 46. 15 *Haēcəṭaspā ... Spitamāṅhō*, ‘O Haecat-aspā Spitāmas’, refers to members of a particular branch of the Spitāma family, one of whose women is designated in 53. 3 as *Pourucistā Haēcəṭaspānā Spitāmī*.

### The Cases

46. Old Avestan has the eight inherited cases, nominative, accusative, instrumental, dative, ablative, genitive, locative, vocative. All of them are in active use, with only limited support from appositives.<sup>13</sup> The syntactical density obtained by combining several cases in one sentence may be exemplified by 47. 6:

*tā dā spəntā mainiiū, Mazdā Ahurā,  
āḍrā vaṅhāu vī.dāitīm rānōibiīā  
āmatōiš dəbəzəṅhā ašaxiiācā.*

13 I use the term ‘appositive’ to cover prepositions, postpositions, and preverbs.

Through that bounteous will thou didst establish, Lord Mazdā,  
the allocation of the good by fire to the two contestants  
with the reinforcement of piety and right.

The sentence contains a single verb and nine nouns or noun phrases: in sequence, an instrumental, vocative, instrumental, locative, accusative, dative, genitive, instrumental, genitive. Several of the cases are adnominal, *ādrā*, *vaṅhāu*, and *rānōibiīā* all being dependent on the verbal noun *vī.dāitīm*, and the two genitives on *dəbązayhā*.

#### Nominative<sup>14</sup>

**47.** The subject of a main or subordinate clause, where expressed, stands in the nominative. With an active verb it represents the agent, with a passive verb the topic.

**48.** The nominative is used in the predicate for that which is equated with the subject, whether by means of the verb ‘be’ (expressed or understood; see §8) or by such connections as ‘seem to be’, ‘be found to be’, ‘be given to be’, ‘be declared to be’: 31. 17 *zdī nā*, *Mazdā Ahurā*, *vaṅhōuš fradaxštā manayhō*, ‘be for us, Lord Mazdā, our teacher of good thought’; 46. 19 *tācīt mōi saš tuuām*, *Mazdā*, *vaēdištō*, ‘of those things thou, Mazdā, appearest to me the best provider’; 48. 2 *hā zī aṅhōuš vaṅhī vistā ākarētīš*, ‘for that is the pattern of existence found to be good’; 33. 8 *dātā vē amərətāscā ... hauruuatās draonō*, ‘non-dying and health were established as your portion’; 48. 12 *tōi zī dātā hamaēstārō aēšmahiiā*, ‘for they are appointed as smiters of violence’; 48. 8 *kā v̄βōi ... vaṅhōuš mainii-āuš šīiaodnanąm jauuarō?* ‘what (potency) is thine, as stimulus(?) for enactments of the Good Will?’; 32. 7 *aēšąm aēnaṅąm ... yā jōiiā sōnghaitē*, ‘of such offences which are decreed to be matters of life (and death)’; 50. 11 *aṭ vē staotā aojāi*, ‘I will declare myself your praise-singer’; 53. 8 *anāiš ā duzuuaršnaṅhō dafšniīā hāntū zaxiiācā vīspāṅhō*, ‘hereby let the evildoers be thwarted and mocked, all of them’; YH 41. 4 *aēšācā v̄βā əmauuantascā buiiāmā*, ‘may we become potent and strong through thee’; 41. 5 *v̄βōi staotarascā maṭranascā ... aogamadaēcā usmahicā vīšāmadaēcā*, ‘thy praisers and prophets we declare ourselves and desire (to be) and stand ready (to be)’.

**49.** In other circumstances too a nominative, referring to the subject, may appear as part of the predicate: 28. 1 *yāsā nəmanṅhā ustāna.zastō*, ‘I pray you in reverence with outstretched hands’; 31. 7 *yas.tā mantā paouruiiō ... x’ādrā*, ‘he who first conceived these felicities’, cf. 44. 11; 46. 9; 31. 13 *tā ... hārō aibī ... vaēnahī vīspā*, ‘all those things thou regardest watchful’; 32. 4 *mašiiā ... vax-šantē daēuuō.zuštā*, ‘mortals will grow Daeva-favoured’;<sup>15</sup> 44. 12 *huuō, nōit*

14 Delbrück i. 393 f.; Reichelt §§429–31; Skjærvø 103 f.

15 Unless *daēuuō.zuštā* is the locative of an otherwise unattested noun \**daēuuō.zuštī-*.

*aiiām, angrō mainiietē*, ‘he, not the other, thinks as an enemy’; 51. 15 *garō dāmānē Ahurō Mazdā jasaṭ paouruiiō*, ‘Lord Mazdā enters the house of song first’; 53. 7 *yauuaṭ āzuš zrazdištō*, ‘he will apply his penis in full confidence’.

50. In one passage the leading ideas of the sentence are presented in a series of nominatives, but then the construction changes, and they become the object in a new subject–predicate formulation: 31. 20 *darəgēm āiiū təmaṅhō, duš-xʷarəθōm, auuaētās vacō, tēm vā ahūm, drəguuaṅtō, ... daēnā naēšaṭ*, ‘a long age of darkness, foul food, crying of woe (nom.) by way of speech—that existence (acc.), ye wrongful, your morality will bring you to’.

For the use of the nominative together with a vocative in the so-called *Vāyav Indraśca* construction see §118.

### Accusative<sup>16</sup>

51. The accusative may be considered as the focusing or limiting case: it serves to bring definition and closure to an open-ended or incomplete predicate. For example, a transitive verb such as ‘create’ or ‘choose’ does not by itself constitute a meaningful predication of a subject; we need to know who or what was created or chosen, and if the verb is in the active, that information is expressed in the accusative. If the verb is ‘go’, we shall often need to know the destination, and this too is given in the accusative: 29. 3 *yahmāi zauuōng jimā*, ‘to whose calls I will go’; 43. 12 *ašəm jasō*, ‘go (to) Right’; 30. 6 *aēšəməm hēṅ.duuārəntā*, ‘they scurry (to) violence’; 44. 8 *kā mē uruuā vohū uruuāxšaṭ āgmatā?* ‘(to) what good destinations will my soul journey?’; cf. 31. 20; 34. 13; 44. 17; 45. 5; 46. 1; 48. 2; 53. 8; 44. 12 *yē mā drəguuā vβā sauuā paiṭi.əratē ciiāṅhaṭ*, ‘the wrongful one who enjoys opposing (lit. going at) thy gains’. With *gam* in the sense of ‘accede to’ a wish: 43. 13 *yōm vā naēcīš dāršt itē*, ‘(a desire) (to) which no one forces you to accede’.

52. With verbs of speaking the accusative may specify the thing spoken of, as in 34. 10 *ahiiā vaṅhəuš manəṅhō šiiəoθnā vaocaṭ gərəbəm huxratuš*, ‘(of) this Good Thought’s deeds the wise man says “let them be seized hold of”’; 34. 15 *aṭ mōi vahištā srauūāscā šiiəoθanācā vaocā*, ‘tell me the best things to be known for and to do’. In 45. 2 the accusative specifies the person addressed: *spaniiā ūitī mrauuaṭ yōm angrəm*, ‘the Bounteous (Will) speaks thus (to) the Hostile one’, though elsewhere the dative is used (§78).

Similarly with *man* ‘think on’: 34. 8 *yōi nōiṭ ašəm mainiiāntā*, ‘those who did not think on Right’; 43. 9 *rātəm nəmaṅhō Ašahiiā ... mainiiāi*, ‘the tribute of reverence of Right I shall hold in mind’. In the preceding sentence the accusative is apparently used of a question answered by speaking: *ahiiā frasēm*

16 Spiegel §§303–11; Delbrück i. 360–93; Reichelt §§436–43; T. Gotō in H. Hettrich (ed.), *Indogermanische Syntax. Fragen und Perspektiven* (Wiesbaden 2002), 21–42; Skjærvo 105–8.

‘*kahmāi vīuuīduiīē vašī?*’, *adā* ‘*ṭṣahmāi āṭrē*’, ‘(at) his question “whom dost thou wish to serve?” I declare “Thy fire”’, as it were ‘his question I deal with by declaring’.<sup>17</sup>

With a middle verb, 30. 5 *yā xraoḷdištēng asānō vastē*, ‘who clothes himself (in) the hardest stones’.

With an intransitive verb, specifying something internal to the subject: 33. 10 *uxšiiā ... tanūm*, ‘grow in body’.

53. In §§29–30 examples have been given of *nomina agentis* or *actionis* governing accusative objects. The same happens with adjectives that contain a verbal root or notion: 32. 8 *yā mašiiēng cixšnušō ahmākēng*, ‘who sought to gratify our mortal race’, cf. 43. 15, 45. 9, 49. 1; 34. 7 *yōi ... sādrācīt caxraiiō ušaurū*, ‘who make even sorrows innocuous’; 32. 12 *išanam drujam*, ‘of those desirous (of) wrong’; 34. 14 *xratēuš ašā frādō vərəzēnā*, ‘of the wisdom promotive (of) communities with Right’, cf. 46. 12; 44. 2 *irixtəm vīspōibiiō hārō*, ‘observant (of) the outcome for all’; 45. 7 *yā nərəš sādṛā drəguuatō*, ‘which are griefs (to) wrongful men’; 46. 2 *rafədrēm caguua*, ‘affording support’. Cf. also the superlative forms noted in §36.

54. Some verbs naturally require two specifications and take two accusatives. ‘Ask’, ‘pray’, ‘order’, or ‘teach’ may require both a recipient and a content for the question, the prayer, the command, or the lesson. So 51. 21 *tām vaṅhīm yāsā ašim*, ‘him I pray (for) good reward’, cf. 28. 1; 49. 8; 31. 14 *tā ṭṣbā pərəsā*, ‘these things I ask thee’; 43. 3 *yā nā ərəzūš sauuaṅhō pavō sīšōit*, ‘who should teach us the straight paths of advancement’, cf. 34. 12; 45. 6. ‘Deprive’ requires both a person who is deprived and something of which he is deprived: 32. 9 *apō mā ištīm yaṅtā*, ‘he takes capability away from me’; 32. 11 *aṅhīscā aṅhauascā apaiieitī ... vaēdam*, ‘by deprivation (*nomen actionis*) (of) matrons and masters (of) possession’. And as verbs of motion take an accusative of the destination, a verb meaning ‘lead’ may logically take a double accusative, as in 31. 20 *tām vā ahūm ... daēnā naēšat*, ‘that is the existence to which your morality will bring you’.

In 34. 6 *yezī aṭā stā haiṭim, ... aṭ taṭ mōi daxštəm dātā*, ‘if ye are truly thus, then give a proof (of) that to me’, *daxštəm dātā* ‘give a proof’ is apparently treated as equivalent to ‘prove’, governing the object *taṭ*.

55. Several verbs are construed with two accusatives of which one represents a secondary predicate: give or find A as a B; make A (into) B; think, declare, know, wish A to be B, etc.

*dā* ‘give, establish’: 33. 14 *aṭ rāṭəm Zarəduštrō tanuuascīt xaxiiā uštanəm da-dāitī*, ‘Zarathushtra dedicates his own body’s energy as an offering’, cf. 34. 3; 43. 1, 2, 5. In 50. 6 *dātā xratēuš hizuuō raidim stōi mahiiā*, ‘the giver of wisdom

17 I follow Insler’s reading and interpretation.

to be the charioteer of my tongue’, it is as if we had *dātā xratūm*, with *raiθīm* following as a matching accusative and subject of the infinitive *stōi*.

*vid-* ‘find’: 28. 5 *manascā vohū vaēdāmnō gātūmcā Ahurāi ... sraošəm Maz-dāi*, ‘finding Good Thought and, as a path (or throne) for the Lord Mazdā, compliance’.

*han-* ‘earn, deserve’: 46. 19 *ahmāi mīzdəm hanaṇtē parāhūm*, ‘to him, who deserves the world beyond as reward’.

Verbs of making: 32. 10 *yascā dāθōṅg drəguuatō dadāt*, ‘and he who makes the upright wrongful’, cf. 34. 6, 15; 43. 10; 30. 9 *yōi īm frašōm kərənāun ahūm*, ‘who will make this existence splendid’, cf. 44. 7; 46. 19 *yē mōi ... haiθīm ... varāšaiti ... hiiat vasnā frašōtaməm*, ‘he who will make real for me the utmost splendour of my desiring’.

*man-*: 29. 10 *azēmciθ ahiia ... θβəm mōjhī paouruūm vaēdəm*, ‘I think thee the first procurer of that’, cf. 43. 4; 46. 13.

Verbs of speaking: 32. 10 *yē acištəm vaēnaṇhē aogədā gəm ašibiiā huuarēcā*, ‘who affirms the worst thing to behold with the eyes to be the cow and the sun’; 43. 11 *hiiat mōi mraotā vahištəm*, ‘what you tell me is best’; 43. 15 *aθ tōi vīspāṅg aṅgrōṅg ašāunō ādarē*, ‘they have declared all the righteous their enemies’; *YH* 36. 6 *sraēštəm aθ tōi kəhrpəm kəhrpəm āuuāedaiimahī ... imā raocā*, ‘fairest body of thy bodies we proclaim this daylight’.

*vid-* ‘know’: 28. 10 *yāṅg ... vōistā ... dāθōṅg*, ‘those whom thou knowest to be upright’; *ibid.*, *aθ vē xšmaibiiā asunā vaēdā xʷaraiθiia vaiṇtiia srauua*, ‘I know that well-purposed hymns of homage to you are not in vain’; cf. 34. 10; 46. 10.

*vas-*: 29. 2 *kām hōi uštā ahurəm?* ‘whom did you wish to be her lord?’; cf. 29. 9.

*fra.var-*: 31. 10 *frauuarētā vāstrīm axiia fšuiiaṇtəm ahurəm*, ‘she chose the herdsman, the stock-raiser, as her lord’.

In *YH* 37. 3 we have accusatives both of the one worshipped and of the names or manifestations under which he is worshipped: *təm aθ ahūiriia nāmānī mazdā.varā spəṇtōtēmā yazamaidē ... tēm ašāunəm frauuašiš ... yazamaidē*, ‘him we worship (in) the names (of) Lord, Wisdom-choosing, Most Bounteous ... him we worship (in) the commitments of the followers of Right’.<sup>18</sup>

**56.** The accusative is used in various adverbial expressions, perhaps a reflection of its general limiting function. It can express duration of time, as in 43. 2 *vīspā aiiārē*, ‘all days’ = ‘for ever’; analogously perhaps 34. 6 *ahiiā aṅhōuš vīspā maēvā*, ‘through all the vicissitudes of this existence’. A different sort of idiomatic use is seen in 30. 2 *vīciθahiiā narēm narəm xʷaxiia tanuiē*, ‘of the decision (made) man by man for his own person’.<sup>19</sup>

18 On the grammar of this difficult sentence cf. the full discussion in Hintze (2007), 177–86.

19 On the type of iteration (in Sanskrit grammar called *āmreḍita*) cf. Delbrück iii. 142–4.

57. A neuter adjective in the accusative singular may have adverbial value:<sup>20</sup> 28. 1 *paouruūim* ‘firstly, in the first place’ (cf. 43. 8, 11; 51. 2); 28. 3 *apaouruūim* ‘as never before’; 45. 1 *daibitīm* ‘a second time’; 34. 6 *haiḍīm* ‘in truth’; 34. 9 *maš* ‘greatly, a great distance’ (cf. perhaps 32. 3); 31. 17 *maziio* ‘more’; 28. 5 *mazištəm* ‘most fully’; 30. 3 *əraš* ‘rightly’ (cf. 30. 6; 44. 1); 31. 20 *aparəm* ‘afterwards’; 30. 4 *apēməm* ‘finally’ (cf. 48. 4; 51. 14); 51. 1 *vahištəm* ‘best’; YH 41. 4 *darəgəm* ‘for long’.

#### *Accusative with appositives*

58. Verbs of motion towards are sometimes reinforced with the preverb *ā*, with the destination in the accusative, as in 28. 3 *ā mōi ... zauuōng jasatā*, ‘come (to) my calls’; 30. 6 *hiiaṭ iš ā dəbaomā ... upā jasaṭ*, ‘because delusion comes upon them’; 33. 7 *ā mā idūm*, ‘come to me’; 43. 10 *iṭ ā <a>rəm*, ‘I have started towards it’; 44. 11 *kaḍā tōng ā vi.jēmiiṭ ārmaitiš?* ‘how might piety spread to them?’, cf. 48. 11.

In these cases it is more natural to regard the *ā* as belonging with the verb than as a pre- or postposition conditioning the accusative. But it appears rather in the latter function in 33. 5 *apānō darəgō.jiiātīm ā xšaḍrəm Vajhōuš Manajhō*, ‘after reaching the long life, the realm of good thought’; 44. 13 *kaḍā drujəm nīš ahmaṭ ā nāšānā, tōng ā auuā, yōi ...*, ‘how are we to drive Wrong out from ourselves down upon those who ...’; 44. 14 *ā iš duuafšōng ... nāšē*, ‘to bring pains upon them’; 46. 8 *paitiiaogəṭ tā ahmāi jasōiṭ duuaēšajhā, tanuuōm ā*, ‘may (his actions) recoil on him with hostility, on his person’. In 30. 2 it is apparently placed initially with the verb and repeated with the noun: *ā vaēnatā sūcā manajhā ā varənā*, ‘behold with lucid mind the two choices’.

*ākā* ‘in the presence of, facing’: 48. 8 *Ašā, ākā arədrōng išiiā*, ‘O Right, thou longed-for one in the presence of the zealous’; 50. 4 *ākā arədrōng dəmānē garō sraošānē*, ‘facing the zealous I will be heard in the house of song’.

*əəānū* ‘along, among, throughout’: 32. 16 *hiiaṭ aēnajhē drəguuatō əəānū išiiōng ajhaiiā*, ‘whatever is for the wrongful one’s hurt, I will set in place(?) throughout the desirable people’.<sup>21</sup>

*paiṭi* ‘at, to’, as preverb with a verb of motion: 44. 12 *yā mā drəguuā ṭβā sauuā paiṭi.əratē ciiajhaṭ*, ‘the wrongful one who takes pleasure in opposing (lit. going at) thy gains’; 49. 11 *aṭ dušxšaḍrōng ... akāiš xʷarəḍāiš paiṭi uruuəṇō yeiṇ-ṭi*, ‘the souls come to the ill-dominion with foul food’.

*parā* ‘beyond’: 33. 7 *yā sruiiē parā magāunō*, ‘for which I am renowned above the sacrifice-patrons’.

*parā* ‘before’ takes the accusative only in the combination *parā hiiaṭ*, which serves as a conjunction ‘before’: 43. 12, 48. 2 (§246).

20 Cf. Delbrück i. 610–14.

21 Reading *ajhaiiā* (causal of *ah-*; subjunctive with disyllabic *-ā*).

Instrumental<sup>22</sup>

**59.** With a nominative and an accusative one can give a basic, one-dimensional representation of an event or situation: the priest has killed the cow; the student goes to university. The instrumental, dative, ablative, genitive, and locative cases each provide different means of filling out the picture with circumstantial detail.

The instrumental expresses concomitance; it marks a person or thing whose presence beside the subject or object is relevant to the matter. Under this general formula we may distinguish several more specific uses: (a) a modal use, of the instrument or means by which something is effected; (b) a causal or agentive use, of a factor because of which, or an agent through whose involvement, something happens; (c) a circumstantial use, of a relevant state or condition obtaining; and (d) a sociative-comitative use, of a person or thing found in company with another.

Instrumentals occur with great frequency in the *Gāthās*. There are sometimes two or more in the same sentence, and it is not always possible to determine what relationships they denote. Especially frequent is *aṣā*, where there is the further uncertainty whether a quasi-personal power is to be understood or just the principle of cosmic Right.

**60.** Instrumental of means: 28. 5 *anā maḍrā* ... *vāurōimaidī xrafstrā hizuuā*, ‘with this prescript we might convince the predators with our tongue’; 28. 9 *anāiš vā nōiṭ* ... *vānāiš zaranaēmā*, ‘by these prayers may we not anger you’; 28. 10 *aēibiīō pārēnā āpanāiš kāmōm*, ‘fulfil their desire with attainments’; 29. 1 *aṭā [mōi] sṣṣtā yohū vāstriiā*, ‘so show yourselves through good pasturing’; 29. 5 *aṭ vā ustānāiš ā huuā zastāiš frīnōmnā Ahurāiīā*, ‘but we two are there propitiating the Lord with outstretched hands’; 30. 2 *sraotā gōušāiš*, ‘hear with your ears’; 31. 3 *taṭ nō ... vaocā hizuuā ūḥahiiā āḥhō*, ‘tell us that with the tongue of thy mouth’; 32. 10 *vaēnaḥhē ... aṣibiīā*, ‘to behold with the eyes’; 32. 12 *yōi gōuš mōrēṇḍan uruuāxš.uxfī jiiōtūm*, ‘who pervert the cow’s life with the cry “Get going!”’; 31. 1 *yōi uruuātāiš Drūjō Aṣahiiā gaēṭā vī.māṇcaitē*, ‘who with the rules of Wrong destroy Right’s flock’; 31. 2 *yezī āiš nōiṭ uruuānē aduuā aibī.darāštā vaxiiā*, ‘if through these (words) the better way is not in plain view to the soul’; 31. 18 *aṭā iṣ sāzdūm snaiṭiṣā*, ‘so cut them down with axe’; 33. 3 *vīdṣ vā ūḥaxšarḥā gauuōi*, ‘or tending the cow with care’, cf. 46. 12; 34. 13 *tām aduuānōm ... daēnā saoṣiiāṇṭam yā ... uruuāxṣaṭ*, ‘that road by which the Promoters’ moral selves advance’, cf. 51. 16; 43. 11 *hiiṭ xṣmā uxḍāiš dūdaijḥē*, ‘because I am learning through your utterances’; 43. 4 *yā dā aṣiṣ ... ūḥahiiā garōmā āḍrō*, ‘the rewards that thou givest by means of thy fire’s heat’, cf. 51. 9; 49. 1 *aṭ mā yauuā bēṇḍuuō pafrē*, ‘the polluter(?) has fed himself full on my life’; 51. 1 *vī.dīṣōmnāi iṣācīt*, ‘certainly for one liberal with libation’; 51. 22 *ta yazāi*

22 Spiegel §§313–19; Delbrück i. 231–74; Reichelt §§427, 445–55; T. Pobożniak, *Folia Orientalia* 7 (1965), 119–71; Kellens–Pirart ii. 3–20; Skjærvø 113–15.



*x'āiš nāmānīš*, 'them I will worship using their own names'; 53. 5 *vaēdōdūm daēnābīš* ... *ahūm yā vaḡhāuš managhō*, 'be acquiring by your moralities the life of good thought'; 53. 8 *rāmaḡcā āiš dadātū*, 'and let peace thereby be established'; YH 36. 3 *hiiaṡ vā tōi nāmanam vāzištəm*, ... *tā v̄βā pairi.jasāmaidē*, 'or whatever is thy preferred(?) name, with that we attend thee', cf. 36. 1, 4/5; 39. 5.

**61.** Instrumental of cause: 31. 20 *tām vā ahūm* ... *šīiaoḡnāiš x'āiš daēnā naēšṡ*, 'that is the existence to which, on account of your own actions, your morality will bring you'; 32. 3 *šīiaoḡm aipī daibitānā, yāiš asrūdūm*, 'your duplicitous deeds too, because of which you became renowned', cf. 32. 11; 33. 7; 32. 7 *aēšam aēnaḡham* ... *yāiš srāuū x'aēnā aiaḡhā*, 'of those offences on account of which one is tried by means of the glowing metal', cf. 32. 12; 34. 9 *vaḡhāuš auuistī managhō*, 'from non-acquisition of good thought'; 34. 14 *taṡ zī* ... *vairīm astuuaitē uštānāi dātā vaḡhāuš šīiaoḡnā managhō*, 'for that is the prize ye will bestow on material life on account of enactment of good thought', cf. 45. 5; 47. 1; 46. 2 *mā kamnašsuuā*, '(I am ineffectual) because of my poverty in cattle'; 46. 4 *x'āiš šīiaoḡnāiš ahōmustō*, 'disagreeable because of his deeds'; 49. 4 *yaēšam nōit huuarēštāiš vaš dužuarēšta*, 'because of whose not doing-good-deeds the ill deeds prevail'; 51. 15 *tā vā* ... *sauuāiš cəuūšī*, 'these (laudations) were assigned to you because of your power to strengthen'; YH 40. 1 *rāitī tōi xrapaitī*, 'because of (our) offering it will befit thee (to grant our prayer)'.

**62.** Instrumental of agency, with passive verbs and participles: 29. 4 *yā zī vāuərazōi pairī ciṡtī daēuūāišcā mašīiāišcā*, 'those things done in the past by Daevas and mortals'; 30. 1 *yā raocōbīš darasatā uruuāzā*, 'the joys beheld by the light'; 34. 1 *aēšam tōi* ... *āhmā pourutemāiš dastē*, 'of these is offering made thee by us in great numbers'; 43. 10 *parštām zī v̄βā*, 'the question asked by thee'; 44. 8 *yācā Vohū uxḡā* ... *Managhā yācā Ašā*, 'and the words spoken by Good Thought and those (spoken) by Right', cf. 43. 11; 48. 1; 53. 8 *huxšadrāiš jēnəraḡm xrūnəraḡm*, 'by good rulers let them be beaten and bloodied'.

With non-passive verbs: 44. 3 *kā yā mā uxšīieitī?* 'who is it through whom the moon waxes?', cf. 44. 5; 50. 5 *ārōi zī xšmā* ... *hiiaṡ* ..., 'for it is established by you that ...', cf. 34. 3; 53. 9 *dužuarənāiš vaēšō rāstī*, 'at the hands of ill-choosers decay takes hold'; YH 41. 4 *aēšacā v̄βā əmauuəntascā buiāmā*, 'may we become potent and strong through thee'.

**63.** Instrumental of attendant circumstance: 43. 2 *ciciṡβā* ... *vaḡhāuš māiā managhō višpā aiiārə darəḡjiiātōiš uruuādaḡhā*, 'understanding the transforming powers(?) of good thought all his days, with enjoyment of long life'; 44. 15 *hiiaṡ hēm spādā anaocaghā jamaētē auuāiš uruuātāiš, yā tū* ... *dīdərəžō*, 'when the two hostile armies meet on those terms which thou wouldst uphold'; 44. 19 *ərəž.uxḡā*, 'on agreed terms', 'when it been agreed'; 50. 7 *zəuūšīiəḡng auruuatō jaiiāiš pərəṡūš*, 'the swiftest steeds, wide with victories (? = widely victorious)'. So perhaps 43. 4 *v̄βahiiā ḡaramā ādrō ašā aojaḡhō*,

‘by the heat of thy fire that is mighty with (or through) Right’, cf. 43. 16; 44. 2 *ašā spəntō*, ‘liberal with Right’; 51. 21 *spəntō huuiō cistī, uxδāiš, šīiaoδnāi*, ‘he is liberal by insight, words, deed’; 43. 6 *mazdā xšāδrā*, ‘mindful in dominion’, cf. 45. 9; 47. 1. Many further passages containing *ašā* might be assigned to this category.

Of mental or moral state or disposition: 28. 1 *yāsā nəmanhā*, ‘I pray in reverence’, cf. 34. 3, 50. 6, 51. 20; 29. 6 *viduuā vafūš viianaiiā*, ‘knowing the designs in his wisdom’, cf. 44. 7; 34. 8 *tāiš zī nā šīiaoδnāiš biianū ... vβahiiā ... aštā uruiātahiiā*, ‘for by those actions they intimidate us in hatred of thy law’; 44. 11 *vīspəng aniiōng mainiiəuš spasiā duuaēšahhā*, ‘all others I regard with hostility of spirit’; 46. 6 *rasnā juuqas*, ‘living in rectitude’.

Of mental faculties shaping perceptions: 31. 8 *at vβā mājhi ... yazūm stōi manahhā*, ‘I think of thee as being young in my thought’; 34. 15 *frašəm vasnā ... ahūm*, ‘the existence that is splendid in my desiring’, cf. 46. 19.

**64. Sociative-comitative:** 30. 8 *taibiō xšāδrəm Vohū Manahhā vōiuū-dāitē*, ‘for thee, together with Good Thought, will be found dominion’; 31. 4 *yadā Ašəm zəuūim aḡhən Mazdāscā Ahurāḡhō Ašicā Armaidī*, ‘when Right and Mazdā and the Lords are to be invoked with Reward and Piety’, cf. 33. 7; 32. 11 *yōi drəguuaḡntō mazbiš cikōitərəš*, ‘the wrongful who together with the grantees have distinguished themselves’; 33. 3 *yā ašāunē vahištō xvaētū vā at vā vərəzēniō airiāmnā vā*, ‘he who is best to the righteous one, whether with his clan or as a villager or with his tribe’; 34. 6 *yezī adā stā haiḡim, Mazdā Ašā Vohū Manahhā*, ‘if ye are truly thus, O Mazdā with (= and) Right (and) Good Thought’, cf. 34. 5; 46. 18; 50. 5, 7, 9; 51. 3, 15;<sup>23</sup> 44. 20 *aēibiō kəm, yāiš gəm Karəpā Usixšcā aēšmāi dātā*, ‘for the sake of those in company with whom the Karpan and Usij put the cow to violence’; *YH* 40. 4; 46. 10 *frō tāiš vīspāiš Cinuuatō frā pərətūm*, ‘with all of them I shall cross the Arbiter’s Crossing’, cf. 46. 16; 46. 17 *yā vī.cinaoḡ dāḡvəmcā adāḡvəmcā dangrā mantū Ašā*, ‘who discriminates between the just man and the unjust with his sage adviser Right’; perhaps 50. 10 *at yā varāšā ... xšmākāi Ašā vahmāi, Mazdā*, ‘whatever things I do ... are for your lauding with Right (= and Right’s), Mazdā’; *YH* 38. 2 *vəḡhīm ābiš Ašim, vəḡhīm Išəm ... yazamaidē*, ‘besides them we worship good Reward, good Vigour ...’.

Of concord or union with: 28. 8 *vβā ... yəm Ašā vahištā hazaošəm*, ‘thee that art concordant with best Right’, cf. 29. 7; 32. 2 *Ašā huš.haxā xvaḡnuuatā*, ‘friendly with Right the sunny’; 32. 16 *haməm taḡ vahištācīt*, ‘that is equal with the very best’; 34. 2 *yehiiā uruiā ašā hacaitē*, ‘whose soul keeps company with Right’, cf. 43. 10, 12; 44. 10; 46. 1, 16; 31. 7 *raocābiš rōiḡβən xvaḡrā*, ‘amenities combining with the daylight’; 46. 11 *yūḡən Karpanō Kā-uaiāscā akāiš šīiaoδnāiš ... mašim*, ‘the Karpan and Kavis yoke the mortal with (= to) bad deeds’, cf. 49. 9 *ašā yuxtā* ‘yoked with Right’; 46. 16 *yāḡrā Ašā*

23 On these passages cf. Risch (1964), 57–61; Kellens–Pirart ii. 50–2.

*hacaitē Ārmaitiṣ*, ‘where Piety keeps company with Right’; 48. 11 *kōi drāguuōdabiṣ xrūrāiṣ rāmaṇ dāntē?* ‘which men will make peace with the savage wrongful ones?’; 49. 5 *yē daēnaṇ vohū sārṣtā manajhā*, ‘who unites his moral self with good thought’, cf. 32. 2; 49. 9 *sarēm ... drāguuātā*, ‘union with the wrongful one’.

Of speaking or conferring with: 31. 12 *aḍrā vācēm baraitī mi-ḍah.uuacā vā ərəṣ.uuacā vā ... ahiiā zərədācā manajhācā*, ‘there it may be one of false words or one of true words who makes speech with that man’s heart and mind’; *ibid.*, *Ārmaitiṣ mainiiū pərəsaitē*, ‘Piety debates with the will’; 44. 12 *kā aṣauuā, yāiṣ pərəsāi?* ‘who is righteous (of those) with whom I debate?’; 49. 2 *naēdā Vohū ... fraṣtā Manajhā*, ‘nor has he taken counsel with Good Thought’, cf. 45. 6; 47. 3, 51. 11; 53. 3 *aḍā hēm.fraṣuuā [ḍβā] xraḍβā*, ‘so take counsel with thy reason’.

**65.** Intermediate between the modal and the sociative instrumentals is the usage with *i-* or *gam-* where the meaning is ‘come with’ in the sense of ‘come bringing’: 30. 7 *ahmāicā xṣaḍrā jasaṭ manajhā vohū aṣācā*, ‘but suppose one comes with dominion for him, with good thought and right’; 49. 11 *akāiṣ xʳarəḍvāiṣ paiṭi uruuṇō yeiṇṭi*, ‘the souls come to them with foul food’; 51. 10 *maibiiō zbaiiā Aṣəm vaṇhuiiā aṣi gatē*, ‘for myself I will call upon Right to come with the good reward’. Similarly 46. 3 *kadā ... yōi uxṣānō asnaṇ ... frō aṣahiiā ərəṇtē vərəzdāiṣ sānghāiṣ*, ‘when will those Oxen of Days set forth on the path of right with stouter declarations?’

**66.** Adverbial usages: 49. 2 *daibitā*, ‘deceitfully’; adjective with fem. ending and presumably a noun suppressed, 48. 10 *aṅgraiiā*, ‘cruelly’. The frequent instr. *aṣā* is perhaps sometimes to be understood as a virtual adverb, ‘rightly’, but it is difficult to isolate such cases on contextual grounds.

Of time: 29. 9 *kadā yauuā*, ‘when ever?’<sup>24</sup>

**67.** The instrumental of the demonstrative pronoun, *tā*, has meanings corresponding to those distinguished above: ‘thereby, in that manner’, 45. 11; 51. 2, 12, 13; ‘because of that, so’, 51. 10. Likewise the relative *yā* may be ‘how’ (34. 12, cf. 31. 16 *yāṣiiaodnascā* ‘and how actioned’) or ‘on account of what’ (46. 2).

**68.** Instrumentals in any function may be adnominal: 28. 1 *ahiiā yāsā ... rafədrahiiā ... ṣiiaodnā. Vaṇhēuš xratūm Manajhō yā xṣnəuuiṣā*, ‘I pray for his help by means of an action through which thou couldst satisfy Good Thought’s purpose’; perhaps 29. 2 *yē drāguuōdabiṣ aēṣeməm vādāiōit*, ‘who might repulse violence (committed) by the wrongful’ (unless it is ‘together with the wrongful’); 29. 11 *āhmā rāiōiṣ*, ‘liberality by us’; 31. 19 *ḍβā aḍrā suxṛā*

24 Cf. RV 4. 53. 7 *kṣapābhir āhabhiṣ ca*, ‘night and day’; Delbrück i. 245 f.; Brugmann (1902) §545(b); Hirt vi. 34; Krahe 98 f. The adverb *fraidiuuā* ‘daily’ (32. 14) shows an instr. ending.

... *vī.dātā*, ‘at the allocation by means of thy flaming fire’, cf. 51. 9; 33. 8 *utai-ūīfī hauruuatās*, ‘health with vitality’; 33. 12 *yaṅhuiiā zauuō ādā*, ‘promptness with good allocation’; 34. 4 *zastā.ištāiš dərəštā.aēnaṅhəm*, ‘a manifest harm by main force’; 44. 17 *sarōi ... hauruuatā amərətātā*, ‘for union with health and non-dying’;<sup>25</sup> 47. 2 *hizuuā uxδāiš ... zastōibiiā šīiaoθnā*, ‘by utterances with the tongue ... by action with the hands’; 48. 12 *šīiaoθnāiš ašā*, ‘by actions (done) with right’; YH 40. 3 *nərəš ... ahmā.rafanəṅhō*, ‘men who have support by us’.

69. A good example of multiple instrumentals in one sentence is 43. 6,

*yahmī spəntā θβā mainiiū uruuāēsē jasō,*  
*mazdā xšaθrā, ahmī Vohū Manəṅhā,*  
*yehiiā šīiaoθnāiš gaēθā ašā frādəntē, ...*

at the bend where thou comest with thy bounteous will,  
 mindful in dominion, at that (bend) with Good Thought,  
 by whose actions the flock prospers with right, ...

#### *Instrumental with appositives*

70. The sociative instrumental is sometimes reinforced with *maṭ* ‘together with, beside’: 32. 1 *vərəzənəm maṭ airiiamnā*, ‘the village with the tribe’; 34. 11 *Ašā maṭ Ārmaitiš*, ‘Piety together with Right’; 43. 14; 44. 7; 45. 9; 46. 19; 48. 11; 50. 8 *maṭ vā padāiš yā frasrūtā ižaiiā pairi.jasāi ... ustānazastō*, apparently ‘together with what are known as the Footsteps of Libation I will approach you with outstretched hands’.

Similarly with *hadā* ‘with’: 29. 2 *hadā vāstrā gaodāiō θβaxšō*, ‘cattle-tending (lies) with the pastor’; perhaps 46. 17 *hadā vā stōi<sup>26</sup> vahmāng sraošā rādaṅhō*, ‘so that there are for you, besides compliance, praises of the Caring One’; 50. 4 *aṭ vā yazāi stauuas, Mazdā Ahurā, hadā Ašā Vahištācā Manəṅhā*, ‘I will worship you with praise, Lord Mazdā, (you) together with Right and Best Thought’ (cf. §122).

And with *hadrā* ‘with’: 28. 4 *yē uruuānəm mən gairē vohū dadē hadrā manəṅhā*, ‘I who have taken my soul in mind for praise-song together with good thought’; YH 38. 1 *iməṅ āaṭ zaṅ genābīš hadrā yazamaidē*, ‘this Earth together with its Dames we worship’.

*parē* ‘beyond’ is construed with the instrumental in 34. 5 *parē vā vīspāiš vaoxmā daēuuāišcā xrafstrāiš mašiiāišcā*, ‘we have declared you (to be) beyond all predators, both Daevas and mortals’. It is not obvious what function of the instrumental this relates to, but the usage is paralleled with Vedic *parās*.

*pairī* ‘before’ is construed with the instrumental in 50. 10 *aṭ yā varāšā yācā pairī āiš šīiaoθnā*, ‘whatever things I do and whatever (I did) before them’.

25 But with *sarē* the genitive is more often used, cf. §99.

26 *vā stōi* is my emendation for the manuscripts’ *vāstā* or *vīstā*.

Dative<sup>27</sup>

71. The dative expresses orientation: the person to whom, or thing to which, something is present, or available, or advantageous or disadvantageous, or apparent, or directed; or the purpose towards which some action is aimed.

72. Dative of interest (advantage, disadvantage, possession, etc.): 28. 3 *yaēibiiō xšadr̥m̄cā ayž̄aonuuamn̄m varədaitī ārmaitiš*, ‘(you) for whom piety augments unimpaired dominion too’, or ‘whose unimpaired dominion too piety augments’; 28. 8 *vahišt̄m θβā ... yāsā vāunuš narōi Frašaoštrāi maibiiācā*, ‘(for) the best (gift) I pray thee longingly for the manly Frashaushtra and myself’; 28. 10 *āēibiiō p̄r̄anā āpanāiš kām̄m*, ‘fulfil their desire with attainments’; 29. 1 *kahmāi mā θβarōzdūm?* ‘for whom did you shape me?’, cf. 29. 6; 29. 2 *kaṭhā tōi gauuōi ratuš?* ‘how (was) thy judgment for the cow?’; 29. 5 *nōit̄ orōž̄jiiōi frajiiāitiš, nōit̄ fsuiientē?* ‘is there no prospect for the righteous-living one, none for the stock-raiser?’; 29. 7 *kas.tē ... yē ī dāiāt̄ d̄əāuuā marōtaēibiiō*, ‘who is there for thee who could establish those things for mortals?’; 30. 11 *hiiatcā daroḡm̄ dr̄oguuōdēbiiō rašō sauuacā ašauuabiiō*, ‘the lasting harm that is for the wrongful, and the blessings for the righteous’, cf. 31. 3; 31. 4 *išasā ... maibiiō xšadr̄m̄ aojōḡhuuat̄*, ‘I shall seek a strong authority for myself’; 31. 6 *ahmāi aḡhaṭ vahišt̄m, yē ...*, ‘it will go best for him who’; 31. 10 *aṭ hī aiā frauuaratā vāstr̄im̄ axiiāi ... ahur̄m̄*, ‘but she of these two chose for herself the herdsman as lord’, cf. 46. 3; 31. 15 *yā maēniš, yē dr̄oguuāitē xšadr̄m̄ hunāit̄*, ‘what the punishment (is for him) who is broaching dominion for the wrongful one’; 32. 10 *yascā vadarə vōizdat̄ ašāunē*, ‘and he who raises a weapon against the righteous one’; 33. 1 *šīiaodnā razištā dr̄oguuataēcā hiiatcā ašāunē*, ‘by action most just both for the wrongful one and as regards the righteous’; 34. 8 *šīiaodnāiš ... yaēšū as pairi p̄ourubiiō iḡiiejō*, ‘by their actions, in which there was danger for many’; 44. 4 *kē vātāi duuḡnmaibiiāscā yaogṭ āsū?* ‘who yoked the swift pair (of steeds) for the wind and clouds?’; 46. 11 *Drūjō d̄amānāi astaiiō*, ‘as guests (destined) for the house of Wrong’; 46. 13 *ahmāi gaēḡā Vohū frādat̄ Manayhā*, ‘for him the flock (= his flock) he promotes with Good Thought’; 49. 2 *nōit̄ sp̄ant̄m̄ dōrašt̄ ahmāi stōi Ārmaitim̄*, ‘he has not embraced bounteous Piety (so as for her) to be his’; 50. 3 *aṭcīt̄ ahmāi ... aḡhait̄, yaḡm̄ hōi ... cōišt̄*, ‘yet his will be (the cow) which one assigns to him’; 53. 5 *taṭ zī hōi hušēn̄m̄ aḡhaṭ*, ‘for that will be profitable for him’; 53. 6 *n̄saṭ xšadr̄m̄ dr̄oguuōdēbiiō d̄ējīt̄.arōtaēibiiō*, ‘well-being is lost for the wrongful diminishers of right’; YH 36. 1 *yē ā axtiš ahmāi*, ‘which is torment for him’.

73. The dative of interest is used of a person to whom someone comes bringing benefit or the opposite: 29. 3 *yahmāi zauuōḡ (acc.) jimā*, ‘to whose calls I will come’; 43. 4 *hiiat̄ mōi varjhāuš hazē jimaṭ manayhō*, ‘when the force of

27 Spiegel §§320–4; Delbrück i. 277–306; Reichelt §§457–71; Kellens–Pirart ii. 20–30; Skjærvø 110 f.

good thought comes to me’, cf. 43. 12; 44. 16; 44. 1 *yaðā nē ā Vohū jimaṭ Manajhā*, ‘so that it will come to us with Good Thought’, cf. 46. 3; 44. 11 *yaēi-biiō ... θβōi vašiietē daēnā*, ‘to whom thy religion issues forth’; 46. 8 *paitiaogəṭ tā ahmāi jasōiṭ duuaēšəḡhā*, ‘may they recoil on him with hostility’; 49. 1 *gaidī mōi, ā mōi rapā*, ‘come to me, support me’. Note that the dative is not used of going ‘to’ a place, and these examples are not to be so understood.

74. Of attitudes towards someone: 29. 3 *aduuaēšō gauuōi*, ‘not hostile to the cow’; 29. 7 *huuō urušaēibiiō spəntō*, ‘he is bounteous to the needy’; 31. 1 *yōi zrazdā aḡhan Mazdāi*, ‘who will be faithful to Mazdā’; 31. 21 *yā hōi ... uruuəðō*, ‘who is his ally’, cf. 51. 11; 33. 3 *yā ašāunē vahištō*, ‘he who is best to the righteous one’, cf. 45. 5; 43. 8 *haiθiiō duuaēšā ... drəguuāitē, aṭ ašāunē rafə-nō xiiēm aojōḡhuuaṭ*, ‘may I be the true enemy of the wrongful one, but to the righteous a strong support’; 47. 4 *ašāunē kəðə ... akō drəguuāitē*, ‘kind to the righteous one ... malign towards the wrongful one’; 44. 7 *uzəməm ... puðrəm piðrē*, ‘the son respectful to the father’; 45. 11 *yā hōi arəm mainiiatā*, ‘who is properly disposed towards him’.

75. The enclitic dative pronouns *mōi tōi hōi nē vā*, placed after the first word or tonal unit in the clause, often serve to mark possession of something specified by a following noun, or personal interest in the predicate as a whole:<sup>28</sup> 29. 8 *yā nē aēuuō sāsna gūšatā*, ‘who alone listens to our guidance’; *ibid.*, *hiiaṭ hōi hudəmēm diiāi vaxəðrahiia*, ‘as I harness his well-constructed utterance’; 31. 6 *yā mōi viđuuā vaocat haiθim*, ‘who, knowing it, speaks my truth’; 31. 11 *hiiaṭ nē, Mazdā, paouruuim gaēðascā tašō daēnāscā*, ‘since first, Mazdā, thou didst fashion our living bodies and moral selves’; 32. 2 *spəntəm vā arəmaiṭim vajhīm varəmaidī; hā nē aḡhaṭ*, ‘your liberal piety, (as it is) good, we adopt; it shall be ours’; 32. 6 *θβahmī vā ... xšaθrōi Ašāiēcā sənḡhō vī.đəm*, ‘in thy domain let your decree and Right’s be given out’; 34. 11 *aṭ tōi ubē hauruuāscā ... aməratatāscā*, ‘both health and non-dying are thine’; 44. 17 *hiiaṭcā mōi xiiiaṭ vāxš aēšō*, ‘and that my voice be effective’; 45. 5 *yōi mōi ahmāi sraošəm daṇ caiiāscā*, ‘whatever people comply with it for me’, or ‘whatever people I get to comply with it’; 47. 3 *aṭ hōi vāstrāi rāmā dā arəmaiṭim*, ‘and for her pasture thou didst establish peace and piety’; 51. 2 *tā vā ... dōišā mōi ištōiš xšaθrəm*, ‘so I will show you my command of competence’.

76. The dative of interest may be adnominal: 28. 5 *gātūmcā Ahurāi*, ‘and (as) a path (or throne) for the Lord’; 30. 1 *stətatācā Ahurāi*, ‘praises for the Lord’; 30. 2 *vīciθahiiā narəm narəm xaxiiāi tanuiiē*, ‘of the decision (made) man by man for his own person’; 44. 2 *irixtəm vīspōibiiō hārō*, ‘observing the outcome for all’; 48. 8 *ašōiš θβaxiiā maibiiō*, ‘of thy reward for me’; 51. 5 *dāvaēi-biiō əraš.ratūm*, ‘the straight judgment (appointed) for the just’; 51. 14 *gauuōi*

28 Cf. W. Havers, *Untersuchungen zur Kasussyntax der indogermanischen Sprachen* (Strassburg 1911), 47–61.

*ārōiš*, ‘of harm to the cow’; YH 40. 3 *haxmainē ahmaibiiā*, ‘for association to us’.

77. The dative is used for the indirect object after verbs of giving, assigning, effecting: 28. 7 *dāidī tū ... Vištāspāi īšəm maibiiācā*, ‘give enablement to Vishtaaspa and to me’, cf. 29. 10; 44. 14 *kaḏā Ašāi Drujōm diiḡm zastaiiō*, ‘how might I give Wrong into the hands (loc.) of Right (dat.)?’, cf. 30. 8; 31. 9 *hiiat axiiāi dadā padḡm*, ‘when thou didst offer her a path’; 43. 5 *hiiat dā ... akēm akāi, vaḡhīm ašīm vaḡhaouuē*, ‘when thou didst set evil for the evil one, a good reward for the good’; 49. 7 *yā vərəzēnāi vaḡhīm dāt frasasūm*, ‘that will give the community a good renown’; 28. 8 *yaēibiiascā iḡ rāḡhaḡhōi*, ‘and those on whom thou wilt bestow it’; 31. 3 *yaḡm ... cōiš rānōibiiā xšnūtām*, ‘the atonement that thou didst assign to the two parties’, cf. 47. 6; 33. 2 *aḡ yā akēm droḡuuāitē ... varāšaiti*, ‘now he who does evil to the wrongful one’; 48. 5 *yaoždā ... zaḡḡm ... gauuōi vərəziātḡm*, ‘let purification of breeding be effected for the cow’; YH 41. 1 *stūtō garō vahmōḡg Ahurāi Mazdāi dadəmahicā cīšmahicā ā.cā vaēdaiimahī*, ‘praises, songs, laudations we dedicate and assign and proclaim to Lord Mazda’.

78. Likewise for the indirect object after verbs of speaking or showing: 29. 3 *ahmāi Ašā ... paiti.mrauuat*, ‘to him Right will answer’, cf. 32. 2; 30. 1 *yā mazdāḡvā hiiatcīt vīdušē*, ‘things that you are to bring to the attention even of one who knows’; 31. 3 *taḡ nā ... vaocā*, ‘tell us that’, cf. 44. 1; 31. 17 *vīduuā vīdušē mraotū*, ‘let the knowing one speak to the knowing’, cf. 43. 12; 53. 5; 32. 9 *tā uxḡā ... yūšmaibiiā ḡərəzē*, ‘these words I utter in complaint to you’, cf. 29. 1; 44. 20 *yācā Kauuā ḡnmēnē urūdōiiatā*, ‘and the things the Kavi makes her lament to her soul’; 43. 8 *aḡ hōi aojī*, ‘to him I say’; 33. 13 *dōiši mōi yā vā ā bifrā*, ‘show me the virtues(?) that are yours’, cf. 43. 10; 51. 2, 17.

79. Certain verbs that denote beneficial action take the dative: 29. 5 *fīnəmnā Ahurāiiā*, ‘propitiating the Lord’, cf. 49. 12; 33. 3 *vīdḡs vā ḡβaxšḡhā gauuōi*, ‘or by tending the cow with care’, cf. 51. 6, 53. 4; 33. 11 *sraotā mōi, mərəždātā mōi*, ‘hear (my prayer) for me, have mercy on me’; 43. 9 *kahmāi vūuūduiiē vaši?* ‘whom do you wish to serve?’; 49. 1 *gaidi mōi, ā mōi rapā*, ‘come to me, support me’; 50. 5 *hiiat yūšmākāi maḡḡrānē vaorāzavā*, ‘that you will be benign towards your prophet’; 51. 6 *yascā hōi vārāi rādat*, ‘and whoever will be prompt to his will’, cf. 33. 2.

80. Dative of the observer from whose viewpoint something is seen: 31. 2 *uruuānē ... aibi.dərəštā*, ‘in plain view to the soul’; 31. 22 *ciḡrā i hudāḡhē yaḡḡnā vaēdəmnāi manḡhā*, ‘these things are clear to the well-doer as he apprehends them in his mind’; 43. 11 *sādrā mōi sḡs mašiiāēšū zrazdāitiš*, ‘trust in mortals seems to me grief’; 46. 19 *tācīt mōi sḡs tuuēm ... vaēdištō*, ‘of those things thou appearest to me the best provider’.

With a verbal adjective of passive sense it becomes in effect a dative of the agent: 29. 8 *aēm mōi idā vistō*, ‘this man here (has been) found by me’;

31. 1 *aguštā vacā sēnghāmahī aēibiīō yōi ...*, ‘we proclaim words unheeded by those who ...’ (rather than ‘we proclaim unheeded words to those who’); cf. 43. 12.<sup>29</sup>

81. In the usages described in §§72–80 the dative practically always refers to a person or persons. It is otherwise with the dative of purpose: 28. 3 *ā mōi rafəðrāi zauuōng jasatā*, ‘come to my calls, for (= to give) support’, cf. 33. 13, 54. 1; 28. 4 *yē uruuānēm mēn gairē ... dadē*, ‘I who have taken my soul in mind for praise-song’; 29. 11 *aṭ maṃ ... mazōi magāiīā paiṭi.zānatā*, ‘acknowledge me for the great rite’, cf. 46. 14; 31. 16 *huuō yē ... fradaṭāiīā spərozatā*, ‘he who is eager for the furtherance’, cf. 45. 9; 31. 19 *əroz.uxdāi vacaṇḥam xšaiiamnō hizuuō*, ‘being master of his tongue for true voicing of words’; 34. 11 *aṭ tōi ubē hauruuāscā xʷarəṭāiīā amərotatāscā*, ‘both health and non-dying are thine for nourishment’; 44. 17 *sarōi būždiīāi*, ‘to work for union’; 46. 1 *kam nāmōi zaṃ, kuṭrā nāmōi aieni?* ‘what land for refuge, where am I to go for refuge?’; 46. 3 *kadā ... yōi uxšānō asnaṃ aṇhəuš darəṭrāi frō ... ārəntē?* ‘when will those Oxen of Days come forth for the upholding of existence?’; *ibid.*, *maibiīō ṭβā sastrāi vərənē*, ‘for myself I choose thee for direction’; 46. 7 *hiiaṭ mā drəguuā dīdarəšatā aēnaṇḥē*, ‘when the wrongful one seeks to seize me for maltreatment’, cf. 32. 16; *ibid.*, *taṃ mōi daṣtuuṃ daēnaiīāi frā.uuaocā*, ‘tell forth that information for my moral guidance’; 47. 3 *aṭ hōi vāstrāi rāmā dā ārmaitīm*, ‘and for her pasture thou didst establish peace and piety’; 50. 7 *mahmāi xiiātā auuṇḥē*, ‘may you be (there) for my succour’; 50. 10 *aṭ yā varašā ... xsmākāi Ašā vahmāi*, ‘whatever things I do ... are for your lauding with Right’, cf. 46. 10; 53. 2 *scantū ... xšnūm Mazdā vahmāiīā fraorət*, ‘let them accord in what gratifies Mazdā devotedly (with devotion) to his praise’; *YH* 36. 2 *yātāiīā paiṭi.jamiīā ... mazištāi yāṇḥam paiṭi.jamiīā*, ‘for our supplicating mayest thou come ... for our greatest of supplications mayest thou come’; 40. 3 *dāidī aṭ nərəš ... darəgāi ižiīāi bezuuaitē haxmainē ahmaibiīā*, ‘grant (us) men for enduring, nourishing, solid association with us’.

82. In several of the above examples we have a double dative, one of interest, the other of purpose: 28. 3; 33. 13; 34. 11; 46. 3; 47. 3; 54. 1 *ā Air-iīāmā ... rafəðrāi jaṅtū nərəbiiascā nāribiiascā Zaraṭuštrahē*, ‘let Aryaman come for (= to give) support for the men and women of Zarathustra’.

83. A particular idiom that belongs here is the use of *dā-* in the middle voice with accusative object and dative of purpose: 29. 5 *hiiaṭ Mazdāṃ duuaidī frasā-biiō*, ‘as we two set Mazdā to (our) questions’, i.e. question him; 43. 7 *kaṭā aiīārə daxsarā frasaiīāi dīšā?* ‘could you set a day for asking information?’; 44. 20 *yāiš gaṃ Karapā Usixšcā aēšmāi dātā*, ‘with whom the Karpan and the Usij put the cow to violence’; 46. 8 *yē vā mōi yā gaēṭā dazdē aēnaṇḥē*, ‘or the one who is putting my flock to maltreatment’; 46. 18 *yē nā aštāi daidūtā*, ‘who would

29 Cf. Delbrück i. 300; Brugmann (1902) §554; Benveniste 177–86; Skjærvø 111.



subject us to (acts of) hatred'; YH 36. 1 *ahmāi yām axtōiiōi dāyhē*, 'for him whom thou puttest to torment'.

**84.** Related to the dative of purpose is the temporal use in the phrase *vīspāi yauuē* (28. 8; YH 40. 2; 41. 2) or *yauuōi vīspāi* (46. 11), 'for all time'; likewise 28. 11 *yauuaētāitē*, 'for eternity'. Cf. YH 35. 3 *yā hātqam śīiaodnanam vahištā xīiāt ubōibiiā ahubiiā*, 'which may be the best of actions in the world for both existences', cf. 35. 8; 38. 3; 40. 2; perhaps 34. 5. *kaṭ vā xšadram, kā īštiš śīiaodnāi?*, 'what is your power, what your ability for action?'

**85.** Untypical uses of the dative are: 46. 10 *ašim ašāi*, 'reward for right' (for normal gen., cf. §100); 51. 3 *yōi vā śīiaodnāiš sārəntē*, 'who by their actions are uniting with you' (for normal instr.); YH 40. 3 *haxmainē ahmaibiiā*, 'association with us' (for expected instr.).

For dative infinitives see §§194–204.

### Ablative<sup>30</sup>

**86.** The ablative marks that *from which* there is movement or separation, or something derives; or a reference point from which something is measured or considered. It occurs predominantly with singular nouns.<sup>31</sup>

**87.** Ablative of separation. (a) Where motion is involved: 32. 4 *vayhōuš sīzdiiamnā manahō*, *Mazdā Ahurahiiā xratōuš nasiiantō Aštātca*, 'retreating from good thought, losing the way from Lord Mazdā's sapience and from Right', cf. 34. 9; 32. 15 *tōi ābiiā bairiiāntē Vayhōuš ā dəmānē Manahō*, 'they will be borne away from them both into the house of Good Thought'; 45. 1 *yaēcā asnāt yaēcā dūrāt išavā*, 'you who come eagerly from near and far'; 46. 4 *yas.tēm xšadrāt ... mōivāt jiiātōuš vā*, 'whoever dispatches him from authority or from life', cf. 53. 9; 46. 5 *uz.ūiīiōi īm ... xrūniāt*, 'to help him escape from bloodshed'.

(b) Where no motion is involved: 34. 8 *āēibiiō dūirē vohū as manō*, 'from them good thought was far away'. Especially of keeping apart: 32. 5 *tā dəbənaotā mašim hujiiātōiš amərə<ta>tātascā*, 'so you cheat the mortal out of good living and security from death'; 32. 11 *yōi vahištāt ašāunō ... rārəšiiqan manahō*, 'who will divert the righteous from best thought', cf. 32. 12; 47. 4; 49. 2; 32. 13 *yā īš pāt darəsāt ašahiiā*, 'which will keep them from the sight of Right', cf. 46. 4, 8; 44. 4 *kas.nā dərətā zqmca adē nabāscā auuapastōiš?* 'who held the earth from beneath, and the sky from falling?'; 33. 4 *yā ūβat ... asruš-tīm ... yazāi apā ... gəušcā vāstrāt acištəm mañtūm*, 'I that will seek by worship (to keep) disregard away from thee ... and from the cow's pasture the worst

30 Spiegel §§325–30; Delbrück i. 200–17; Reichelt §§472–85; Kellens–Pirart ii. 36–9; Skjærvø 112 f.

31 Cf. Delbrück i. 182.

counsellor'; 46. 1 *pairī x<sup>v</sup>aētōuš airiiamnascā dadaitī*, 'they set (me) apart(?) from clan and tribe'; 49. 3 *aņtarā vīspāṅg drāguuatō haxmāṅg mruuiē*, 'I ban all wrongful ones from my company'.

**88.** Ablative of source: 32. 3 *Akāt Manajhō stā ciḍram*, 'you are seed (sprung) from Evil Thought'; 34. 2 *aṭcā ī tōi manajhā mainiiēušcā vanjhōuš vīspā dātā spəntaxiiācā nərəš šiiiaoḍnā*, 'they are all dedicated to thee by the thought (instr.) and out of the good intent (abl.) and by the deed (instr.) of a liberal man'; 34. 13 *daēnā saošiiantəm yā ... ašātcīt* (v.l. *ašācīt*) *uruuāxšaṭ hiiat cəuuištā hudābiiō mīzdəm*, '(the road) on which the Promoters' moral selves advance from Right itself to the reward ye assigned to well-doers'; 51. 12 *caratascā aodərəšcā zōišənū*, 'trembling from the journey and the cold'.

**89.** Ablative of comparison or contrast: 43. 3 *vanjhōuš vahiiō*, 'better than good'; 51. 6 *vahiiō vanjhōuš ... akāt ašiiō*, 'better than good ... worse than bad'; 29. 1 *xšmat aniiō*, 'other than you', cf. 34. 7; 45. 11; 46. 7; 50. 1; 51. 10; 32. 12 *yāiš grāhmā ašāt vərətā Karpā*, 'with whom the Karpan chooses gluttony(?) over right'.

**90.** Ablative of reference: 28. 10 *yōṅ Ašāatcā vōistā vanjhōušcā dāvōṅ manajhō*, 'those whom thou knowest to be upright from (the standpoint of) right and good thought'; 31. 15 *pasēuš vīrāatcā adrujiiantō*, 'innocent before man and beast'; 46. 5 *yā vā xšaiiṅs ā dəm drītā aiiantəm uruuātōiš vā ... miḍrōi-biiō vā*, 'or a man who on his own authority should take into his house one coming on the basis of a promise or agreements'; 51. 14 *nōit uruuāḍā dātōi-biiāscā Karpānō vāstrāt arəm*, 'the Karpans are not fit allies from (the standpoint of your) ordinances and the pasture'.

#### *Ablative with appositives*

**91.** Several appositives are construed with the ablative, the most frequent being *ā* and *hacā*.

*aibī*: in YH 35. 5 and 40. 1 the phrase *ahmat hiiat aibī* evidently means 'insofar as comes from us', i.e. depends on us. In 35. 10 *staotāiš ṽβāt ... staotōibiiō aibī*, *uxdā ṽβāt uxḍōibiiō*, *yasnā ṽβāt yasnōibiiō* we may render 'be it with praises where praises are (the point of departure =) concerned, or with utterances where utterance is concerned, or with act of worship where acts of worship are concerned'.

*ā* reinforces ablatives of more than one kind without, in many cases, any obvious modification of the sense. If its basic meaning is 'forward, onward', it may perhaps sometimes emphasize the idea of forward progression from the point of origin, 'forth from' as against simple 'from'.<sup>32</sup>

32 Cf. Delbrück i. 757.

With abl. of separation: 44. 13 *kaṭvā drujəm nīš ahmat ā nāšāmā*? ‘how are we to drive wrong forth from ourselves?’

With abl. of source: 30. 10 *aṭ āsištā yaojanṭē ā hušitōiš Vaḡhēuš Manaḡhō*, ‘and the swiftest (steeds) will be yoked (to come forth) from the fair dwelling of Good Thought’; 31. 21 *Mazdā dadāt ... būrōiš ā ... x<sup>v</sup>āpaiṭiiāt xšaṭrahiīā*, ‘Mazdā gives forth from the rich sovereignty of his domain’; 33. 5 *Ašāt ā ərazūš paṭō*, ‘the straight paths (leading) from Right’; 33. 6 *mainiiēuš ā vahištāt kaiiā ahmāt*, ‘(drawing) from this best will I desire’; 44. 1 *taṭ ṽβā pərəsā ... nəmanḡhō ā*, ‘this I ask thee out of reverence’; 45. 9 *vaḡhēuš ... haozaṭṽβāt ā manaḡhō*, ‘out of familiarity with good thought’; 51. 4 *kuṭrā ārōiš ā fšəratuš, kuṭrā mərazdikā ā xštaṭ?* ‘where will respect (?) appear out of (= to replace) harm, where mercy?’

In 48. 7 *yōi ā Vaḡhēuš Manaḡhō dīdrayžōduiīē*, ‘you who wish to secure yourselves to Good Thought’, the ablative marks the point of attachment from which a connection is made.

In 31. 9 *hiiaṭ axiiāi dadā paṭəm vāstriiāt vā ā itē yē vā nōiṭ aḡhaṭ vāstriiō*, ‘when thou didst offer her (the cow) a path, to proceed either from the herdsman or (from him) who is not a herdsman’, the sense is ‘to be on the herdsman’s side, be associated with him’. Similarly 47. 5 *Akāt ā siiš Manaḡhō*, ‘abiding on the side of Evil Thought’; YH 39. 3 *yōi Vaḡhēuš ā Manaḡhō šiiēiṭi*, ‘those who abide on the side of Good Thought’.

*ēānū*: the basic sense is ‘along, following the course of’. So 47. 2 *hizuuā uxdāiš vaḡhēuš ēānū manaḡhō* may be rendered ‘by utterances with the tongue according to (proceeding from and remaining in line with) good thought’.

*parā* ‘before’: 30. 2 *parā mazē yaḡhō*, ‘before the great supplication’. This is perhaps in essence an ablative of comparison. The meaning of 53. 6 *āiesē †hōiš piṭāt tanuuō parā* is obscure.

*hacā* ‘in accord with, in line with’: 28. 2 *maibiiō dāuuiō ... āiiaptā ašāt hacā*, ‘to give me blessings in line with Right’, cf. 27. 13; 29. 6; 31. 2; 43. 14; 45. 4; 46. 19; 47. 1; 51. 5, 22; 53. 1; YH 35. 10; 28. 11 *frō mā sīšā ṽβahmāt vaocaḡhē mainiiēuš hacā*, ‘teach me to voice (my hymns) in line with thy will’; 32. 2 *aēibiiō Mazdā ... xšaṭrāt hacā paiṭi.mraot*, ‘to them Mazdā answers in accord with his authority’; 44. 17 *kaṭvā zarəm carānī hacā xšmat?* ‘how am I to reach my desire in accord with you?’; 53. 6 *drūjō hacā rāṭəmō*, ‘a man attached to wrong’, cf. 44. 17; YH 37. 2 *yōi gāuš hacā šiiēiṭi*, ‘who dwell in accord with the cow’. But a different sense must be sought in 31. 14 *yā išudō dadəntē dā-ṭranəm hacā ašāunō yāscā ... drəguuōdēbiiō*, ‘what requitals will be given for gifts from the righteous one and what (for gifts) from the wrongful’.

*hanarē* ‘without’: 31. 15 *yē nōiṭ jiiōtūm hanarē vīnastī vāstriēhiīā aēnaḡhō*, ‘who cannot find a livelihood without violation of the herdsman’; 47. 5 *hanarē ṽβahmāt zaošāt drəguuā baxšaiti*, ‘it is without thy favour that the wrongful one partakes (of good things)’.

Genitive<sup>33</sup>

**92.** The genitive, unlike the other oblique cases, is primarily adnominal. It defines the realm or domain within which something has its place. Various categories may be distinguished.

**93.** Genitive of ownership:<sup>34</sup> 28. 1 *Vaṅhəuš xratūm Manəṅhō ... gəušcā uruuānəm*, ‘Good Thought’s purpose and the cow’s soul’; 31. 12 *ahiiā zərədācā manəṅhācā*, ‘through that man’s heart and thought’; 32. 12 *gəuš ... jiiōtūm*, ‘the cow’s life’; 43. 7 *kahiiā ahī?* ‘who do you belong to?’; 44. 3 *ptā Ašahiiā*, ‘the father of Right’, cf. 46. 12; 47. 2, 3; 44. 3 *xvəng strəmca dāt aduuānəm*, ‘set the path of the sun and the stars’;<sup>35</sup> 46. 11 *Cinuuatō pərətuš*, ‘the Arbiter’s Crossing’; 49. 4 *drəguuatō daēnā*, ‘the wrongful one’s religion’, cf. 34. 13; 51. 13; 53. 2; 53. 1 *vahištā īstiš srāuuī Zərəduštrahē*, ‘best is the competence that became renowned as that of Zarathushtra’; 53. 7 *mainiiuš drəguuatō*, ‘the wrongful one’s intent’.

Generally of relationships where something belongs to something or someone, is an inherent part, property, adjunct, etc.: 33. 4 *vərozənaṅxiicā nazdištəm drujəm ariiānascā nadəntō*, ‘and the village’s nearest (neighbour), wrong, and the detractors in the tribe’; 48. 10 *saošiiantō daxiiunəm*, ‘the Promoters in the regions’; 50. 3 *ašōiš aojəṅhā*, ‘by the strength of (= by virtue of) the reward’; 54. 1 *nərəbiiascā nāiribiiascā Zərəduštrahē*, ‘for Zarathushtra’s men and women’.

**94.** A possessive adjective and a genitive may be used in parallel: 29. 5 *mā uruuā gəušcā aziīā*, ‘my soul and the milch cow’s’; 51. 13 *xvāiš šiiəodnāiš hizuuascā*, ‘through his own actions and his tongue’s’; YH 39. 1 *ahmākəng āat urunō pasukanəmcā*, ‘our souls and those of the livestock’.

**95.** Subjective genitive: 33. 8 *yasnəm ... xsmāuuatō*, ‘the worship of (= performed by) your follower’, cf. 29. 11; 44. 1; 46. 10; 34. 2 *spəntaxiiicā nərəš šiiəodnā*, ‘and by the deed of a liberal man’, cf. 34. 10, 43. 6; 46. 15 *dātāiš paouruiiāiš Ahurahiiā*, ‘by the Lord’s original ordinances’; 50. 8 *arədraxiiicā nəməṅhā*, ‘and with a zealous man’s reverence’. Here may be placed the genitive found with *bərəxḏa-* ‘esteemed (of)’: 32. 9 *apō mā īštīm yəntā bərəxḏəm hāitīm Vaṅhəuš Manəṅhō*, ‘he takes away the potency that is esteemed of (by) Good Thought’, cf. 34. 9; 48. 6.

**96.** Genitive of source. Related to the subjective genitive is the type that indicates a source from which something comes: 28. 4 *ašīšcā šiiəodnanəm*

33 Spiegel §§331–7; Delbrück i. 307–60; Reichelt §§487–508; Kellens–Pirart ii. 30–6; Kellens (1997); Skjærvø 108–10.

34 This is more accurate than the conventional term ‘possessive genitive’, (contingent) possession being expressed rather by the dative. Cf. Benveniste 196 f.; Watkins (1994), 127–34.

35 Here a genitive is used where a dative might well have been; cf. Delbrück i. 192 f.

*vīduš Mazdā*, ‘and knowing Mazdā’s repayments of actions’, cf. 54. 1; 28. 6 *daibišuuatō duuaēšā*, ‘the foe’s acts of enmity’; 31. 18 *drəguuatō maḍraścā ... sāsnāścā*, ‘the wrongful one’s prescripts and teachings’, cf. 32. 13; 32. 9 *tā uxδā mainiiūš mahiiā*, ‘these utterances of my will’; 34. 12 *ašiš rāšnaṃ*, ‘the rewards of (= stipulated by) thy rulings’; 43. 6 *ratūš ... ōβahiiā xratūš*, ‘the verdicts of thy sapience’, cf. 46. 18, 49. 6; 43. 13 *arəθā ... kāmahiiā tēm mōi dātā*, ‘the endeavours of (= springing from) the desire you have given me’; 44. 11 *mainiiūš ... duuaēšajhā*, ‘with hostility of spirit’; 45. 7 *yehiiā sauuā ... rādanhō*, ‘the Caring One whose strengthening powers’; 45. 8 *staotāiš nəmanhō*, ‘with reverence’s (i.e. reverent) praises’, cf. 43. 9; 44. 10 *ārmatoiš uxδāiš*, ‘with pious words’, cf. 45. 10, 47. 2, 53. 3; 48. 5 *vanhuiiā cistōiš šiiiaodnāiš*, ‘with deeds of good insight’, cf. YH 36. 4; 48. 11 *vanhēuš ... mananhō cistiš*, ‘good thought’s insight’; 50. 10 *raocā x’əng*, ‘the light of the sun’; 51. 18 *hiiat ... rapōn tauuā*, ‘what is supportive from thee’; 53. 1 *daēnaiiā vanhuiiā uxδā šiiiaodnācā*, ‘the Good Religion’s speech and conduct’.

**97.** Objective genitive: 28. 4 *aēšē Ašahiiā*, ‘in search of Right’; 28. 9 *dasəmə stūtəṃ*, ‘in offering of praises’; 29. 2 *tašā gəuš*, ‘the maker of the cow’; 31. 8 *ašahiiā daṃmim*, ‘the creator of right’, cf. 34. 10; 30. 1 *yesniiācā Vanhēuš Mananhō*, ‘and worship of Good Thought’, cf. 51. 22; 31. 10 *vanhēuš fšəjhm mananhō*, ‘the cultivator of good thought’; 31. 15 *hanarə ... vāstriehiiā aēnaḥhō*, ‘without mistreatment of the herdsman’; 31. 19 *ərəž.uxδāi vacanḥəṃ*, ‘for true voicing of words’; 32. 11 *raēxənanhō vaēdəm*, ‘possession of their inheritance’; 32. 13 *darəsāt Ašahiiā*, ‘from the sighting of Right’; 33. 11 *ādāi kahiiācīt paiitī*, ‘at the allocation of whatever’; 34. 8 *ōβahiiā ... aštā uruuātahiiā*, ‘in hatred of thy law’; 34. 9 *vanhēuš əuuisti mananhō*, ‘in their non-acquisition of good thought’; 43. 5 *anḥēuš zaḍōi*, ‘at the generation of the world’; 43. 14 *sardanā səngahiiā*, ‘detractors of the law’; 46. 3 *anḥēuš darəθrāi*, ‘for the upholding of the world’; 46. 4 *ašahiiā važdrəng*, ‘bringers of right’; 46. 17 *vahməng ... rādanhō*, ‘praises of the Caring One’; 48. 10 *hamaēstārō aēšmahiiā*, ‘smiters of violence’; 50. 6 *hizuuō raidīm stōi mahiiā*, ‘to be the charioteer of my tongue’; 50. 11 *dātā anḥēuš*, ‘the ordainer of the world’, cf. 44. 7; 51. 20 *nəmanḥā Mazdā*, ‘in reverence of Mazdā’; 53. 2 *xšnum Mazdā*, ‘the gratification of Mazdā’, cf. 48. 12; 54. 1 *vanhēuš rafəθrāi mananhō*, ‘for the support of good thought’; YH 35. 2 *humatanəṃ hūxtanəṃ huuarštəṃ ... mahī aibi.jarətārō; naē naēstārō yaḍənā yohunəṃ mahī*, ‘we are approvers of good thoughts, good words, good deeds ... we are not revilers of good things’; 35. 9 *ōβəṃ aṭ aēšəṃ paitiiāstərəmcā fradaxštərəmcā dadəmaidē*, ‘we make thee both recipient and teacher of these (words)’.

**98.** Partitive genitive: 28. 1 *ahiiā yāsā ... rafəθrahiia ... vīspəng*, ‘I pray you all for (some of) his support’; 29. 3 *hātəṃ huuō aojištō*, ‘he is the mightiest of beings’, cf. 43. 2; 44. 10; 45. 6; 48. 3; YH 35. 3; 36. 2, 3, 6; 41. 2; 30. 5 *aiiā mainiiuā vərətā yē drəguuā*, ‘of these two Wills, the Wrongful one chooses’, cf. 33. 9; 45. 2; 31. 10 *aṭ hī aiiā frauuəratā vāstrim*, ‘but she of these two chose the

herdsman'; *ibid.*, *nōiṭ* ... *auuāstriiō* ... *humarātōiṣ* *baxštā*, 'the non-herdsman did not get a share in her goodwill'; 32. 8 *gēuṣ* *bagā*, 'portions of the cow'; 33. 6 *tā tōi iziīā* ... *darštōiṣcā hēm.parštōiṣcā*, 'with that (mind) I long for (some) seeing and conferring with thee'; 34. 1 *aēšam* *tōi* ... *dastē*, 'of these is offering made to thee'; 34. 12 *kaṭ vaṣī*, *kaṭ vā stūtō*, *kaṭ vā yasnahiiā*? 'what dost thou wish, what of praise, or what of worship?'; cf. 49. 12; 50. 1; 44. 15 *kuṭrā aiiā*, *kahmāi vananam dadā*? 'where between the two, to whom dost thou give the victory?'; 45. 2 *aṅhēuṣ* ... *paouruiiē*, 'at the world's beginning', cf. 44. 2; 45. 3; 50. 6 *dātā xratēuṣ* ... *rāzēng* *vohū sāhīṭ mananḥā*, 'may the giver of wisdom teach me (something of) his regimen together with good thought'; 53. 7 *būnōi haxtiiā*, 'at the base of her thighs'; *YH* 35. 8 *kahmāicūt hātam*, 'for anyone of (living) beings', i.e. anyone in the world; 37. 2 *yasnanam pauruuatātā*, 'with primacy of rites', cf. 33. 14; 40. 2 *ahiiā huuō nē dāidī*, 'grant us of it'.

Further examples that may be considered partitive are: 29. 3 *auuaēšam nōiṭ vīduiiē*, 'of these things there is not to know (= no knowing)'; 45. 8 *Vaṅhēuṣ Mainiiēuṣ śīiaodnahiiā uxḍaxiiācā vīduṣ*, 'knowing (something) of the Good Will's deed and utterance', cf. 44. 8;<sup>36</sup> 44. 13 *asruštōiṣ pōrēnāḥhō*, 'full of non-compliance' (the contents of a full receptacle are drawn from a larger pool);<sup>37</sup> 46. 3 *kadā* ... *yōi uxšānō asnam* ... *frō ašahiiā āraṅtē*? 'when will those Oxen of Days come forth (somewhere on the path) of Right?'; 46. 4 *aṭ tēṅ draguuā* ... *pāt gā frōrātōiṣ śōiḍrahiiā vā daxiiēuṣ vā*, 'but the wrongful one keeps those oxen from coming forth (anywhere) in district or region'; 46. 6 *huuō tēṅ frō gā paḍmāṅg* ... *carat*, 'he will take those oxen forward on the path'.

**99.** Defining or characterizing genitive: 28. 2 *ahuuā astuuatascā hiiatcā mananḥō*, 'the two existences, the corporeal one and that of thought', cf. 43. 3; 28. 8 *vīspāi yauuē vaṅhēuṣ mananḥō*, 'for the whole lifetime of good thought', cf. 43. 1, 53. 5; 28. 9 *išō xšadrəmcā sauuanḥam*, 'your powers and domain are of strengths'; 29. 7 *tām āzūtōiṣ* ... *māḍrəm*, 'that prescript concerning butter', cf. 31. 6; 31. 20 *darəgēm āiiū tamanḥō*, 'a long age of darkness'; 34. 2 *garōbiṣ stūtam*, 'with songs of praise'; 43. 2 *darəgō.jiiātōiṣ uruuādayḥā*, 'with bliss of long life'; 46. 3 *uxšānō asnam*, 'the Oxen of Days'; 46. 4 *paḍmāṅg hucistōiṣ*, 'the path of enlightenment', cf. 34. 12; 43. 3; 50. 4; 51. 13; 53. 2; 47. 4 *kasāuṣcīt nā*, 'even a man of little (property)'; 51. 21 *ārmātōiṣ nā*, 'a man of piety', cf. 46. 12, 49. 5; 48. 10 *mānarōiṣ narō*, 'the men of Observance(?)'; *ibid.*, *mūḍrəm ahiiā madahiiā*, 'the piss of this liquor'; 50. 4 *dəmānē garō*, 'in the house of song'; 50. 7 *zəuuiṣtiiēṅ auruuatō* ..., *vahmahiiā yūšmākahiiā*, 'the swiftest steeds, those of your laudation'; 50. 8 *padāiṣ yā frasrūtā iḗzaiiā*, 'the "footsteps" known as (those) of libation'; 53. 8 *dərəzā mərəḍḍiaoš*, 'with the fetter of death'; *YH* 36. 1 *ahiiā* ... *āḍrō vərəzənā*, 'with this fire's community'; 39. 5 *vaṅhēuṣ x'aētēuṣ x'aētātā*, 'with a good clan's clanship'.

36 For the genitive after verbs of knowing cf. Wackernagel (1926–8), i. 68.

37 The verb *par-* 'fill (with)' can also be construed with the instrumental: see 28. 10 and 49. 1 quoted in §60. Cf. Krahe 97 f.

In the following passages the genitive refers to an association that would be expressed with the instrumental if the governing noun were replaced by the corresponding verb: 44. 13 *frasaiiā Vaṅhāuš ... Manaṅhō*, ‘in consultation with Good Thought’; 49. 3 *Vaṅhāuš sarē iziiāi Manaṅhō*, ‘I desire union with Good thought’, cf. 31. 21, 49. 8, 53. 3; YH 35. 8; YH 40. 2 *tauuacā haxemā ašaxiiācā*, ‘association with thee and Right’. The instrumental is also found with *sarē*, cf. §68.

**100.** Words meaning ‘reward’, ‘punishment’, etc., take a genitive to specify the context, i.e. what is being rewarded or punished: 28. 4 *ašiš šīiaodnanam*, ‘repayments for actions’; 43. 1 *rāiiō ašiš*, ‘as reward for (my) munificence’; 30. 8 *aēšam kaēnā ... aēnaṅham*, ‘the requital for those misdeeds’; 31. 13 *yā vā kasāuš aēnaṅhō ā mazištam yamaitē bījəm*, ‘or if anyone for a minor misdeed receives the greatest punishment’; 31. 14 *yā išudō dadəntē dādranam*, ‘what requitals will be given of gifts’, cf. 34. 15; 44. 19 *ahiiā maēniš*, ‘punishment for that’; 53. 4 *manaṅhō vaṅhāuš x’ənuuat haṅhuš*, ‘the sunny fruits of good thought’; 53. 7 *atcā vā mīzdəm aṅhaṭ ahiiā magahiiā*, ‘but you will have a reward for this ceremony’. More loosely, 29. 11 *nū nā auuarē əhmā rātōiš*, ‘(come) down to us now in return for our munificence’.

**101.** Words meaning ‘rule’, ‘have power’, take a genitive of the realm over which authority is exercised: 31. 2 *yaṭā ratūm Ahurō vaēdā ... aiiā əsaiiā*, ‘how the Lord has made judgment on those two portions’, cf. 27. 13; 31. 16 *dəmanahiiā xšədrəm šōiṭrahiia vā*, ‘authority over house or district’, cf. 51. 2, 16; 31. 19 *xšaiiamnō hizuuō*, ‘being master of his tongue’, cf. 32. 15, 16; 44. 15; 48. 9; 50. 9; 51. 5; 47. 4 *isuuācīt haš paraoš*, ‘even one who disposes of much (wealth)’, cf. 50. 1; 44. 9 *hudānaoš paitiš ... xšədrahiia*, ‘the master of a beneficent dominion’.

**102.** The following examples are not easily classified but still fall under the general principle of identifying a domain:

30. 2 *varənā vīciṭahiiā*, ‘the choices in the decision’; 30. 3 *āscā hudāṅhō əraš vī.šīiātā*, ‘and between them well-doers discriminate rightly’, cf. 30. 6; 32. 8 *aēšam aēnaṅham Vīuauṅhušō srāuuī Yimascīt*, ‘in respect of these offences Vīvahvant’s son was renowned, even Yima’; *ibid.*, *aēšamcīt ā ahmī ṭbahmī ... vīciṭōi aipī*, ‘in respect of these (deeds) I am (= depend) on thy decision’, where the genitive may be regarded either as dependent on *vīciṭōi* or as more loosely defining in advance the context of the whole sentence; 31. 19 *vaṅhāu vī.dātā rənaiiā*, ‘at the two parties’ allocation in the good’; 33. 1 *dātā aṅhāuš paouruiiehiia*, ‘the ordinances of the first existence’; 34. 14 *xšmākam hucištim ... xratōuš ašā frādō vərəzənā*, ‘your enlightenment in the wisdom that promotes communities with Right’; 45. 4 *aṅhāuš ahiiā vahištəm*, ‘the best one of this existence’, cf. 34. 6; 46. 18 *vahištā maxiiā ištōiš*, ‘the best things at my disposal’; 49. 2 *ahiiā mā bənduuaṅhiiā mānaiietī*, ‘puts me in mind of that polluter(?)’, cf. 44. 5; 51. 18 *ištōiš x’arənā*, ‘illustrious of competence’; 51. 19 *gaiie-*

*hiia šīiaoθnāiš*, ‘by his lifetime conduct’; YH 38. 5 *rātōiš darəgō.bāzāuš*, ‘long-armed in liberality’.

The temporal use in 51. 12 *zimō* ‘in winter’ may also be put here.

**103.** Two genitives may depend on the same noun, as in 28. 4 *ašīscā šīiaoθnanam vīduš Mazdā*, ‘and knowing Mazdā’s repayments for actions’; 43. 3 *ərəzūš sauuanhō paθō ... ahiiā aṅhōuš astuuatō*, ‘the straight paths of advancement in this corporeal existence’; 46. 6 *Drūjō ... dāman haēθahiiā*, ‘Wrong’s abodes of partnership’.

**104.** Or one genitive may depend on another: 27. 13 *ratuš ašācīt hacā vaṅhōuš ... manahō šīiaoθnanam aṅhōuš*, ‘the judgment in line with right from(?) good thought concerning the world’s deeds’;<sup>38</sup> 28. 1 *ahiiā ... rafəθrahiia Mainiiōuš ... Spəntahiiā*, ‘of his support, the Bounteous Will’s’; 30. 10 *drūjō ... skəndō spaiiaθrahiia*, ‘destruction of wrong’s prosperity’; 43. 9 *rātam nemanhō Ašahiiā*, ‘the tribute of reverence of Right’; 43. 13 *arəθā ... kāmahiiā tēm mōi datā darəgahiiā yaoš*, ‘the endeavours (born) of the desire you have given me for long life’; 45. 8 *Vaṅhōuš Mainiiōuš šīiaoθnahiiā uxδaxiiācā vīduš*, ‘knowing (something) of the Good Will’s deed and utterance’, cf. 48. 8; 45. 11 *dəng patōiš ... uruuaθō*, ‘the ally of the master of the house’; 46. 4 *huuō təng frō gā paθmōng hucistōiš carat*, ‘he will make those oxen advance on the path of enlightenment’; 51. 10 *huuō dāmōiš drūjō hunuš*, ‘he is a son of the creator of wrong’; 53. 3 *yezuu dugədrəm Zarəvūštrahē*, ‘youngest of the daughters of Zarathustra’.

#### Locative<sup>39</sup>

**105.** The primary function of the locative is to specify a location in space, time, or circumstance. In Old Avestan it is used freely and has acquired an interesting range of applications.

**106.** Of place (real or metaphorical): 32. 3 *būmiiā haptaiθē*, ‘in earth’s seventh part’; 32. 13 *Acištahiiā dāmānē Manahō*, ‘in the house of Evil Thought’, cf. 45. 8; 46. 11; 49. 11; 50. 4; 33. 3 *Ašahiiā aṅhat Vaṅhōušcā vāstrē Manahō*, ‘he will be in the pasture of Right and Good Thought’; 33. 5 *auuaṅhānē*, ‘at the unharnessing(-place)’; *ibid.*, *paθō, yaēšū Mazdā Ahurō šaēitī*, ‘the paths on which Mazdā the Lord dwells’; 34. 8 *dūirē*, ‘at a distance, far away’; 34. 14 *gəuš vərəzānē*, ‘in the cow’s community’, cf. YH 35. 8; 43. 5 *dāmōiš uruuaēsē apəmē*, ‘at the last bend of creation’, cf. 51. 6; 44. 9 *hadəmōi*, ‘in the abode’, cf. 46. 14; 51. 12 *pəratā ... hiiat ahmī*, ‘at the crossing ... when at it’, cf. 51. 13;

38 Here *vaṅhōuš manahō* may be a third genitive (of source) or an ablative appended asyndetically to *ašācīt hacā*.

39 Spiegel §§338–9; Delbrück i. 217–30; Kellens–Pirart ii. 39–44; Skjærvø 115 f.



53. 7 *yauuaṭ āzuš ... būnōi haxtiiā*, ‘one will apply his penis at the base of her thighs’; YH 41. 2, 3 *ubōiio aḡhuuō*, ‘in both existences’.

Of movement into a place: 51. 15 *garō demānē Ahurō Mazdā jasaṭ paouruiiō*, ‘the Lord Mazdā enters the house of song first’, cf. 43. 6.

**107.** Of groups of people: 34. 3 *xšmāuuasū*, ‘among your followers’; 46. 12 *hiiaṭ us ... naptiiaēšū nafšucā Tūrahiiā jēn Friiānahiiā aojiiāēšū*, ‘when he came forth among the famed kin and descendants of Tura Friyana’; 46. 13 *martaēšū*, ‘among mortals’; 49. 4 *fšuiiasū afšuiiaṇtō*, ‘non-stockraisers among stockraisers’; 50. 2 *ərəžjīš ... pourušū huuarē pišiiasū*, ‘a true-living man among the many who blaspheme(?) the sun’.

**108.** Of organs of apperception: 31. 8 *hiiaṭ ʔβā hēm cašmainī grabəm*, ‘when I catch thee in my eye’, cf. 45. 8; 32. 1 *mahmī manōi*, ‘in my imagination’; 45. 10 *yē ʔnmānī ... srāuuī*, ‘who is heard in (my) soul’.

**109.** Of the subject’s mental condition or disposition: 28. 4 *xšāi aēšē ašahiiā*, ‘I will look out in search of Right’; 29. 11 *aṭ maḡm ... frāxšnēnē ... pai-tī.zānatā*, ‘acknowledge me in (your) providence’, cf. 43. 12; 32. 13 *yaēcā ... jḡgerəzaṭ kāmē ʔβahiiā maḡdrānō dūtīm*, ‘and those who decry in their lust thy prophet’s message’.

**110.** Of times or occasions: 30. 3 *paouruiiē*, ‘in the beginning’, cf. 44. 19; 45. 2; 31. 14 *hānkerətā hiiaṭ*, ‘as regards at the Reckoning’; 31. 19 *vī.dātā*, ‘at the allocation’; 43. 5 *aḡhōuš zaḡʔōi*, ‘at the generation of the world’, cf. 48. 6; 49. 9 *yāhī*, ‘at the Supplication’. The personal name *Maidiioi.māḡha-* (51. 19) is derived from the locative phrase *\*madyai māhi*, ‘at mid moon’.

**111.** Of activities: 28. 9 *yōiʔmā dasamē*, ‘we are busy at the offering’; 34. 2 *aṭcā ī tōi ... vīspā dātā ... pairi.gaēʔē xšmāuuatō vahmē*, ‘they are all dedicated to thee in your follower’s hymn before his flock’; 44. 13 *nōiṭ frasaiiā Vaḡhōuš cāxnarē Manaḡhō*, ‘they have taken no pleasure in consultation of Good Thought’;<sup>40</sup> 45. 6 *yehiiā vahmē Vohū frašī Manaḡhā*, ‘in whose lauding I consult with Good Thought’; 46. 9 *šiiāoḡnōi spəṇtam*, ‘bounteous in action’; 50. 1 *zūtā*, ‘at (my) invocation’; 51. 7 *sāḡjhē*, ‘in my teaching’.

**112.** Of abstract domains: 31. 8 *aḡhōuš ahurəm šiiāoḡanaēšū*, ‘lord in (the domain of) the world’s actions’; 32. 6 *ʔβahmī ... xšadrōi*, ‘in thy realm’, cf. 34. 10; 43. 13; 49. 5; 33. 2 *Ahurahiiā zaošē Mazdā*, ‘in Lord Mazdā’s favour’; 33. 10 *ʔβahmī hīš zaošē ābaxšōhuuā*, ‘give them a share in thy favour’; 50. 9 *hudā-naoš išaiiḡs gərəzdā xiiēm*, ‘may I be enabled in my benefactor’s favour’.

**113.** A peculiar use of the locative is to denote the prize to be awarded in some contest or distribution:<sup>41</sup> 30. 10 *yōi zazəṇṭī vaḡhāu srāuuahī*, ‘(the steeds) that will be first in (the race for) good repute’; 43. 12 *yā vī ašīš rānōibiiā sauuiōi*

40 For the interpretation of *frasaiiā* as loc. rather than instr. cf. Kellens–Pirart ii. 39 f.

41 Cf. K. Hoffmann, *IJ* 10 (1968), 284 f.; Narten (1986), 295.

*dāiīāt*, ‘who should distribute the rewards to the two parties in (the allocation of) strength’; 47. 6 *āṭrā vaṅhāu vī.dāitīm rānōibiiā*, ‘the distribution of the good to the two parties by fire’, cf. 31. 19; 49. 9 *daēnā vahištē yūjōn mīždē*, ‘they yoke their moral selves in (the contest for) the best reward’; YH 41. 4 *hanaē-mācā zaēmācā ... ūβahmī rafēnahī darəgāiīau*, ‘may we earn and win thy long-lasting support’.

**114.** The verb *dā-* (or *ā dā-*, *nī dā-*) is often construed with the locative to mean ‘place in’: 28. 2 *yāiš rapəntō daidīṭ xʷāṭre*, ‘by which one could set one’s supporters in well-being’, cf. 50. 5; 30. 8 *yōi Ašāi dadən zastaiiō Drujəm*, ‘who give Wrong into the hands of Right (dat.)’, cf. 44. 14; 31. 18 *ā zī dəmānəm vīsəm vā ... dāt dušitācā marəkaēcā*, ‘for he will give house or manor into chaos and ruin’; 32. 14 *ahiiā grēhmō āhōiṭōi nī Kāuuaiiascīt xratuš dadaṭ*, ‘into its fetter the glutton(?), the very Kavis surrender their reason’; 45. 9 *varəzī nā diiāt Ahurō*, ‘may the Lord set us to work’; 45. 10 *xšəṭrōi hōi hauruuātā aməratātā ahmāi stōi dən*, ‘into his control they give health and continuing life to be his’; 51. 14 *yā īš sēnghō ... Drujō dēmānē ā.dāt*, ‘a teaching that will consign them to the house of Wrong’.

**115.** Miscellaneous usages: 31. 2 *yezī āiš nōiṭ uruuānē aduuā aibī.dəraštā vaxiiā*, ‘if through these (words) the better way is not in a conspicuous place (= clearly visible) for the soul’; 43. 16 *xʷəṅg darəsōi*, ‘in sight of the sun’; 33. 2 *vaṅhāu vā cōiṭāitē astīm*, ‘or instructs his comrade in goodness’; 43. 11 *mašiiāē-šū zrazdāitiš*, ‘trust in mortals’; 44. 14 *əmauuaitīm sinəm dāuuōi drəguuasū*, ‘to deliver a crushing blow on the wrongful’; 45. 7 *aməratāitī ašāunō uruuā aešō utaiiūtā*, ‘the righteous man’s soul is active in (a state of) continuing life (and) vitality’; 46. 16 *yadrā vaṅhəuš manəḡhō ištā xšəṭrəm*, ‘where the realm of good thought is at one’s disposal’, cf. 49. 12; 48. 1 *yā daibitānā fraoxtā aməratāitī daēuuāišcā mašiiāišcā*, ‘the things deceitfully asserted in (the matter of) non-dying by Daevas and mortals’; 48. 4 *ūβahmī xratāu apēməm nanā aḡhaṭ*, ‘in (the dispositions of) thy wisdom it will go differently at the last (for the good and the bad)’; YH 41. 4 *rapōišcā tū nā darəgəmcā uštācā*, ‘mayest thou long support us and (as) in our wish’, i.e. as desired; cf. *uštā* in 27. 14; 30. 11; 43. 1.

In 43. 12 *aṭ tū mōi nōiṭ asruštā pairi.aoyžā* I incline to take *asruštā* as a neuter plural, ‘thou givest me advice that will not go unheeded’, but it is also possible to construe it as a locative of *asrušti-*, ‘not without (my) heeding’, by a special usage attested in Vedic whereby a locative noun negated by *a-* is equivalent to ‘without *x*’. Another possible example is 29. 3 *aduuāēšō gauuōi*, ‘without hostility to the cow’, if *aduuāēšō* should be an endless locative from *aduuāēšas-* rather than a nom. sg. masc. from *aduuāēša-*.<sup>42</sup>

42 B. Forssman in Crespo–García Ramón 99, 100.

## Locative with appositives

116. The locative is found in association with the following appositives.

*aiṗī*: 32. 8 *aēšamcīt ā ahmī v̄βahmī ... v̄civ̄dōi aiṗī*, ‘in respect of these (deeds) I am (= depend) upon thy decision’.

*aibī*: 43. 7 *frasaiiāi ... aibī v̄βāhū gaēv̄āhū tanušicā*, ‘for asking about thy flock and thyself’; 51. 9 *aibī ahuuāhū daxštəm dāuuōi*, ‘to establish proof about (our) mentalities’.

*ā*: 32. 7 *aojōi hādrōi ā*, ‘I declare in my righteousness(?)’; 32. 15 *Vaṗhōuš ā dāmānē Manajhō*, ‘onward into the house of Good Thought’; 34. 3 *aṭ tōi ... dāmā gaēv̄ā v̄spā ā xšāvrōi*, ‘we will give all our living bodies into thy dominion’; 43. 2 *ahmāi xv̄āvrōi ā nā xv̄āvrəm daidūtā*, ‘a man might add well-being to well-being for himself’; 46. 5 *yē vā xšaiiṗas ā dām drītā aiianṭəm*, ‘or a man who on his own authority should take into his house one coming’; 49. 10 *taṭcā ... v̄βahmī ā dām nipājhē*, ‘and that (reward) thou keepest in thy house’, cf. 48. 7; 49. 8 *dā sarōm ... ṗam vaṗhāu v̄βahmī ā xšāvrōi*, ‘grant the union that is in thy good domain’; 50. 4 *yā īšō stāṗhaṭ ā paiv̄i*, ‘by which one may stand forth on the path of enablement’; 51. 8 *hiiaṭ akōi ā drəguuāitē, uštā yē ašəm dādrē ... ṗam xšnūtəm rānōibiiā dā*, ‘that the atonement thou didst establish for the two parties is amid ill for the wrongful one, but in bliss for him who has embraced right’ (on *uštā* see above, §115).

*paiv̄i*: 33. 11 *mərəždātā mōi ādāi kahiiācīt paiv̄i*, ‘have mercy on me at the allocation of whatever it may be’; 51. 22 *yehiiā ... yesnē paiv̄i*, ‘in whose worship’; YH 38. 5 *auuā vē, Vaṗhīs, ... nāšū paiv̄i*, ‘I will assist you, Good Ones, at your arrivings’; 40. 1 *āhū aṭ paiv̄i adāhū*, ‘at these oblations’.

*pairī*: 29. 5 *nōiṭ ərəžjiiōi frajiiāitiš ... drəguuasū pairī?* ‘is there no prospect for the righteous-living one among the wrongful?’; 34. 8 *šiiāoṽnāiš ... yaēšū as pairī pourubiiō iṽiiejō*, ‘by their actions, in which there was danger for many’.

Vocative<sup>43</sup>

117. Vocatives are very frequent in the *Gāthās*. The addressees may be specific ones, or entire classes of being, as in 30. 11 *mašiiāṗhō*, ‘O mortals’. A vocative is most often associated with another grammatical marker of allocution, a second-person pronoun and/or a second-person verb (indicative or imperative). It may appear without any such marker in a prayer, which by its nature presupposes an addressee, as in 28. 1; 30. 9; or in a question, as 31. 15; 48. 10, 11. But there are many passages where no marker is present and there is no apparent reason for an addressee to be named, as in 31. 10; 32. 11, 16; 33. 3; 45. 11; 46. 4, 5; 48. 5; 49. 3; 51. 10, 11.

43 Spiegel §§312; Delbrück i. 394–400; Smith 44–8; Kellens–Pirart ii. 44–52; Skjærvo 104 f.

**118.** Where two or more separate addressees are coupled we find the so-called *Vāyav Indraśca* construction,<sup>44</sup> by which one is put in the vocative and the other(s) in the nominative: 30. 9 *Mazdāscā Ahurāṅhō ... Ašācā*, ‘Mazdā (nom.), Lords (voc. rather than nom.), and Right (nom. rather than voc.)’;<sup>45</sup> with only implicit vocative, 33. 11 *yā səuuištō ahurō mazdāscā, Ārmaitišcā Ašəmčā ... Manascā Vohū Xšaθrəmčā, sraotā mōi*, ‘(O thou) who art the strongest Lord and the Mindful One, and Piety (nom.) and Right and Good Thought and Dominion (all neuters, presumably nom.), hearken to me’. What underlies this syntagm is the sense that ‘you’ can only refer to one specific person or group, and any others are ‘they’, so that ‘hear me, A and B and C’ is rendered as if it were ‘hear me, A, and (let) B and C (hear)’—though the ‘hear me’ is in the plural.

**119.** Analogous phenomena occur when the first addressee is associated with a second-person pronoun in an oblique case and the secondary addressees appear in that same case:<sup>46</sup> 28. 3 *yā vā Ašā ufiiānī Manascā Vohū ... Mazdəmčā Ahurəm*, ‘I who will hymn you (acc. pl.), Right (voc.), and Good Thought (acc.?) and Mazdā the Lord (acc.)’; 28. 9 *anāiš vā nōit, Ahurā [Mazdā], Ašəmčā yānāiš zara-naēmā*, ‘with these prayers may we not anger you (pl.), Lord (voc.), and Right (acc.?)’; 49. 6 *frō vā išiiā, Mazdā, Ašəmčā mrūtē*, ‘I desire you (acc. pl.), Mazdā (voc.) and Right (acc.?), to speak’; 32. 9 *tā uxδā mainiiōuš mahiiā, Mazdā, Ašāicā yūšmaibiiā gərəzē*, ‘(with) these utterances of my will, Mazdā, I complain to you (pl.) and to Right’; 34. 3 *aṭ tōi miiazdem, Ahurā, nəmajhā Ašāicā dāmā gaēθā vīspā*, ‘as oblation for thee, Lord, and for Right, we will give with reverence all our living bodies’; 51. 2 *tā vā, Mazdā, paouruūm, Ahurā, Ašāiēcā tai-biiācā, Ārmaitē, dōišā mōi ištōiš xšaθrəm*, ‘so to you (dat. pl.), Lord Mazdā (voc.), first and to Right (dat.) and to thee (new dat. pronoun), Piety (voc.), I will show the command of my competence’; cf. 32. 6.

## Pronouns<sup>47</sup>

### Personal pronouns and adjectives

**120.** Specialized personal pronouns exist for the 1st and 2nd persons (sg., dual, pl.). There are two series of forms, one emphatic, the other enclitic and unemphatic. Use of the nominative pronouns implies some emphasis, as the subject is sufficiently identified from the verb form. There is nevertheless what looks like an enclitic form *tū* beside the tonic *tuuōm* (see §283), and *as(-cīt)* in 46. 18 (in second position in the clause) has the look of an enclitic beside the initial

44 Delbrück i. 396 f.; Zwolanek (1970); S. W. Jamison, *MSS* 49 (1988), 13–59.

45 For nom./voc. *Ašā* cf. §27.

46 Cf. Reichelt §435; Risch (1964); Insler 121 f.

47 Caland (1891); Reichelt §§565–601; Skjærvø 116–24.

*azēm* of 29. 10 and 44. 7, 11, despite the apparent emphasis given to it by the suffixed particle *-cīt*; cf. 29. 10 *azēmciīt*, ‘I for one’, ‘I at any rate’. Conceivably in *ahmāi.as.cīt* the particle is to be taken as emphasizing the *ahmāi*, with the enclitic *az* tucked in between, not ‘on him I for my part’ but ‘certainly on him I’.

**121.** For the 1st and 2nd sg. and pl. persons there is a corresponding series of possessive adjectives, *ma- ōβa- ahmāka- xšmāka-/yūšmāka-*. (A further series, *māuuant- ōβāuuant- xšmāuuant-/yūšmāuuant-*, denotes ‘a person of my/your kind’ or one of similar persuasion.<sup>48</sup>) Possession can also be indicated by means of the dative enclitic pronouns; see §75. Occasionally the genitive of the emphatic pronoun is used: 43. 14 *tauuā rafənō frāxšnənəm*, ‘thy providential support’; 53. 9 *taṭ, Mazdā, tauuā xšaṽrəm*, ‘that, Mazdā, is thy dominion’.

**122.** In addressing Mazdā Zarathushtra alternates unpredictably between sg. and pl. pronouns and verb forms; the pl. is understood to include the associated powers, especially Right and Good Thought.<sup>49</sup> Sometimes, to make explicit who is included in the pl. ‘you’, he adds the name of Right or of both entities, either in the same case as the pronoun (or possessive adjective) with appended *-cā* ‘and’, or in the sociative instrumental (cf. §64).<sup>50</sup>

With *-cā*: see examples in §119.

With instrumental: 46. 13 *tēm vā Ašā mēhmaidī hušhaxāim*, ‘him we apprehend as your and Right’s good friend’; 50. 10 *xšmakāi Ašā vahmāi, Mazdā*, ‘(these things) are for your lauding with Right, Mazdā’. With *hadā*: 50. 4, quoted in §70.

In 51. 15 we find a combination of both constructions: *tā vā Vohū Manajhā* (instr.) *Ašāicā* (dat.) *sauuāiš cauuīšī*, ‘these (lauds) were assigned to you together with Good Thought and to Right because of (your) power to strengthen’, where ‘you’ = Mazdā, Right, and Good Thought.

**123.** For the 3rd person there are specialized enclitic forms; where emphasis is required, demonstratives from different roots are employed:

Sg. nom. *huuō hī taṭ*, acc. *tēm tām taṭ*, dat. *ahmāi axiiāi*, gen. *ahiiā*.

Dual gen. (all genders) *aiiā/ās-cā*.

Pl. nom. *tōi tā tā*, acc. *tōng/tā tā tā*, instr. *tāiš*, dat./abl. *aēbiiō ābiiō*, gen. *aēšqm*.

In 47. 1 *ahmāi* appears to be used with generic reference: *spəntā mainiiū vahiš-tācā manajhā ... ahmāi dan hauruuātā aməratātā*, ‘on account of bounteous will and best thought they will give one health and life’. In 46. 2 *īt* is used loosely of the matter that Zarathushtra is complaining of: *ā it vaēnā, Ahurā*, ‘look to it, Lord’.

48 Cf. Smith 49 f.

49 Cf. Smith 36–43; Kellens–Pirart ii. 51 f.

50 Cf. Delbrück iii. 256–8; Risch (1964); Humbach i. 101.

**124.** A pronoun may be followed up by the name of the one it refers to; see examples in §20.

Contrariwise, an extended noun phrase may be summed up by a following pronoun: 33. 10 *vīspās tā hujītaiiō yā zī āṅharē yāscā hēṅtī yāscā ... bauuainīti, ūḅahmī hīś zaošē ā baxšōhuuā*, ‘all those good lives that have been and those that are and those that will come to be, give them shares in thy favour’.

**125.** In all persons the dative and genitive emphatic pronouns serve also as reflexives: 28. 8 *yāsā vāumuś narōi Frašaoštrāi maibiiācā*, ‘I pray longingly on behalf of the manly Frashaushtra and myself’, cf. 31. 4; 46. 3; 51. 10; 34. 1 *yasnā aməratatātəm ašəmcā taibiiō dāṅhā*, ‘the worship through which thou takest for thyself continuing life and right’, cf. 44. 18; 43. 2 *ahmāi* ‘for himself’, cf. 27. 14; 44. 19; 49. 2; 50. 2; 51. 19; YH 35. 6; 31. 10 *axiiāi* ‘for herself’; 47. 5 *ahiiā šīiaoḍnāiš* ‘by his own actions’, cf. 48. 4; 46. 15 *xšmaibiiā* ‘for yourselves’.

The enclitic pronoun appears to be similarly used at 51. 2 *tā vā ... dōišā mōi ištōiš xšadrəm*, ‘so I will show you my command of competence’.

The noun *tanu-* ‘body, person’ is also used in reflexive expressions: 30. 2, see §126; 43. 7 *kaḅā aiiarē daxšārā frasaiiāi dīšā aibi ūḅāhū gaēḍāhū tanušicā?* ‘how mightest thou take a day (for me) to ask teaching about thy flock and thyself?’

**126.** There is also a specialized reflexive adjective *x<sup>a</sup>a-*, indifferent to person and number, ‘my/your/his/their own’: 31. 20 *šīiaoḍnāiš x<sup>a</sup>āiš*, ‘through your own actions’, cf. 33. 14; 46. 4; 49. 4; 51. 13, 14. *x<sup>a</sup>a-* refers back to the most prominent personal presence in the sentence, which is not necessarily the grammatical subject: 30. 2 *ā vaēnatā ... ā varānā vīciḍahiiā narēm narēm x<sup>a</sup>axiiāi tanuiiē*, ‘behold the choices in the decision (made) man by man for his own person’; 46. 11 *yāṅṅ x<sup>a</sup>ā uruuā x<sup>a</sup>aēcā xraodaṭ daēnā*, ‘whom their own soul and their own conscience will torment’. In 51. 22 *tā yazāi x<sup>a</sup>āiš nāmēnīš*, it is not certain whether the meaning is ‘those (immortals) I shall worship using my own names’ for them, or ‘using their own (true) names’. The latter is preferable, since *tā* is an explicit topic.

### Demonstrative pronouns<sup>51</sup>

**127.** Deictic utterances in the texts refer mainly to ritual activity taking place on the spot or to things immediately visible. The usual deictic pronoun/pronominal adjective is accordingly *aiiōm* (stem *a-/i-/ima-*) ‘this’: 28. 9 *anāiš ... yānāiš*, ‘with these prayers’; 29. 8 *aēm mōi idā vistō, ... Zarduštrō Spītāmō*, ‘this man here I have found, Z. S.’; YH 35. 9 *imā aṭ uxḍā vacā*, ‘these words that we speak’; 36. 1 *ahiiā ... āḍrō vərəzānā*, ‘with this fire’s community’; 38. 1 *imam ... zaṃ*, ‘this Earth’; 40. 1 *āhū aṭ paiṭi adāhū*, ‘at these oblations’. Where a second demonstrative is required for a different referent, it is *huuō*

51 Caland 4–16.

(root *auua-*): 44. 12 *katārēm ā, †aṅgrō (aiiēm?) vā huuō vā aṅgrō? ... huuō, nōiṭ aiiēm, aṅgrō mainiiētē*, ‘which (of those I question) is my enemy, this one or that one? ... He, not the other, thinks as an enemy’;<sup>52</sup> YH 36. 6 *imā raocā ... auuat ... huuarā*, ‘this daylight ... yonder sun’.

128. These pronouns can also point forward or backward to things in the text: 47. 2 *ahiiā mainiiēuš spēništahiiā vahištəm ... vərəziiat ōiiā cistī*, ‘*huuō ptā Aša-hiiā Mazdā*’, ‘of this most bounteous will the best (showing) one effects with this insight, “he is the father of Right, Mazdā”’; 31. 15 *pərəsā auuat, yā maēniš, yā drəguuāitē xšaṅrəm hunāitī*, ‘I ask this: what the punishment is if one is broaching dominion for the wrongful one’. So with *taṭ, īt*: 44. 12 *taṭ ōβā pərəsā ... kē ašauuā, yāiš pərəsāi, drəguuā vā?* ‘this I ask thee: who is righteous or wrongful of those I question?’; 44. 20 *ciṭnā, Mazdā, huxšaṅrā daēuuā ānharō— aṭ īt pərəsā—yōi ...*, ‘what, Mazdā, have the Daevas been good rulers—this is what I ask—they that ...’.

129. For the rest, the various demonstratives are used in anaphoric function, referring to something or someone either already identified or defined in an associated relative clause. Relative clauses very often have a correlative demonstrative in the main clause, whether this precedes or follows:

(Stem *ta-*): 30. 1 *aṭ tā vaxsiiā ... yā mazdāṅvā*, ‘now I will tell those things that you are to bring to the attention’, cf. 30. 3, 11; 31. 5, 14; 30. 9 *aṭcā tōi vaēm xiiāmā, yōi ...*, ‘may we be those who’; 32. 1 *tēng dārāiō, yōi vā dai-bišəṅtī*, ‘to scatter those who hate you’; 31. 3 *hiiat uruuatəm cazdōḡhuuadabiō, taṭ nā, Mazdā, viḍuuanōi vaocā*, ‘the rule that is for the prudent, tell us it, Mazdā, for our knowledge’; 31. 13 *yā frasā āuuīšiiā, yā vā ... pərəsāētē taiiā, yā vā ... tā ... aibī ašā vaēnahī vīspā*, ‘the question that is clear-cut, or the secrets that the two debate, of if someone ..., all those things thou regardest with right’; YH 35. 3 *taṭ aṭ vərəmaidī ... hiiat ī mainimadicā vaocōimācā varəzimācā yā ...*, ‘we choose to think and speak and do those things that ...’.

(Stem *auua-*): 29. 10 *xšaṅrəmcā auuat ... yā hušəitiš rāmaṅcā dāt*, ‘and that authority by which one may establish fair dwelling and peace’, cf. 31. 6; 29. 9 *kadā yauuā huuō aḡhaṭ, yā hōi dadaṭ zastauuat auuō?* ‘when will there ever be that man who will give him physical assistance?’; 32. 10 *huuō mā nā srauuā mōrəndaṭ, yā acištəm vaēnaḡhē aogədā ḡm ašibiiā huuarēcā*, ‘that man perverts good repute, who declares that the worst thing to behold with the eyes is the cow and the sun’; 31. 7 *yas.tā maṅtā paouruiō ... xšaṅrā, huuō xraḍβā ḡamiš ašəm*, ‘he who first conceived these felicities, he with his sapience is the creator of Right’, cf. 46. 13.

(Stem *a-/i-/ima-*): 28. 10 *aṭ yəṅg ... vōistā ... dāḍəṅg ... aēibiiō pərənā āpa-nāiš kāməm*, ‘those whom thou knowest to be upright, fulfil their desire with attainment’; 30. 8 *aēibiiō sastē ... yōi Ašāi dadən zastaiō Druḡəm*, ‘to proclaim to those who deliver Wrong into the hands of Right’, cf. 31. 1; 31. 6 *ahmāi*

52 On the text cf. §300.

*aḡhaṭ vahištəm, yē mōi vīduuā vaocāṭ haiṭīm*, ‘it will go best for him who knows and speaks my truth’; 33. 9 *aiiā ārōi hākuranəm, yaiiā hacintē uruuānō*, ‘the fellowship of those two is established, whose souls accord’; 34. 1 *yā śīiaodnā, yā vacaḡhā, yā yasnā aməratātām ašəmcā taibiiō dāḡhā, ... aēšqam tōi ... dastē*, ‘with which deed, with which word, with which worship thou takest for thyself continuing life and right, of these is offering made thee’.

**130.** When there is no relative clause, *huuō* is generally emphatic ‘he’ (with other stems used for other cases, cf. §123): 29. 4 *hātqam huuō aojištō ...*, *Mazdā*, ‘he is the mightiest of beings, Mazda’; 29. 7 *huuō urušaēibiiō spəntō sāsnaīiā*, ‘he is bounteous to the needy through his ordinance’; 29. 8 *aēm mōi idā vistō, ... Zərəduštrō Spitāmō: huuō nē ... vaštī ... carəkərəḡrā srāuuaiiejhē*, ‘this man here I have found, Z. S.: he desires to broadcast our praises’. But a speaker, after characterizing himself, can then use *huuō* of himself, as it were ‘as such a man I ...’: 33. 4–6 *yē ṭβaṭ ... asruštīm ... yazāi apā, ... yas.tē vīspə.mazištəm sraošəm zbaiiā ...*, *yē zaotā ašā ərəzuš, huuō mainiiōuš ā vahištāt kaiiā*, ‘I that by worship will seek to keep disregard from thee ... I that will invoke my supreme compliance to thee ... I that minister straight in accord with right, as that man I desire from best will ...’; with 3rd-person formulation, 43. 16 *aṭ Ahurā, huuō mainiiūm Zərəduštrō vərəntē*, ‘O Lord, this Z. (as portrayed in the preceding stanzas) chooses the will ...’. In *YH* we find it with 2nd-person reference: 36. 2 (following mention of the fire’s power to punish) *uruuāzištō huuō nā yātāiīā paiī.jamiiā, ātarə Mazdā Ahurahiīā*, ‘as such, most joyous, mayest thou come for our supplicating, O fire of Lord Mazda’; cf. 40. 2.<sup>53</sup>

In 32. 9 *huuō* serves as marker of a second clause in which the verb is to be supplied from the first: *dušsastiš srauuā mōrəndaṭ, huuō jiiātəuš sənḡhanāiš xra-tūm*, ‘the false teacher perverts good repute, he (also perverts) life’s reason with his pronouncements’.

**131.** *ta-* can be used as a placeholder for a noun previously mentioned so that a genitive can be attached to it: 43. 10 *parštəm zī ṭβā yaṭənā tat †əmauuatqam*, ‘for the question asked by thee is like that of the †strong’.<sup>54</sup>

**132.** The neuter instrumental *tā* can function as a sentence-connective, ‘so’, ‘therefore’: 45. 11 *yas.tā daēuuōḡng ... mašīiəscā tarəməštā*, ‘he then who scorns the Daevas and mortals’; 51. 10 *tā duždā, yōi hənfi*, ‘so (he is) a malefactor (of all) who are’; 51. 2, 13. In 51. 12 it is correlative to *hiiat* and means ‘by the fact that’, ‘in that’: *nōit tā īm xšnāuš vaēpiiō Kəuuīnō pərətā ...*, *hiiat ahmī uru-raost aštō*, ‘the Kavi catamite did not please him thereby at the crossing, that the emissary had barred his way at it’.

53 Cf. Delbrück i. 399 f. A different interpretation in Narten (1986), 146: ‘du dort’; cf. J. S. Klein in Crespo–García Ramón 261–4, 267 f.

54 *əmauuatqam* is a senseless corruption caused by *əmauuantəm* in the following line; cf. West (2008), 126.



## Demonstrative adverbs of manner, time, and place

133. *aṭā*, *iṭā* ‘thus’, especially as a predicate (with or without the verb ‘to be’), of what is true as stated: 32. 6 *yezī tāiš aṭā*, ‘if thereby (it is really) so’; 34. 6 *yezī aṭā stā haiṭim*, ‘if ye are like this in truth’, cf. 44. 6; 53. 6 *iṭā ī haiṭiā narō*, *aṭā*, *jōnaiiō*, ‘these truths are like this, gentlemen, like so, ladies’. With optatives in *YH*, 40. 3 *aṭā xʷaētus*, *aṭā vərəzōnā*, *aṭā haxōməm xiiāt*, ‘so may it be with the clan, so with the communities, so with the societies’; 41. 3.

Used with *nōit* like Latin *non item*: 47. 4 *ahmāt mainiiōuš rārōšieinī drəguuanōtō ... spəntāt*; *nōit iṭā ašauuanō*, ‘from this bounteous will the wrongful deflect people; not so the righteous’. (But a similar structure without *iṭā* in 30. 3 *āscā hudāḡhō ərəš vī.šiiātā*, *nōit duždāḡhō*, ‘and between them well-doers discriminate rightly; not (so) ill-doers’.)

Correlative with *yaṭā*: 27. 13 *yaṭā ahū vairiō*, *aṭā ratuš ... dazdā ... Mazdāi*, ‘as (he is) the master for choice, so the direction is assigned to Mazdā’; 29. 4 *aṭā aḡhaṭ*, *yaṭā huuō vasat*, ‘it will be as he will’; 33. 1 *yaṭā āiš*, *iṭā varōsaitē*, *yā dātā*, ‘as by what was ordained, so it will be carried out’; 45. 3 *yōi im vē nōit iṭā* (v.l. *aṭā*) *maḡrəm varōšəni*, *yaṭā im mēnāicā vaocācā*, ‘those of you who do not act on this prescript in the way I conceive and speak it’; *YH* 36. 2 *yaṭā āt ... vaēdā haiṭim*, *aṭā haṭ vohū tatē āt ū vərəziōtū*, ‘as anyone knows a truth, so, it being good, let him then put it into effect’, cf. 39. 4.

Introducing imperatives, *aṭā* means ‘therefore’: 29. 1; 31. 18; 34. 7; 53. 3.<sup>55</sup>

Like Sanskrit *iti*, *aṭā* can also be used to mark off a quotation of direct speech: 51. 16 *spəntō Mazdā Ahurō*, *aṭā nē sazdiāi uštā*, ‘to proclaim to us as desired, “Bounteous is Lord Mazdā”’; cf. §273.

*ūitī* ‘thus’: introducing direct speech, 45. 2 *yaiiā spaniā ūiti mrauuat yēm angrəm*, ‘of whom the Bounteous one was to speak thus to the Hostile one’, with the speech following; to avoid repeating a phrase, *YH* 39. 3 (we worship the good entities), *yōi Vaḡhēuš ā Manaḡhō šiiēinī yāscā ūitī*, ‘those (masc.) that dwell on the side of Good Thought, and those (fem.) ditto’.

*nū* ‘now’: 45. 8 *nū †zīt cašmainī vī.adarəsəm*, ‘I have just now discerned him in my eye’;<sup>56</sup> in statement of intention, 51. 1 *taṭ nē nū.cīt varōšanē*, ‘that I will do for us right now’. In a weakened sense in appeals or exhortations, ‘come now’: 45. 1 *nū gūšōdūm*, *nū sraotā*, ... *nū im vīspā ... mazdāḡhōdūm*, ‘listen now, hear now, all now take it to heart’; 29. 11 *Ahurā*, *nū nā auuarē*, ‘Lord, now (come) down to us’.

A longer form occurs in 31. 7 *yē ā nūrēm.cīt ... hamō*, ‘which even now is the same’.

*adā* ‘then, thereupon’: 29. 2; 30. 10.

55 G. E. Dunkel, *HS* 101 (1988), 62 f., regards *aṭā* in this use as a different word from *aṭā* ‘thus’.

56 *zīt* may contain the particles *zī īt*, but there should be four syllables where we have only *nū zīt*.

*atā* ‘then, thereupon’, is a probable conjecture for *at ā* in 29. 6, and similarly *tatā* in *YH* 35. 6 (see §278).

*aṭrā* ‘there, in that situation’: 31. 12; ‘thither’, correlative with *yaṭrā*, 46. 16 *aṭrā tū aradrāiš idī ... yaṭrā ašā hacaitē ārmaitiš*, ‘go with the zealous ones to where Piety is together with Right’.

### Relative pronouns

**134.** Relative clauses will be discussed later (§§222–39). Regarding the pronoun, the following points may be noted here:

The neut. acc. *hiiat* is used as a conjunction introducing clauses of various types, with meanings varying accordingly: ‘(the fact) that’, ‘because’, ‘seeing that’, ‘when’, ‘in order that’; also *parā hiiat* ‘before’. For details see §§240–7, 249–50, 252, 256.

It may also be used without a verb, virtually as a particle; see §311.

The neut. instr. *yā* can mean ‘the way in which, how’ or ‘the reason for which, why’: 34. 12 *srūidiāi ... frāuuacā, yā vī.dāiāt ašiš rāšnām*, ‘proclaim for our hearing how the rewards of (thy) rulings might be distributed’; 46. 2 *vaēdā taṭ, yā ahmī ... anaēšō: mā kamnafšuuā*, ‘I know why I am ineffectual: because of my poverty in cattle’. In 31. 16 it forms the first element of a compound adjective: *pərəsā auuat ... yadā huuō aṇhaṭ yā.šīiaoṭnascā*, ‘I ask this ... when such a man will exist, and how-acted’.

The neut. abl. *yāt* means ‘from when, since’: 32. 4 *yāt yūš tā fra.mīmaṭā*, ‘ever since you have enjoined those things’; *YH* 36. 6 *barəzištəm barəzimanām auuat yāt huuarə auuācī*, ‘highest of the high (we proclaim) yonder sun since it was named’.

### Relative adverbs/conjunctions

**135.** *yaṭā* ‘in which way, how, as’: 30. 4; 31. 2, 14, 16; 44. 1, 18; 46. 9; 48. 9; 49. 6; 51. 5; often correlative with *aṭā* or *iṭā*, see §133; with ellipse of verb, 30. 7 *aēšqəm tōi ā aṇhaṭ yaṭā ādānāiš paouruiiō*, ‘their leader will be yours there as if in irons’. *yaṭā* can also introduce a final clause, ‘in order to’: §253.

In 34. 5 *kā ištīš šīiaoṭnāi, Mazdā, yaṭā vā hahmī?*, the *prima facie* meaning ‘or how I sleep’ is nonsensical; the phrase may represent an erroneous resegmentation of *hiiat ā vō ahmī* (originally *\*yat ā vah ahmī*), ‘as I am in your hands’.

*yaṭenā* ‘in the same way as, like’: 43. 10, quoted in §131; 31. 22 *ciṭrā ī hudāṇhē, yaṭenā vaēdāmnāi manāḥā*, ‘these things are clear to the well-doer just as he apprehends them in his mind’, meaning perhaps that he sees them just as they are; *YH* 35. 2 *humatanām hūxtanām huuarštānām ... mahī aibī.jarətārō, naē naēštārō yaṭenā vohunām mahī*, ‘of good thoughts, good words, good deeds we are approvers, just as we are not revilers of what is good’.

*yadā* ‘when’, of future time, as a conjunction introducing a temporal clause: §246. In an indirect question: 31. 16, quoted in §134.

*yadrā* ‘where’, local or situational: 30. 9; 31. 11, 12; 53. 7; ‘to where’, 46. 11; correlated with *adrā*, 46. 16.

*yauuat* ‘as far as’, ‘as long as’, local or temporal: 34. 9 *aēibiiō maš ašā siiaz-daṭ*, *yauuat ahmaṭ aurunā xrafstrā*, ‘from them it will retreat a great distance, as far as the savage predators from us’; 43. 8 *yauuat ā v̄βā, Mazdā, staomī ufiācā*, ‘so long as I am praising and hymning thee, Mazda’; 28. 4 *yauuat isāi tauuācā*, ‘so long as I have the ability and strength’, cf. 43. 9; 50. 11; a different form in a similar usage at *YH* 35. 7 *yātō isāmaidē*, ‘so far as we are able’.<sup>57</sup>

### Interrogative pronouns

**136.** The interrogatives are used only in direct questions, not in indirect.

*kē* ‘who, what’ can be used both as a pronoun and adjectivally: 29. 1 *kē mā tašaṭ*? ‘who fashioned me?’; 44. 5 *kē huuāpā raocāscā dāt tēmāscā*? ‘what skilful one made the light and the darkness?’; 49. 7 *kē airiamā, kē xʷaētus ... aṅhaṭ*? ‘which tribe, which clan will it be?’

In the nom. and acc. masc. sg. we also find the strengthened forms *kas.nā*, *kēm.nā* (44. 3, 4; 46. 7). In the nom. this may have been understood as ‘what man?’ (cf. 51. 11 *kē ... nā*), but the *nā* was probably an original particle. Cf. §308; Brugmann (1902) §839. 4.

The form *ciš* appears in the strengthened form *ciṭṭanā* (§137), but otherwise only in the question that Zarathushtra represents a stranger as asking him: 43. 7 *ciš ahī, kahiiā ahī*? ‘who art thou? whose art thou?’; possibly a dialect difference is implied, or a more conversational register.

The plural is used in questions about groups of people: 44. 6 *kaēibiiō azīm rāniio.skeraītīm gəm tašō*? ‘for what people did you fashion the gladdening milch cow?’, cf. 46. 3; 48. 11.

**137.** The neuter *kaṭ* can introduce a question without relation to subject or object, ‘est-ce que ...?’: 28. 5 *Ašā, kaṭ v̄βā darāsānī*? ‘O Right, shall I see thee?’; 48. 2 *kaṭ ašauuā ... vāṅghaṭ drəguuantəm*? ‘will the righteous man vanquish the wrongful one?’; 50. 1 *kaṭ mōi uruuā isē cahiiā auuāḡhō*? ‘does my soul command any succour?’ (unless it is ‘what of any succour’). The position of the enclitics *v̄βā* and *mōi* precludes the translation of *kaṭ* as ‘what, ...?’ Contrast the stronger question-marker *ciṭṭanā* (= \**ciṭ.nā*, which replaces \**kaṭ.nā*): 44. 20 *ciṭṭanā, Mazdā, huxšadrā daēuuā āḡharō*? ‘what, Mazda, have the Daevas been good rulers?’

**138.** A ‘which of two’ question is introduced by the neuter *katārōm*, even if the alternatives are masculines (just as in Gk. πότερον ... ἢ ..., Latin *utrum ...*

<sup>57</sup> See Narten (1986), 119 f.

an ...): 31. 17 *katārōm* ašauuā vā drōguuā vā vərənauuaitē maziio? ‘which is to be the more persuasive, the righteous one or the wrongful?’, cf. 44. 12.

#### Interrogative adverbs

**139.** *kaṭā* ‘how?’: 43. 7; 44. 2, 9, 11, 13, etc. In 29. 2 *kaṭā tōi gauuōi ratuš?* ‘how (was) thy ruling for the cow?’, *kaṭā* is the predicate of a nominal sentence, equivalent to ‘how didst thou ordain?’

*kadā* ‘when?’ in questions about the future: 29. 9; 46. 3; 48. 9 (var.), 10, 11.

*kudā* ‘where?’: 29. 11 *kudā ašəm vohūcā manō?* ‘where are right and good thought?’

*kuṭrā* ‘(to) where?’: 34. 7 *kuṭrā tōi aradrā?* ‘where are thy zealous ones?’, cf. 51. 4; 44. 15 *kuṭrā aiiā, kahmāi vananaṃ dadā?* ‘where between the two, to whom dost thou give the victory?’; 46. 1 *kaṃ nəmōi zaṃ, kuṭrā nəmōi aiiēni?* ‘what land for refuge, (to) where am I to go for refuge?’

*kū* ‘where?’: 51. 4 *kuṭrā yasō.xiiēn ašəm? kū spəntā ārmaitiš?* ‘where is lustre-giving right? where liberal piety?’; 53. 9.

#### Indefinite pronouns<sup>58</sup>

**140.** The same forms serve for indefinite pronouns as for interrogative, but *ciš* plays a larger role:

*naē.ciš* ‘no one’, 43. 6, 13; acc. masc. *naē.cīm*, 34. 7; neut. *naē.cīt* ‘nothing’, 32. 7.

*mā.ciš* ‘let no one’, 31. 18; 48. 9 *yezī cahiiā xsaiiaṭā*, ‘if you have control of anything’; 50. 1 *kaṭ mōi uruuā isē cahiiā auuaṃhō?* ‘does my soul command any succour?’

**141.** A relative clause is given comprehensive reference by following up the relative pronoun with the corresponding part of *ciš* + *-cā*: 43. 16 *huuō mainiiūm Zaratuštrō vərəntē, Mazdā, yas.tē cišcā spəništō*, ‘this Zarathushtra chooses that will, Mazda, whichever is thy most bounteous one’; 45. 5 *yōi mōi ahmāi sraošəm daṃ caiiascā*, ‘whatever people give me compliance with it’; 47. 5 *ašāunē cōiš yā zī cīcā vahištā*, ‘to the righteous one thou assignest whatever things are best’.

**142.** *ka-* + *cīt* is used to mean ‘any’, ‘whatever it may be’: 33. 11 *mərəzdātā mōi ādāi kahiiācīt paiī*, ‘have mercy on me at the allocation of whatever (it may be)’; 43. 1 *uštā ahmāi, yahmāi uštā kahmāicīt*, ‘as desired by him to whom it is desirable, anyone’, cf. 44. 16; 46. 8 *paitiiaogaṭ tā ahmāi jasōiṭ duuaēšəṃhā ... kācīt, Mazdā, duuaēšəṃhā*, ‘may those (actions) recoil on him with hostility ...

<sup>58</sup> Caland 48–50.

yes, with whatever sort of hostility, Mazdā!'; 49. 5 *ārmātōiš kascī* *ašā huzēntuš*, 'any well-born man of piety with Right'; YH 38. 5 *kahmāicī* *hātəm*, 'for anyone in the world'.

Hence the remarkable compound in YH 39. 2 *ašāunəm āaṭ urunō yazamaidē kudō.zātanəm.cīt*, 'we worship the souls of the righteous, wherever born'.

## Verbs

### Person and Number

**143.** The verb paradigms contain forms for the three persons and the three numbers (singular, dual, plural).

**144.** A single speaker may use the 1st pl. when speaking on behalf of a group, as in YH 35. 2, 3, and *passim*; so presumably 31. 1 *tā vā uruuātā marantō aguštā vacā sānghāmahī*, 'minding these rules of yours, we proclaim unheeded words'; 28. 5, 6, 7, 9. Likewise, when Mazdā speaks in the 1st pl. at 32. 2 *spəntəm vā ārmaitīm ... varəmaidī: hā nē aṭhaṭ*, 'your liberal piety we adopt: it shall be ours', or at 34. 5, this is not to be taken as a *pluralis maiestatis*<sup>59</sup> but as including the other higher entities that are constantly associated with him. It corresponds to the fact that in addressing Mazdā Zarathushtra alternates on no very clear principle between 2nd sg. and 2nd pl. forms (§122).

**145.** A speaker may refer to himself in the 3rd person: 33. 14 *aṭ rāṭəm Zərəduštrō tanuuascī xʷaxiiā uštanəm dadāitī*, 'as offering, Zarathushtra gives his own body's energy'; 43. 16 *aṭ Ahurā, huuō mainiium Zərəduštrō varəntē*, 'O Lord, this Zarathushtra chooses that will'; 50. 6 *yē maḍrā vācəm, Mazdā, baraitī, ... Zərəduštrō* 'the prophet who is bringing forth his voice, Mazdā—Zarathushtra'. Cf. 31. 6, where Mazdā is apparently answering Zarathushtra's question as to what is best, *ahmāi aṭhaṭ vahištəm, yē mōi vīduuā vaocāṭ haiḍīm ... Mazdāi auuaṭ xšādrəm, hiiṭ hōi vohū vaxšaṭ manəḥhā*, 'it will go best for him who, knowing it, speaks my truth ... that is dominion for Mazdā, what(ever) he increases for him through good thought'.

**146.** The 3rd sg. is often used with non-specific subject:<sup>60</sup> 28. 2 *āiaptā ... yāiš rapəntō dāidīt xʷādrē*, 'those blessings by which one could keep one's supporters in felicity'; 29. 10 *xšādrəmcā auuaṭ ... yā hušəitīš rāməmcā dāt*, 'and that dominion by which one may establish fair dwelling and peace', cf. 53. 8; 30. 4 *aṭcā hiiṭ tā hēm mainiū jasaētəm paouruūīm, dazdē gaēmcā ajiāitīmā*, 'once those two wills join battle, a man takes for himself life or non-life'; 34. 12 *srūidiiāi*,

59 As by Wackernagel (1926–8), i. 100.

60 Cf. Delbrück iii. 127–9.

*Mazdā, frāuuuocā, yā vī.dāiīāt ašiš rāšnəm*, ‘proclaim for our hearing, Mazdā, how (the one responsible) may distribute the rewards of (thy) rulings’; cf. 30. 7; 32. 7; 33. 6, 9; 43. 7, 10, 14; 47. 2; 48. 1; 49. 7; 50. 3, 4, 5.

147. The 3rd pl. is occasionally used with non-specific subject: 27. 13 *Ahu-rāiīā, yim drigubiiō dadat vāstārəm*, ‘for the Lord, whom they give as herdsman to the poor’; 46. 1 *pairī xʷaētāuš airiāmnascā dadaiī*, ‘they set me apart from clan and tribe’; 46. 9 *išentī mā tā tōi*, ‘do they put those things into practice for thee?’

### Voice

148. The paradigms contain a full array of active and middle forms, and a couple of forms with distinctively passive function; otherwise passive sense is expressed with middle forms, as in 29. 4 *yā zī vāuuērəzōi ... yācā varəšaitē*, ‘those that have been done and those that will be done’, cf. 33. 1; 48. 5; 30. 8 *vōiuūdāitē* ‘will be found’; 30. 10 *yaojantē* ‘will be yoked’; 31. 14 *dadəntē* ‘will be given’; 32. 7 *səṅghaitē* ‘are decreed’; 34. 1–2 *dastē ... dātā* ‘is offered ... are given’.

149. Of some 149 verbs that occur in the texts in finite forms, about two thirds are found only in the active, and some two dozen only in the middle. With some of these latter the middle form is natural in view of the subject-internal, self-referential, or reciprocal sense of the verb: *aog-* ‘declare (about oneself)’; *gəraz-* ‘complain’; *rud-* ‘lament’; *gūš-* ‘open one’s ears, listen’; *man-* ‘think’; *sar-* ‘unite (with)’; *uruuāz-* ‘be happy’; *vah-* ‘clothe oneself’; *var-* ‘choose for oneself’; *vaz-* ‘get married’.

150. Certain verbs are found only in the active when used on their own, but in the middle when linked with a preverb:

*gam-* ‘go’: twice middle with *həm*, ‘come together’, of two adversaries meeting in conflict (30. 4; 44. 15); eight times with *pairī*, ‘attend’ a higher being in worship (28. 2; 50. 8; 51. 22; YH 36. 1, 3, etc.); but active of approaching a person (43. 7, etc.).

*i-* ‘go’: once middle with *ā* and twice with *paitī ā*, in the same sense as *pairī gam-* (31. 2[?]; 34. 6; 50. 9); also 33. 7 *ā idūm*, ‘come ye’, in a prayer.<sup>61</sup>

*mru-* ‘speak’: but 49. 3 *aṅtarə ... mruiiē*, ‘I interdict’ (from my company).

*nas-* ‘reach, attain’: once middle with *frā* (43. 14), but once also active (46. 8).

*pā-* ‘protect’: but 28. 11 and 49. 10 *ni.pājhē*, ‘thou keepest for thyself’.

61 I am not persuaded by the view that these examples are to be referred to the verb *yā-*. It is noteworthy that middle verbs are favoured in connection with ritual activity, as with *yaz-* ‘worship’; cf. §151.

*vid-* ‘know’ (in perfect active): but 33. 8 *frō mōi vōizdūm arəθā* (aor. middle), ‘take note of my endeavours’.

**151.** Some two dozen verbs are found in both active and middle. In some cases the distinction of meaning is clear:

	Active	Middle
<i>baj-</i>	have/get (trans.) as one’s share	partake (intrans.) of (gen.)
<i>vī ci-</i>	distinguish between (trans.)	distinguish (intrans.) between (gen.)
<i>daŋh-</i>	teach	learn
<i>dā-</i>	give; place, establish	take; subject to
<i>frās-</i>	ask (trans.)	consult with (instr.); debate (something)
<i>frād-</i>	promote, tend	prosper
<i>kar-</i>	make	activate (one’s talents) (YH 40. 4)
<i>frā nas-</i>	reach, arrive at (46. 8)	attain (one’s goal) (43. 14)
<i>par-</i>	fill, fulfil	fill oneself (49. 1)
<i>sru-</i>	hear	make oneself heard/renowned
<i>vərəz-</i>	do, act on, bring into effect	effect for oneself
<i>vid-</i>	find, acquire	apprehend mentally

In other cases it is difficult to detect any difference in meaning. How does 44. 4 *daratā* ‘held’ or ‘holds’ (the earth from beneath) differ from 49. 2 *dōrašt* ‘grasps, embraces’ (piety)? Or 48. 12 *yōi xšnūm vohū manahā hacāntē* ... *θβa-hiiā* ... *sānghahiiā*, ‘who by good thought follow what satisfies thy law’, from 53. 2 *scantū manahā* ... *xšnūm Mazdā*, ‘let them by their thought follow what satisfies Mazdā?’ Or 53. 6 *manahim ahūm marəngəduiiē*, ‘you ruin spiritual life’, from 45. 1 *nōiṭ daibitīm dušsastiš ahūm mərəšiiāt*, ‘may the false teacher not be ruining life a second time?’ Or 32. 4 *vaṅhəuš sīzdiamnā manahō*, ‘retreating from good thought’ from 34. 9 *aēibiiō maš ašā sīiazdat*, ‘from them (Good Thought) will retreat a long way with Right?’ Or, within 46. 1, *nōiṭ mā xšnāuš*, ‘does not please me’, from *kaθā θβā, Mazdā, xšnaošaī*, ‘how am I to please thee, Mazda?’ In this last case one might wonder whether the middle is conditioned by the man–god relationship; cf. what was noted above about *pairī gam-* and (*paiṭi*) *ā i-*, and also 28. 1 *vaṅhəuš xratūm manahō yā xšnəuuīšā gəušcā uruuānəm*, ‘by which thou (Mazdā) couldst gratify (middle) Good Thought’s purpose and the cow’s soul’; 46. 18 *xšmakəm vərəm xšaošəmnō*, ‘satisfying your (Mazdā’s and Right’s) preference’.

## Aspect

**152.** Within the active or middle paradigm there is a morphological distinction of three types of stem: present, aorist, and perfect. They are not bound to tense, as any of them may occur in relation to past, present, or future events. They relate rather to aspect. The aorist stem is appropriate to a definitive event; the present stem to a non-definitive one (one that is uncompleted, or recurrent, or preparatory to something further) or to an on-going process or

state; the perfect stem to a fulfilled state, where the actions or events that led to it are kept in view.

Of the attested verbs, three quarters appear in only a present or an aorist stem, and half a dozen only in a perfect stem.

#### The present: aorist opposition

**153.** On examination of the usages of the three dozen verbs that are attested in both present and aorist stems, it appears that the functional distinction formulated above is generally valid. In many cases, admittedly, it is not possible to determine from the context whether a definitive event or a non-definitive situation is signified. But as the distinction seems to hold in those cases where the context does provide guidance, it should be assumed to hold throughout and the indecisive passages interpreted accordingly.

The following examples will illustrate the opposition. Present-stem forms are marked with °, aorist-stem forms with +.

45. 1 *nū* °*gūšōdūm*, *nū* +*sraotā*, ‘now listen ye (open-ended), now hear ye (= take in my particular message)’.

49. 1 +*gaidī mōi*, *ā mōi* °*rapā*, ‘come to me (event), support me (open-ended)’.

45. 11 *yas.tā daēuuōng* ... *mašiiqscā* +*tarēmąstā yōi im* °*tarēmmainiiąntā*, ‘he who so scorns (once and for all) the Daevas and mortals who scorn him (habitually)’.

*ar-*: 46. 3 *kadā*, *Mzdā*, *yōi uxšānō asnaṃ* ... *frō* ... +*ārəntē?*, ‘when, *Mzdā*, will those Oxen of Days come forth? (event)’ :: 53. 8 °*iratū iš duuafšō huuō* ... *mazištō*, ‘let that greatest woe be coming upon them’ (open-ended).

*baj-*: 31. 10 *aṭ hī aiiā* +*frauuaratā vāstrīm* ... *nōiṭ* ... *auuāstriiō* ... *huməratōiš* +*baxštā*, ‘but she of those two chose the herdsman ... the non-herdsman did not get her goodwill’ :: 47. 5 *ašāunē* +*cōiš yā zī cīcā vahištā*; *hanarə* *ṽβahmāṭ* *zaošāṭ drəguuā* °*baxšaitī*, ‘thou didst assign to the righteous one what best things there be soever; it is without thy favour that the wrongful one partakes of them’.

*vī ci-*: 46. 15 *hiiąṭ dāṽōng vī* +*caiiavā adāṽąscā*, *tāiš yūš šiiąoṽnāiš ašəm* *xšmaibiiā* +*daduiiē*, ‘when ye distinguish (once and for all) between the just and the unjust, by those actions ye shall win right for yourselves’ :: 46. 17 *yā vī* °*cinaoṭ dāṽəmcā adāṽəmcā*, ‘(the Caring One) who distinguishes (habitually) between the just man and the unjust’.

*fras-*: 31. 12 *ānuš.haxš Ārmaitiš mainiiū* °*pərəsaitē*, ‘Piety debates point by point with the will’ :: 44. 8 *yācā Vohū uxṽā* +*frašī Manąṭhā*, ‘and the words spoken by Good Thought which I obtained in consultation’.

*gam-*: 30. 8 *yadā aēšąṃ kaēnā* +*jamaiṭi aēnaṭhąṃ*, ‘when the requital comes for their misdeeds’ :: 46. 8 *paitiiąogəṭ tā ahmāi* °*jasōiṭ duuāēšąṭhā*, ‘may those (actions of his) recoil on him (open-ended) with hostility’.



*man-*: 43. 4 *aṭ ʋβā +mēnghāi taxməmcā spəntəm ... hiiat mōi vaḡhēuš hazē +jimaṭ manajhō*, ‘I shall think thee bold and bounteous when the force of good thought comes to me (event)’ :: 43. 9 *rātəm nəmanajhō ... yauuat °isāi, °maniiāi*, ‘the tribute of reverence, so far as I can, I will be thinking of’.

*nīš nas-*: 44. 13 *kaṭā druḡəm nīš ahmaṭ ā +nāšāmā?* ‘how are we to drive Wrong out from ourselves (once and for all)?’ :: 50. 2 *akəs tōng mā nīš °ašiiā, dāḡōm +dāhuuā*, ‘keep driving out those evil ones, adopt the just one’.

*saḡh-*: 31. 1 *aguštā vacā °səḡghāmāhī aēibiiō yōi ...*, ‘we proclaim (now and regularly) words unheeded by those who ...’ :: 44. 1 *friiāi ʋβāuuəs +saxiiāt mauuaitē*, ‘one like thee might inform a friend such as me’ (= communicate a specific piece of information). Similarly:

*sāh-*: 50. 6 *rāzəḡ Vohū °sāhīt Manajhā*, ‘may he teach me his rules with Good Thought’ (open-ended) :: 43. 3 *aṭ huuō vaḡhēuš vahiiō nā +aibī.jamiiāt, yē nā ərəzūš savajhō paḡō +sīšōit*, ‘may that man attain better than the good who should teach us the straight paths of benefit’.

*sru-*: 50. 4 *dəmānē garō °sraošānē*, ‘I will make myself heard (open-ended) in the house of song’ :: 28. 7 *+dāstū ... yā vē maḡrā +srauūimā rādā*, ‘give thou the (particular) prescript by which we might hear your favours’.

*siiāzd-*: 34. 9 *aēibiiō maš ašā +siazdaṭ*, ‘from them it (Piety) will retreat a great distance with Right’ :: 32. 4 *yā mašiiā acištā daḡtō +vaxšəntē daēuuō.zuštā, Vaḡhēuš °sīzdiiamnā Manajhō*, ‘the worst things, by doing which mortals are to wax Daeva-favoured, retreating (habitually) from Good Thought’.

*var-* ‘persuade’: 31. 3 *hiiat uruuātəm cazdōḡhuuadəbiiō, taṭ nā ... +vaocā ... yā juuaḡtō vīspəḡ +vāuraiiā*, ‘the rule that is for the prudent, tell us that, by which I might convince everyone alive’ :: 31. 17 *katārēm ašuuā vā drəguuā vā °vəranauuaitē maziō?* ‘which of the two, the righteous one or the wrongful, will be the more persuasive?’

*vəraz-*: 48. 5 *yaoždā ... zḡḡəm ... gauuōi °vəraziātəm*, ‘let purification of breeding be effected (as a general principle) for the cow’ :: 51. 1 *taṭ nā nūcīt +varašānē*, ‘this I will put into effect for us right now’.

*vid-* ‘find’: 31. 15 *duššiiəoḡnāi ... yā nōit jiiōtūm hanarə °vinastī vāstriehiiā aēnajhō*, ‘the evil-doer who finds no livelihood without wronging the herdsman’ :: 51. 5 *yadā ... ḡəm +vidat vāstriō šiiəoḡnāiš ərašuuō*, ‘how a herdsman upright in his actions obtains a cow’.

*xšā-*: normally present-stem, ‘rule’; in 48. 5 *huxšaḡrā +xšəḡntəm, mā nē duš-xšaḡrā +xšəḡntā* the aorists perhaps have ingressive force, ‘let good rulers assume rule over us, let not bad rulers do so’.

*xšnu-*: 51. 12 *nōit tā īm +xšnāuš vaēpiiō Kəuūinō pəratā ... hiiat ahmī urū-raost aštō*, ‘the Kavi catamite did not please him thereby at the crossing, that the emissary had barred his way at it’ :: 28. 1 *vaḡhēuš xratūm manajhō yā °xšnəuuīšā ḡəušcā uruuānəm*, ‘by which thou couldst gratify Good Thought’s purpose and the cow’s soul’ (open-ended).

*zā-*: 50. 7 *aṭ vā +yaojā zəuuīštiiəḡḡ auruuatō ... yāiš ā +zādā*, ‘I will yoke you the swiftest steeds, with which ye will win’ :: 30. 10 *aṭ āsištā +yaojaḡtē ā huš-*

*tōiš Vajhōuš Managhō*, ... *yōi* °*zazəntī vajhāu srauuahī*, (when the world is made perfect) ‘the swiftest steeds will be yoked from Good Thought’s fair dwelling ... and they will be first in good repute’ (not in a particular race but generally).

### The perfect

**154.** The perfect stem denotes a state of fulfilment. The following verbs appear in the Old Avestan texts only in a perfect stem:

(*ad-*) *ād-* ‘declare, have made a declaration (of enduring validity)’: 43. 9, 15; YH 35. 8.

(*cit-*) *cikōit-/cicit-* ‘understand; be conspicuous’: 32. 11; 43. 2.

(*hi-*) *hišāii-* ‘hold bound’: 29. 1.

(*rud-*) *urūraod-* ‘be blocking’: 51. 12.

(*uruuāz-*) *vaorāz-* ‘be happy’: 50. 5.

(*yat-*) *yōit-* ‘be engaged, busy’: 28. 9.

**155.** The following examples with verbs that also show present or aorist stems will help to illustrate the nature of the perfect. Present and aorist forms are marked as above by ° or +.

*ah-*: 44. 20 *ciṭənā, Mazdā, huxšəṭrā daēuuā aṅharē*, ... *yōi* °*pišīieintī aēibiiō kəm, yāiš* ..., ‘what, Mazdā, have the Daevas been good rulers, they that blaspheme(?) for the sake of those with whom ...’. The perfect is here used in reference to a past that continues into the present.<sup>62</sup>

*ar-* ‘start into motion’; for present and aorist examples see above, §153. The perfect signifies something like ‘is up and running, is established as a principle’: 33. 9 *aiiā aṛōi hākuraṇəm*, ‘the fellowship of those two is established’; cf. 34. 3; 50. 5.

*dar-*: 49. 2 *nōit spəntəm* +*dōrašt ahmāi stōi Ārmaītīm*, ‘(the wrongful teacher) does not embrace/has not (yet) embraced bounteous Piety to make her his’ :: 51. 8 *yā Ašəm dadrē*, ‘he who has embraced Right’ (once and for all).

*dā-*: 28. 4 *yā uruuānəm mən gairē* ... *dadē*, ‘I that have taken my soul in mind for praise-song’ (or *dadē* may be present, in which case it belongs under §161); YH 40. 1 *hiiaṭ mīždəm* ... *fra.dadāṭā daēnābiiō*, ‘the reward that thou hast appointed for (good) moral selves’.

*nas-*: 32. 4 *Vajhōuš* °*sīzdiiamnā Managhō, Mazdā Ahurahiiā xratōuš* °*nasiiantō*, ‘retreating from Good Thought, losing the way from Lord Mazdā’s sapience’ :: 51. 13 *yehiiā uruuā* +*xraodaitī Cinuuatō pəratā* ... *Ašahiiā naṣuuā paṭō*, ‘whose soul will torment him at the Arbiter’s Crossing, lost from the path of Right’.

62 Cf. Delbrück ii. 211–15. Likewise 44. 13 *yōi* ... *nōit frasaiiā Vajhōuš cāxnarē Managhō*, ‘who have not (ever) taken pleasure in consulting Good Thought’.

*par-*: 28. 10 *aēibiiō* °*pərənā āpanāiš kāməm*, ‘fulfil their desire with attainment’ :: 49. 1 *aṭ mā yauuā bēnduuō pafre mazištō*, ‘that greatest polluter(?) has fed himself full on my life’.

*taš-*: 29. 1 *kā mā +tašaṭ?* ‘who created me?’ :: 29. 6 *aṭ zī ṽβā fšuiiṅtaēcā vā-striiāicā Ṭβōrāštā tatašā*, ‘the Shaper has created thee for the stock-raiser and the herdsman’ (emphasis on present status).

*vac-*: 31. 3 *aṭ nē, Mazdā, vīduuanōi +vaocā*, ‘tell us that, Mazdā, for our knowing’ :: 34. 5 *parā vā vīspāiš vaoxmā daēuuāišcā xrafstrāiš mašiiāišcā*, ‘we have declared you above all predators, both Daevas and mortals’.

*vid-* ‘know’: 33. 8 *frō mōi +vōizdūm arāṽā*, ‘take note of my endeavours’ :: 28. 10 *aṭ yāṅg ... vōistā ... dāṽōṅg*, ‘those whom thou knowest to be upright’, and *passim*.

**156.** A special use of the perfect is seen in phrases referring to the totality of past, present, and future, or just of past and future: 33. 10 *vīspās tā huṽtāiiō yā zī āṅharā yāscā °həṅṭi yāscā ... +buuainṭi*, ‘all those good lives that have been and those that are and those that shall come into being’, cf. 45. 7 *yōi zī juuā āṅharēcā buuanticā*; 51. 22 *yōi āṅharēcā həṅṭicā*; 29. 4 *yā zī vāuuərozōi pairi ciṽiṭ ... yācā +varāšaitē aipī ciṽiṭ*, ‘things that have been done in the past and things that may be done in future’; *YH* 39. 2 *yaēšqəm vahehīš daēnā °vanainṭi vā +vāṅghən vā yaonarə vā*, ‘those whose better selves prevail or will prevail or have prevailed’. In each case the totality and all its constituents are of present relevance, but that does not seem entirely to account for the use of the perfect. A stronger factor may have been the impulse to use the three different forms of stem for the three contrasted tenses; the alternative would have been to use the present or aorist stem twice, marking it with the augment for the past.

## Tense and Modality

**157.** As the passages just quoted show, the division of time into past, present, and future was a familiar concept, but the language had only limited means of expressing it. In fact, from a linguistic point of view, past, present, and future are by no means straightforward categories. Each of them embraces a range of aspects and modalities, variously reflected in the grammatical system.

### Present time

**158.** The category of the present embraces: an action or activity taking place simultaneously with the utterance, or constituted by the utterance itself; a static situation obtaining at the time; a recurrent event that may not be happening at the moment but is known to happen sometimes and may be expected to happen again; a timeless truth.

**159.** Any of these may be expressed with the present indicative, that is, the present stem with the so-called primary personal endings. This is regular in performative utterances, that is, those which themselves constitute the action described: 28. 1, 8 *yāsā* ‘I pray’; 31. 1 *sōngāmāhī* ‘we proclaim’; 31. 14 *pərəsā* ‘I ask’; 32. 7 *aojōi* ‘I declare’; 32. 9 *gərəzē* ‘I complain’; 33. 14 *Zarathuštrō ... dadāitī* ‘Zarathushtra dedicates’; 49. 3 *antarə ... mruiiē* ‘I interdict’; *YH* 35. 5 *dadəmāhicā cīšmahicā huuəṇmahicā*, ‘we dedicate and assign and institute’; 37. 1 *iðā āt yazamaidē Ahurəm Mazdəm*, ‘in this way we worship Lord Mazdā’.

**160.** So too in statements of mental disposition: 29. 8 *vaštī*, ‘he wishes’; 29. 9 and 44. 3 *vasmī*, ‘I wish’; 33. 6 *kāiīā ... iziīā*, ‘I desire ... I long’; 34. 4 *usēmāhī*, ‘we wish’; 34. 12 *kaṭ vaštī?* ‘what dost thou wish?’; 43. 16 *huuō mainiūm Zarathuštrō vərəntē*, ‘this Zarathushtra chooses that will’, cf. 46. 3; 44. 7 *auuāmī* ‘I am eager’; 44. 11 *mainiūš spasiīā duuaēšəḡhā*, ‘I regard with hostility of spirit’; 49. 2 *aṭ ahiiā mā bəṇduuahiiā mānaiieitī ūkaēšō drəguuā*, ‘of this polluter(?) the wrongful teacher puts me in mind’; 49. 6 *frō vā išiiā ... mrūitē*, ‘I desire you to speak’.

**161.** Of current activity or situation: 32. 8 *aēšəmcitī ā ahmī ūβahmī ... vīciṭōi aipī*, ‘in respect of these (deeds) I am (waiting) upon thy decision’; 46. 1 *pairī xʷaētəuš airiāmnascā dadaitī*, ‘they set me apart from clan and tribe’; 50. 1 *kaṭ mōi uruuā isē cahiiā auuaḡhō?* ‘does my soul command any succour?’; 50. 6 *yā maṭrā vācəm ... baraitī*, ‘this prophet who is bringing forth his voice’; and so perhaps 28. 4, see §155.

**162.** Of general truths: 27. 14 *ašəm vohū, vahištəm asfī*, ‘right is good, it is the best existing’; 28. 3 *yaēibiiō xšədrəmcā ... vərədaitī ārmaitiš*, ‘you whose dominion piety increases’, cf. 44. 6; 43. 6 *ūβahiiā xratəuš, yəm naēcīš dābaieitī*, ‘of thy sapience, which no one deceives’; 49. 10 *taṭcā, Mazdā, ūβahmī ā dəm nipəḡhē*, ‘and that (reward), Mazdā, thou keepest in thy house’; 53. 9 *duž-uuarənaiš vaēšō rāsfī*, ‘because of ill-choosers decay takes hold’.

**163.** Where the reference is to recurrent phenomena or habitual action, the present indicative is sometimes used, as in 30. 4 (when the two Wills join battle,) *dazdē gaēmca ajiitīmca*, ‘a man takes for himself life or non-life’; 31. 12 *aṭrā vācəm baraitī miṭah.uuacā vā əraš.uuacā vā*, ‘there speaks forth either one of false words or one of straight words’; *ibid.* *ānuš.haxš Ārmaitiš mainiū pərəsaitē*, ‘Piety debates point by point with the will’; 43. 10 *aṭ tū mōi dāiš ašəm, hiiat mā zaozomī*, ‘show me thou Right, that one I (constantly) invoke’; 44. 3 *kā yā mā uxšieitī nərəfsaitī ūβaṭ?* ‘who is it through whom the moon waxes or wanes?’; 47. 4 *ahmāt mainiūš rərəšieintī drəguuantō*, ‘from this Will the wrongful deflect people’. More often, however, we find the present or aorist injunctive, that is, the temporally and modally neutral forms that lack the specific markers of present or past time and are marked only for aspect: 30. 3 *āscā hudāḡhō əraš +vī.šiiātā, nōit duždāḡhō*, ‘and between them well-doers discriminate rightly, but ill-doers do not’; 30. 5 *aiiā mainiūā*

+*varatā* yē *draguuā acištā vərəziio*, ‘of those two Wills, the wrongful one chooses the worst things to do’; 30. 6 *aṭ aēšəməm °hēn.duuārəntā yā °banaiien ahūm maratānō*, ‘(the Daevas) scurry together to the violence with which mortals blight the world’; 32. 5 *tā °debənaotā mašīm hujiiātōiš*, ‘so ye lure the mortal from good living’; 32. 9 *dušsastiš srauuā °mōrəndaṭ ... apō mā īštim +yantā*, ‘the false teacher perverts good repute, he takes away enablement’.

**164.** Perfect-stem verbs are used where appropriate in describing a current state of affairs, as in 28. 9 *yōi vā yōiṭəmā dasəmə stūtəm*, ‘we who are busy in the offering of your praises’; 29. 1 *ā mā aēšəmō hazascā rəməō hišāiiā*, ‘fury and force and cruelty hold me bound’; 32. 11 *yōi draguuantō ... cikōiterəš ... apaiieiṭi*, ‘the wrongful ones who have distinguished themselves by depriving’.

**165.** The aorist indicative is used when the speaker reports a perception that has just come to him: 45. 8–10 *tām ... nū zī ṭ cašmainī vi.adarəsəm ... yē anmōnī Mazdā srāuuī Ahurō*, ‘him I have just now discerned in my eye ... him who has become heard in my soul, Lord Mazdā’; 43. 5 *spəntəm aṭ ṭβā, Mazdā, mējhi ... hiiat ṭβā aṭhēuš zaṭōi +darəsəm paouruuīm*, ‘bounteous I think thee, Mazdā, as soon as I see thee at the genesis of the world’, cf. 29. 10; 31. 8; 46. 13 *tām vā Ašā mēhmaidī hušhaxāim*, ‘we apprehend him as your and Right’s good friend’; YH 35. 7 *Ahuraḥiiā zī aṭ vā Mazdā yasnəmcā vahməmcā vahištəm amēhmaidī*, ‘but it is Lord Mazdā’s worship and praise that we apprehend as the greatest good’; 43. 11 *sādrā mōi saš mašiiāēšū zrazdāitiš*, ‘trust in mortals strikes me as grief’; 46. 10 *tācīt mōi saš tuuōm, Mazdā, vāēdištō*, ‘of those things thou, Mazdā, appearest to me (as it strikes me) the best provider’.

**166.** A similar type of momentary aorist may be seen in performative function in 32. 2 *spəntəm vā āрмаitīm ... varəmaidī*, ‘your liberal piety we adopt’; YH 35. 3 *taṭ aṭ varəmaidī ... hiiat ī mainimadicā vaocōimācā varəzimācā yā ...*, ‘we choose to think and speak and do those things that ...’. Where the present stem of *var-* is used (43. 16 and 46. 3, cited in §160), we may take it as a description of attitude or principle as opposed to a declaration of commitment.

#### Future time

**167.** The future by definition consists of what has not happened yet, so it cannot be spoken of in such definite terms as the present or past. Only a few things can be predicted with certainty: in six months it will be winter; in two hundred years you and I will be dead. But for the most part propositions about the future are not statements of fact but of expectation, surmises subject to varying degrees of uncertainty. Even when I say what I myself am going to do, it is not logically on all fours with a statement of what I am now doing or have done in the past, it is a declaration of intent or resolve.

It is no accident that the only Old Avestan verb form described in the grammars as a future is restricted to the first person singular: *vaxšiiā* ‘I will

speak (of)', 30. 1; 46. 15; 51. 8; *fra.uuaxšiiā*, 44. 6; 45. 1–6. It would be better considered as a voluntative.

**168.** In general, propositions relating to the future are put in the subjunctive, the mood whose essential function is to express something not known or perceived but envisaged. The °present or +aorist stem is used according to aspect.

**169.** In first-person statements of intent or resolve: 28. 4 *yauuaṭ* °*isāi* °*tauuācā*, *auuaṭ* °*xšai* *aēšē* *Ašahiiā*, 'so long as I have the ability and strength, I will look out in search of Right', cf. 43. 9; 32. 1 *ṽβōi dūtāḡhō* °*ḡhāmā*, 'we will be thy messengers'; 34. 3 *aṭ tōi miiadzəm* ... +*dāmā gaēṽā* *vīspā ā xšadrōi*, 'as thy oblation we will give into thy dominion all our living bodies'; 51. 10 *maibiiō* °*zbaiiā* *Ašəm*, 'for myself I will call upon Right'; 51. 22 *tā* °*yazai* *xšaiš nāmēniš pairicā* °*jasai* *vanā*, 'I will worship them under their own names and attend them with devotion'.

**170.** In a third-person statement equivalent to a first-person decision: 32. 2 *spəntəm vā āрмаitīm* ... *varəmaidī*; *hā nā* °*anhat*, 'your liberal piety we adopt; it shall be ours'.

**171.** In predictions or assurances: 29. 4 *avā* [nā] °*anhat*, *yaṽā huuō vasat*, 'it will be as he will'; 30. 8 *taibiiō xšadrəm Vohū Manaḡhā* °*vōiuūdāitē*, 'for thee will be found dominion with Good Thought'; 30. 10 *adā zī auuā Drūjō* +*buuaitī skəndō spaiiadrāhiiā*, *aṭ āsištā* +*yaojantē ā hušitōiš* *Vaḡhōuš Manaḡhō*, 'for then destruction will come down upon Wrong's prosperity, and the swiftest (steeds) will be yoked from Good Thought's fair dwelling'; 31. 5 *vīduiē* ... *tācīt* ... *yā nōit vā* °*anhat anhaitī* *vā*, 'to know those things that will not be, or will be'; 31. 14 *tā* ... *yā zī ā* °*aēitī* +*jāḡghaticā*, 'those things that are approaching and will come'; 47. 1 *ahmāi* +*daṅ* *hauruuātā aməratātā*, 'they will give him health and life'; 51. 14 *yā iš sēḡhō apəməm Drūjō dāmānē ā* +*dāt*, 'a teaching that will consign them at the last to the house of Wrong'.

**172.** In questions about the future: 28. 5 *Ašā*, *kaṭ ṽβā* +*darāšāni?* 'O Right, shall I see thee?'; 44. 8 *kā mē uruuā vohū* +*uruuāxšat āḡmatā?* 'to what good destinations will my soul set forth?'; 46. 3 *kadā*, *Mazdā*, *yōi uxšānō asnaṅ* ... *frō* ... +*ārəntē?*, 'when, Mazdā, will those Oxen of Days come forth?'; 48. 2 *kaṭ ašauuā* ... +*vāḡghat draguauantəm?* 'will the righteous one vanquish the wrongful?'

**173.** In deliberative questions: 44. 13 *kaṽā drujəm niš ahmat ā* +*nāšānā?* 'how are we to drive Wrong out from ourselves?'; 44. 17 *kaṽā zaram* °*carāni?* 'how am I to travel towards my goal?'; 46. 1 *kaṅ nəmōi zaṅ*, *kuṽrā nəmōi* °*aiienī?* ... *kaṽā ṽβā*, *Mazdā*, +*xšnaošai?* 'what land for refuge, where am I to go for refuge? ... How am I to please thee, Mazdā?'

174. With a perfect-stem verb, naturally, the perfect subjunctive is used: 48. 9 *kaṭ ā vaēdā?* ‘shall I know?’; 50. 5 *ārōi zī xsmā ... hiiat yūšmākāi maṭrānē vaorā-zaṭā*, ‘for it is settled by you that ye will look gladly upon your prophet’.

175. Occasionally in rhetorical questions that have to be translated with future verbs we find not the subjunctive but the injunctive: 48. 10 *kadā, Mazdā, maṇarōiš narō °vīsantā?*<sup>63</sup> *kadā ā +jēn mūṭrəm ahiiā madahiiā?* ‘when, Mazdā, will the men of Observance(?) be standing ready? When will one strike out at the piss of this liquor?’; 51. 4 *kuṭrā ārōiš ā fsəratuš, kuṭrā mərəždikā ā °xštat?* ‘where will respect(?) appear out of harm, where mercy?’

### Past time

176. For past events or situations that the speaker knows of from his own experience or from hearsay that he regards as reliable, verb forms with the so-called secondary endings are used, with or (more often) without the augment. Where the augment is lacking, these forms are formally classed as injunctives, but as in this function they seem completely equivalent to augmented forms, it seems justifiable to regard them as imperfect and aorist indicatives.

It is sometimes uncertain whether the augment or the preverb *ā* is to be recognized. The following are plausible examples of augmented forms: 30. 3 *asruuātəm* ‘they made themselves heard’; 32. 3 *asrūdum* ‘you made yourselves renowned’; 45. 8 *viiādarəsəm = vī.adarəsəm* ‘I (have just) discerned’; 46. 9 *uz.əmōhī* ‘I found out(?)’; 51. 11 *afraštā* ‘has taken counsel’ and *acistā* ‘has gained insight’; 53. 7 *anaṣat* ‘lost its way’; YH 35. 7 *amāhmaidī* ‘we (have just) apprehend(ed)’; 36. 6 *auuācī* ‘was named’.

177. These are all aorists, and in general aorists greatly outnumber imperfects. Examples of the latter are: 31. 9 *ṽβōi as ārmaitiš, ṽβā ā gəuš tašā as xratuš mainiiəuš, Mazdā Ahurā, hiiat axiiāi dadā pavəṃ*, ‘thine was piety, thine was the cow-fashioner sapience of will, Lord Mazdā, when thou didst offer her a path’;<sup>64</sup> 34. 8 *tāiš ... šīiaodnāiš ... yaēšū as pourubiō iṭiiejō ...; yōi nōiṭ ašəm mainiantā, aēibiō dūirē vohū as manō*, ‘by those actions in which there was danger to many ... from those who were not thinking on Right, good thought was far away’.

178. The aorist passive in *-ī* is sometimes used almost like a perfect, of past events that are significant for the present:<sup>65</sup> 32. 8 *aēšəm aēnaḡḡəm Vīuuaj-hušō srāuuī*, ‘for these offences Vivahvant’s son became (is) renowned’, cf. 53. 1; 44. 18 *kadā ašā taṭ mīzdam hanānī ... hiiat mōi mazdā api.uuaitī?* ‘how am I

63 So A: *vīsantē* or *-nti* (indicative) other manuscripts.

64 Both halves of the line are a syllable shorter than the norm, and Kuiper suggested (*ap. Monna 24*) that *as* represents an augmented (disyllabic) form; so Beekes 151.

65 Cf. K. T. Schmidt in Crespo–García Ramón 557–69.

rightfully to earn that reward, now that mindfulness has been instilled in me?';<sup>66</sup> 43. 13 *vairiia stois, ya v̄bahmī xšaθrōi vācī*, 'a desirable thing that has been (is) said (to lie) in thy domain'; YH 36. 6 *auuat yāt huuarə auuācī*, 'that sun ever since it was named'.

**179.** Where action begun in the past continues into the present, the present tense is used: 32. 4 *yāt yūš tā fra.mīmauā*, 'ever since you have been enjoining those things'; cf. §245.

**180.** The perfect implies a past event but refers to the present state resulting from it, except in those cases where it is juxtaposed with present and/or aorist stems to represent past, present, and future (§156). Where its perfective sense is located in past time, we find what may be called a pluperfect or past perfect form, created by furnishing the perfect stem with the ending characteristic of present/aorist-stem preterites: 51. 12 *nōiēt tā im xšnāuš vaēpiiō Kəuuinō pərotā ... , hiiat ahmī urūraost aštō*, 'the Kavi catamite did not please him thereby at the crossing, that the emissary had barred (was blocking) his way at it'.

#### Mythical time

**181.** Zarathushtra sometimes refers to mythical or imaginary events. When it is traditional myth set in a quasi-historical past and presumably accepted as historical, there was no reason to treat it differently from other past narrative. In the prime example, 32. 8 *aēšam aēnaḡham Vīuuḡhušō srāuuī Yimascīēt, yē mašiiāḡ cixšnušō ahmākāḡḡ gəuš bagā x'ārəmnō*, 'for these offences Vivahvant's son became renowned, even Yima, who sought to gratify our mortal race by feeding them portions of the cow', the only finite verb, *srāuuī*, is an (unaugmented) aorist passive; cf. §178.

When Zarathushtra speaks of what Mazdā did at the beginning of the world, or of other original events that determined the present cosmic order, he is evidently not following any tradition but creating his own speculative narrative; in 45. 3 he says that he has received knowledge of these things from Mazdā. The relevant passages are 30. 3; 31. 3, 7–11; 43. 5; 44. 3, 5, 7; 45. 2; 47. 3; 48. 6; 51. 7. From a grammatical point of view they are generally treated in the same way as other narrative, except that in two places we find anomalous subjunctives: 45. 2 *aēt frauuaxšiiā aḡhəuš mainiiū paouruiiē, yaiiā spaniiā uīti °mrauuat yəm anḡrəm*, 'I will tell forth the two Wills at the world's beginning, of whom the Bounteous one \*speak\* thus to the Hostile one'; 48. 6 *aēt axiiāi ašā Mazdā uruuārā +vaxšat Ahurō aḡhəuš zqθōi paouruiiehiiā*, 'and for her (the cow) with Right Lord Mazdā \*grow\* the plants at the engendering of the first existence'. Clearly these do not refer to the future from Zarathushtra's

66 Following P. Thieme's interpretation of *api.uuaiti* as 'blown into' (*Asiatica* [Festschr. Fr. Weller, Leipzig 1954], 661), and taking *mazdā* as the fem. noun found in YH 40. 1. One would have expected *aipi.uuaiti*.



viewpoint; but it is possible to interpret them as future relative to the point of past time indicated, expressing the agent's intention or the impending development. The meaning would be in 45. 2 'in the beginning were the two Wills, and (the next thing to happen was to be that) the Bounteous one was to speak thus to the Hostile one'; in 48. 6, 'it was for her that Lord Mazdā, when he was engendering the first existence, was going to (planned to) grow the plants'. A few similar examples occur in the Younger Avesta.<sup>67</sup> Cf. also §246 (end).

In Y. 29 we have a poem built round an imagined dialogue involving Zarathushtra himself, the Cow's soul, the Maker of the Cow, Right, and Mazdā. If it is conceived to have a place in time at all, it must be assigned to the present. The story is told in present and aorist injunctives, except that once again we encounter an isolated subjunctive. After the Maker of the Cow asks Right a question (29. 2 *tašā gəuš pərəsaṭ Ašəm*, pres. inj.), we have in 29. 3 *ahmāi Ašā ... paiṭi.mrauuat*, 'to him Right \*answer\*'. This may be explained on the same lines as the cases discussed in the preceding paragraph: in relation to the Maker of the Cow's question, the answer is what is to come. It is as if the narrative time does not advance from the moment of the question to that of the answer but remains for the moment arrested, and the answer is treated as the subject of anticipation rather than report.

In 32. 1–2 Zarathushtra imagines whole groups of mortals or Daevas addressing Mazdā with a specific affirmation, and Mazdā responding. The two 'narrative' verbs are present injunctives.

### Potentiality

**182.** The evocation of possible events or states may relate to the past, present, or future. Situated in the past or present, they fall into two categories: counterfactual possibilities (what *might have been*, or *would have been* in other circumstances, but in fact was not/is not), and cases where the speaker does not know whether the possibility was realized or not (what *may have been* or *may actually be* the case).<sup>68</sup> Future possibilities can in principle be counterfactual (if contingent on something known to be untrue), but these seldom play a part in normal discourse. We speak of things that *will* or *would* happen under certain conditions, or that *may perhaps* happen, or that *might possibly* happen.

67 Yt. 5. 62, 10. 92; Y. 9. 11, 19. 2; K. Hoffmann-J. Narten, *Der Sasanidische Archetypus* (Wiesbaden 1989), 58 n. 78; Hintze (1997), 58 f. So in Vedic: K. Hoffmann, *Der Injunktiv im Veda* (Heidelberg 1967), 244. For analogous uses of the future in other languages cf. Wackernagel (1955–79), i. 444–7, and (1926–8), i. 207.

68 In contemporary English there is a deplorable tendency to use 'may have' in counterfactual sentences instead of 'might have'. People say things like 'it was a close thing; I may have died', which in correct English means 'I have perhaps died'.

**183.** There is no mention in the Old Avestan texts of counterfactual possibilities.

**184.** For future possibilities the subjunctive or the optative may be used, depending on the degree of likelihood to be indicated. The subjunctive is appropriate for what is envisaged as a certain or likely prospect, or at least an open possibility. Its general use in predictions and expressions of resolve about the future has been illustrated above. In certain passages it represents what *can* happen or *sometimes* happens: 47. 4 *kasəušcīt nā ašāunē kəθē °anhat*, ‘even the poor man may be kind to the righteous one’; 53. 9 *taš, Mazdā, tauuā xšəvərəm, yə ərəžəjiōi °dāhī drigauuē vahiiō*, ‘that, Mazdā, is thy dominion, whereby to the right-living poor man thou canst grant the better lot’.

More numerous examples occur in relative clauses, often with the effect of making them equivalent to final clauses. This will be treated later (§§226, 250–1).

**185.** The optative expresses a remoter possibility, or one that is raised more diffidently for reasons of politeness: 28. 5 *anā maḍrā mazištəm °vāurōimaidī xrafstrā hizuuā*, ‘with this prescript we might most fully convince the predators with our tongue’ (or this could be a wish, ‘may we convince’); 43. 2 *aṭcā ahmāi višpanəm vahištəm xšəvərəi ā nā xšəvərəm °daidītā ... ciciḍβā ...*, ‘but as best of all in (the sphere of) well-being, a man might receive well-being by understanding ...’; 43. 14 *hiiaṭ nā friiāi vaēdəmnō isuuā °daidīt*, ‘what a man of means, on finding it for himself, might offer his friend’, cf. 44. 1; 46. 2; 51. 8 *aṭ zī tōi vaxšiiā, Mazdā—vīdusē zī nā °mruiiāt*, ‘I will tell thee Mazdā—of course a man (in speaking to Mazdā) could (only) be speaking to one who knows’.

In questions: *kaḍā aiīārē daxšārā frasaiiāi °dīšā?* ‘how mightest thou take a day (for me) to ask teaching?’ (polite request); 44. 9 *kaḍā mōi, yaṃ yaoš daēnəm °dānē, taṃ hudānaoš paitiš °saxiiāt xšəvrahiia?* ‘the religion that I will perfect for myself, how might the master of a beneficent dominion proclaim it for me?’; 44. 11 *kaḍā tāng ā °vījāmiāt ārmaitiš, yaēibiiō ...*, ‘how might piety spread to those to whom ...?’; 44. 14; 50. 2.

As with the subjunctive, there are many instances of the potential optative in relative clauses; see §§226, 250–1.

#### Prayers, wishes, aspirations

**186.** The other basic function of the optative is to express wishes, as in 30. 9 *aṭcā tōi vaēm °xiiāmā, yōi īm frašəm kərənāun ahūm*, ‘may we be those who will make this existence splendid’; 43. 3 *aṭ huuō vaḡhəuš vahiiō nā °aibījamiiāt, yə nā ərəzūš sauuaḡhō pavō °sīšōiṭ*, ‘but may that man attain better than the good, who should show us the straight paths of benefit’; 43. 16 *xvəṅg darasōi ... °xiiāt Ārmaitiš; ašīm šiiəoḍnāiš vohū °daidīt manəḡhā*, ‘may Piety be there in sight of the sun; may she be giving reward on account of actions with good thought’; 45. 9 *varəzi nā °diiāt Ahurō*, ‘may the Lord set us in action’; 48. 9 *vīdiiāt saō-*

*šiiṣ, yaṯā hōi ašiš aḡhaṭ*, ‘may the Promoter know how his reward is to be’; 50. 6 *rāzōng Vohū °sāhūt Manajhā*, ‘may he teach me his rules with Good Thought’.

**187.** A second-person wish addressed to a higher power constitutes a prayer: 50. 7 *mahmāi °xiiātā auuaḡjhē*, ‘may ye be there for my succour’; YH 36. 2 *uruuāzištō huuō nā yātāiiā °paiṭi.jamiiā*, ‘as the most joyous one mayest thou come for our supplicating’, cf. 41. 3, 4.

**188.** The negative used with the optative in wishes is *nōiṭ*: 28. 9 *anāiš vā nōiṭ ... yānāiš °zaraēnāmā*, ‘with these prayers may we not anger you’; 45. 1 *nōiṭ daibitīm dušsastiš ahūm °marāšiiāṭ*, ‘may the false teacher not be ruining the world a second time’; 46. 8 *nōiṭ ahiiā mā āḍriš šīiaodnāiš frā °asiiāṭ*, ‘may harm not reach me from his actions’.

### Requests, injunctions, prohibitions

**189.** The optative may also be prescriptive: 46. 5 *taṭ frō xvaētauuē °mruiiāṭ*, ‘he should tell it to the clan’; negative, 43. 15 *nōiṭ nā pourūš drāguuatō °xiiāt cixš-nušō*, ‘a man should not be one to gratify the many wrongful’.

**190.** More direct injunctions and requests are expressed by the imperative (second or third person).

In invocations and prayers: 28. 3 *ā mōi rafəḍrāi zauuōng °jasatā*, ‘come to my calls to give succour’; 28. 6 *Vohū °gaidi Manajhā, °dāidi Ašā dā darəḡāiiū*, ‘come with Good Thought, give with Right the gift of long life’; 28. 7, 10, 11; 29. 1; 33. 7, 8, 10, 11, 12; 43. 10; 44. 1, 16; 46. 7; 48. 9; 49. 1; 51. 3, 7.

In exhortations to men: 30. 2 *°sraotā ḡāušāiš vahištā, ā °vaēnatā sūcā manajhā āuuarəḡnā*, ‘hear with your ears the best message, behold with lucid mind the two choices’; 46. 16 *Frašaoštrā, aḍrā tū aradrāiš °idi*, ‘Frashaushtra, go with those zealous ones’; 48. 7 *nī aēšmō °diiātəm, paiṭi rəməm °siiōdūm*, ‘let violence be tied down, cut short cruelty’; 53. 3 *aḍā °hēm.frašuuā xraḍβā; spēništā ārmatōiš hudānū °varšuuā*, ‘so take counsel with thy reason; perform piety’s most liberal benefactions’; 53. 5 *māḡcā ī °dazdūm; °vaēdōdūm daēnābiš ... ahūm yē vaḡhēuš manajhō; ašā vā aniiō ainīm °vīuuōngḡhatū*, ‘take these (precepts) to heart; accept in your moralities the life of good thought; let one among you vie with another in right’.

**191.** Where a vocative is present, the imperative may also be accompanied by a second-person nom. pronoun: 28. 7 *°dāidi tū, Ārmitē, Vištāspāi īšəm maibiiācā*, ‘give thou, Piety, enablement to Vishtaaspa and myself’; 28. 1 *tuuōm, Mazdā Ahurā, frō mā °sīšā*, ‘teach thou me, Lord Mazdā’; 29. 11 *aṭ maḡm ašā yūžēm, Mazdā, ... °paiṭi.zānatā*, ‘it is me by right that ye must acknowledge’; 53. 3 *°tāḡcā tū, Pourucistā*, ‘be thou resolute, Porucista’. (On *tū* cf. §283.)

**192.** Prohibitions are not expressed with a negated imperative but with *mā* and the injunctive; being an intrinsically prohibitive particle, *mā* does not call for a correspondingly marked verb form: 31. 17 *vīduuā vīdušē °mraotū, mā auūduuā aipī °dōbāuuaiiat*, ‘let the knowing one speak to the knowing, let the unknowing delude no longer’ (with pres. injunctive, inhibitive of what is already happening); 48. 5 *huxšavṛā +xšōntam, mā nē dušxšavṛā +xšōntā*, ‘let good rulers assume rule, do not let bad rulers assume rule over us’ (aor. injunctive, preventive of what is feared for the future).

**193.** Following 31. 18 *māciš aṭ vā drəguuatō maḍraścā +gūštā*, ‘let none of you heed the wrongful one’s prescripts’, the next stanza continues with *+gūštā yō +maṇtā ašəm*, ‘let him heed (rather) him who thinks on right’, where the injunctive *gūštā* is repeated in the positive injunction from the negative one. There are other places too where an injunctive (mostly aorist) is used in a positive sentence with the effect of an imperative: 28. 7 (after *dāidī, Ašā ... dāidī tū, Ārmaitē ...*) *+dās.tū, Mazdā, °xšaiiācā, yā vā maḍrā srəuūmā rādā*, ‘give thou, Mazdā, and exercise thy power (imperative), the prescript by which we might hear your favours’, cf. 43. 1; 49. 8; 34. 15 *frašəm vasnā haiṭiēm +dā ahūm*, ‘make real the existence that is splendid in my desiring’; 43. 10 *aṭ tū mōi +dāiš ašəm*, ‘show thou me Right’; 43. 12 *Ašəm °jasō*, ‘go to Right’; perhaps 46. 2 *+āxšō vaḡhōuš ašā īšūm manajhō*, ‘behold (or thou seest) the potency of thought that is good through right’.

### Infinitives<sup>69</sup>

**194.** ‘Infinitive’ is the collective name given to various forms of nominal origin, but not having a place in a nominal paradigm, embodying a verbal concept and capable of exercising verbal rection. Where a preverb is associated with them, it modifies the sense of the verb (as described in §219); it does not, like a preposition governing a noun, carry information about the word’s syntactic status in the sentence.

Infinitive forms occur frequently in the *Gāthās*. One type, ending in *-ō* (< \**-ah*), appears to be of accusative origin, the rest of dative;<sup>70</sup> there is no consistent distinction of functions, but note the observation in §196 on *-ō* infinitives as verb complements. In several cases we find more than one infinitive form from the same verb, and again it is unclear whether there is a real functional difference. Certain forms can be identified as made on a present or aorist stem. These are marked below with ° and + respectively; there seems no difficulty in seeing the appropriate aspectual significance where they occur.

69 É. Benveniste, *Les infinitifs avestiques* (Paris 1935); J. Kellens, *MSS 55* (1994), 45–59; Skjærvø 145 f.

70 For the various forms see Hoffmann–Forssman 240–3.

**195.** An infinitive may appear in apposition with a pronoun or noun to expand its content into a verb phrase: 44. 15 *yezī ahiīā ašā, pōi maṭ, xšaiiehī*, ‘if thou hast this power with right, (namely) to protect me’; 43. 12 *nōit asruštā pairi.aoyžā, °uz.irēidiiāi parā hiiat mōi ā jimaṭ ...*, ‘thou givest me advice that will not go unheeded, (namely) to set forth before there comes to me’; 51. 16 *naṣaṭ ... yaṃ cistīm ašā maṅtā, °spəntō Mazdā Ahurō*, *adā nē sazdiīāi uštā*, ‘he attained that insight which he meditated with right, (namely) to proclaim to us as desired, “Bounteous is Lord Mazdā”’.

**196.** An infinitive may serve as object or complement of verbs such as ‘wish’, ‘choose’. Three of the six examples of infinitives in *-ō* come under this head. The infinitive tends to come at the end of the clause, or to be followed only by the governing verb: 29. 8 *huuō nē vaštī ... carəkərədrā °srāuuaiiejhē*, ‘he wishes to broadcast our praises’, cf. 43. 9 °vīuuīduiīē; 44. 3 *viduiīē*; 46. 14 *fra.srūidiiāi*; 30. 5 *vəratā yā drəguuā acištā °vəzeziīō*, ‘the wrongful one chooses to do the worst things’, or ‘chooses the worst things to do’; 50. 18 *təṃ cistīm ... vərəntē, taṭ xšadrəm manəḡhō vaḡhəuš +vīdō*, ‘he chooses to find that insight, that realm of good thought’. Of the same type are 32. 14 *hiiat vīsəntā drəguuantəm auuō*, ‘when they set themselves to assist the wrongful one’; 43. 11 *dīdajhē ... taṭ °vəzeziīēidiiāi, hiiat mōi mraotā vahištəm*, ‘I am learning to do what ye tell me is best’; 44. 12 *yā mā drəguuā vβā sauuā +paiṭi.əratē ciiəḡhaṭ*, ‘the wrongful one who likes to oppose thy gains’.

**197.** With an accusative as subject of the infinitive: 34. 4 *aṭ tōi ātrəm ... usəmahī ... stōi rapantē cidrā.auuəḡhəm*, ‘we wish for thy fire(,) to be a manifest help to thy supporter’; 43. 1 *utaiiūṭi təuušī +gatōi vasmī*, ‘I wish for strength and vitality to come’; 46. 16 *tāiš yəng usuuahi uštā stōi*, ‘those whom we two wish to be in bliss’; 49. 6 *frō vā išiiā ... °mrūitē*, ‘I desire you to speak’; 50. 2 *yā hīm ahmāi vāstrauaitīm stōi usiāt*, ‘one who might wish her to be on his own pastureland’; YH 35. 4 *gauuōi ... fraēšiiāmahī rānacā vāstrəmcā °dazdiīāi srunuuatascā asrunuuatascā*, ‘for the cow we urge them that hear and them that do not hear to maintain peace and pasture’.

Similarly with ‘teach’, ‘force’: 28. 11 *frō mā sišā ... +vaocəḡhē*, ‘teach me to voice (them)’; 43. 13 *kāmahiiā ... yəm vā naēcīš dāršt itē*, ‘my desire, to which no one forces you to accede’.

With ‘think’: 31. 8 *aṭ vβā māḡhī paouruuīm, Mazdā, yazūm stōi manəḡhā*, ‘I think of thee first, Mazdā, as being young in my thought’.

**198.** The commonest use of the infinitive, as of the dative, is to express purpose: 43. 13 *hiāt mā vohū pairī.jasaṭ manəḡhā, arəvā +vōizdiīāi*, ‘when one approaches me with good thought to take note of my endeavours’; 30. 2 *ahmāi [nē] sazdiīāi baodəntō paiṭi*, ‘waiting to make declaration to him’; 32. 1 *vβōi dūtəḡhō əḡhāmā, təḡg °dārāiō yōi vā daibišəntī*, ‘we will be thy messengers, to demolish those who hate you’; 32. 10 *acištəm °vəēnəḡhē*, ‘the worst thing for beholding (= to behold)’, cf. 45. 5 *srūidiiāi hiiat marətaēibiīō vahištəm*, ‘which

is best for mortals to hear'; 34. 5 *kaṭ vā xšaθrəm, kā īštiš ... °θrāiōidiāi drigūm yūšmākəm?* 'what is your power, what your ability to protect your poor dependant?'; 44. 16 *kā vərəθrəm.jā θβā pōi sānghā, yōi hañtī?* 'who is the victorious one, to protect with thy law (all) who exist?'; 44. 17 *hiiaṭcā mōi xiiāt vāxš aēšō, sarōi būždiāi,* 'and that my voice may be effective for working for union'; 44. 20 *nōiṭ hīm mīzēn ašā vāstrəm frādaijē,* 'they do not care for her (the cow), to promote the pasture with right'; 46. 5 *taṭ frō x'aētauuē mrūiāt, uzūidiōi im ... xrūniāt,* 'he should tell that to the clan, to help him escape from bloodshed'; 46. 11 *yūjēn ... akāiš šīiaoθnāiš ahūm °mərəngəidiāi mašīm,* 'they yoke the mortal to bad deeds to ruin life'; 46. 12 *aṭ īš vohū hēm aib.mōist manajha, aēibiō rafəθrāi ... sastē,* 'he brought them into union with good thought, to proclaim it for their support'; 49. 3 *aṭcā ahmāi varənāi ... ni.dātəm ašəm sūidiāi, ikaēšāi °rāšaiiejē druxš,* 'but for this chosen path, right is laid down to strengthen (us); for that teacher, wrong to harm (him)'.

**199.** Often the subject to be understood for the infinitive is not the subject but the object or the indirect object of the main verb: 28. 1 *yā vā ... pairī.jasāi vohū manajhā, maibiō dāuuōi ahuuā,* 'I who will approach you with good thought, (for you) to give me of both existences'; 31. 3 *taṭ nē, Mazdā, viduuanōi vaocā,* 'tell us that, Mazdā, (for us) to know', cf. 34. 12 *srūidiāi*; 44. 8 *mən.dāidiāi*; 49. 6 *vī.cidiiāi*; 31. 9 *hiiaṭ axiiāi dadā paθqəm, vāstriāt vā ā itē yā vā nōiṭ aṭhaṭ vāstriō,* 'when thou didst offer her (the cow) a path, (for her) to proceed either from the herdsman or (from him) who is not a herdsman'; 31. 20 *diuuanəm hōi aparəm °xšaiō,* 'radiance is his (for him) to possess hereafter'; 45. 10 *xšaθrōi hōi hauruuātā aməətātā ahmāi stōi dən,* 'into his control they give health and life, (for them) to be his'; 49. 2 *nōiṭ spəntəm dōrašt ahmāi stōi Ārmaītīm,* 'he has not embraced bounteous Piety (so as for her) to be his'; 50. 6 *dātā xratəuš, hizuuō raiθīm stōi mahiiā,* 'the giver of wisdom (for it) to be the charioteer of my tongue'; 51. 10 *maibiō zbaiiā Ašəm, vaṭhūiā ašī +gate,* 'for myself I will call upon Right, (for her) to come with the good reward'; 51. 17 *yaṃ hōi išiiqəm dātū ... Ahurō, Ašāhiā āždiāi gərəzdīm,* '(the Good Religion), which let the Lord make desirable to him, (for him) to attain the favour of Right'.

In 32. 5, where the subject of the infinitive is logically the indirect object of the governing verb and might have been expected to appear in the dative, it appears in the accusative: *akā šīiaoθnəm vacajhā yā fracinas drəguantəm °xšaiō,* 'by the evil speech with which he (the Evil Will) assigns the deed for the wrongful one to control'.

**200.** The infinitive introduces reference to a particular activity or state without specifying who or what it applies to: that has to be understood from the sense of the sentence (cf. §199). Accordingly it is ambivalent as to voice; the verbal notion may be simultaneously active from one point of view and passive from another. Cf. 30. 8 *taibiō xšaθrəm ... vōiuūdātē, aēibiō sastē ... yōi ...,* 'for thee will be found dominion for proclaiming (= to be proclaimed, or for

us to proclaim) to those who ...'; 32. 14 *gēuš jaidiīāi mraoī*, 'the cow is spoken for killing' = 'is ordered to be killed', or 'is declared available for people to kill'; 46. 13 *huuō nā fra.srūidiīāi ərəθβō*, 'that man is worthy (for people) to make famous' = 'worthy to be made famous'; 46. 14 *kē vā fra.srūidiīāi vašū?* 'or who wishes for renown-making?' = 'to be renowned'.

It is sometimes claimed that the infinitive in *-diīāi* has a special affinity with the middle voice,<sup>71</sup> but no clear pattern emerges from the texts.

**201.** In two passages we find three infinitives in parallel: 31. 5 *taṭ mōi vī.cidiiāi vaocā ...*, *vīdiiīē vohū manayhā mēncā daidiīāi*, 'tell me so that I may distinguish it ... so I may know and take to heart'; 44. 14 *kaṭā Ašāi Drujōm diīam zastaiō, nī hīm °mərəždiīāi ...*, *ōmauuaitīm sinam dāuuōi drəguuasū, ā īš duuafsēng ...* *+nāšē aštascā?* 'how might I give Wrong into the Hands of Right, to destroy her ..., to deliver a crushing blow on the wrongful, to bring pains upon them and woes?'

In 43. 14, where two infinitives are juxtaposed, the second perhaps depends on the first: *tauuā rafēnō frāxšnənəm ... °uz.irəidiīāi azē sardanā sōnghahiiā*, '(give me) thy providential support, (for me) to set forth to drive off the detractors of thy law'; and so 51. 9 *yam xšnūtəm rānōibiīā dā ... aibī ahuuāhū daxštəm dāuuōi, °rāšaiiejhē drəguuanətm, °sauuāiō ašauuanəm*, 'the atonement that thou didst set for the two parties, to establish proof about our mentalities, (so as) to harm the wrongful one and strengthen the righteous'.

**202.** In a few passages the purpose stated by means of the infinitive almost acquires the force of propriety or obligation: 44. 1 *aṭ nē Ašā friiā °dazdiīāi hākurenā*, 'we have friendly relations to maintain with Right'; 51. 20 *taṭ vā nē ... daidiīāi sauuō*, 'this is the strengthening we have to give you'. In negative propositions it can imply impossibility: 29. 3 *auuāēšam nōiṭ vīdiiīē*, 'of those things there is no knowing'; 45. 4 *nōiṭ °diβzaidiīāi vīspa.hišas Ahurō*, 'there is no deceiving the all-observant Lord'.

**203.** By a somewhat similar use, the infinitive may serve to express what someone is suited for, as in 49. 9 *fšējhiiō suiīē taštō*, 'the cultivator, made to be strong'; without anything corresponding to *tašta-*, 44. 2 *kaṭā aḡh-ōuš vahištahiiā paouruūm kāṭō šūidiīāi?* 'how is the man of good will for reinforcing the best existence's beginning?'

**204.** An exclamatory infinitive perhaps appears in the cow's soul's complaint at 29. 9 *aṭcā gēuš uruuā raostā, 'yā anaēšəm xšānmānē rādəm vācam nərəš asūrahiīā'*. If *rādəm* is a noun meaning 'carer', the sense is something like 'that I should put up with (am reduced to putting up with) an ineffective carer, the voice of a powerless man!' In the light of the previous paragraph we might construe it as a rhetorical question: '(am I one) who is (appropriate) for putting

71 Cf. Benveniste, *Les infinitifs avestiques*, 75–90; J. Gippert, *MSS* 43 (1984), 25–44; J. Kellens, *MSS* 55 (1994), 48–51; R. Lührs, *ibid.* 69–97.

up with ...?’ But if *rādəm* is from the verb found at 33. 2 and 51. 6, the infinitive is construed with it: ‘that I am prepared to put up with the ineffective voice’, etc.

If the first analysis is correct, it is possible that it is an inherited construction cognate with the exclamatory infinitives in Greek and Latin; in those languages, however, the subject is put in the accusative, whereas in the Gāthic passage it is the nominative relative pronoun used absolutely.

### Participles and Verbal Adjectives<sup>72</sup>

**205.** Participles in *-(a)nt-* and *-mna-* are freely used, both as nominal elements in the sentence and exercising verbal rection over ampler phrases. We also find the perfect participles *vīduuā/vīduš* ‘knowing’, *našuuā* ‘lost’, *apānō* ‘arrived at’.

The great majority of the *-(a)nt-* and *-mna-* forms are from present stems. Of the four from aorist stems (*dañtō* 32. 4, *hanañtē* 44. 19, *vīdąs* 33. 3, *xšnaošəmnō* 46. 18), all except *vīdąs* are aligned with aorist verbs in the same clause, signalled below by †.

**206.** Participle, often alone, designating a category of person: 31. 3 *juuantō vīspəng*, ‘everyone living’; 31. 17 *vīduuā vīdušē mraotū*, ‘let the knowing one speak to the knowing’, cf. 30. 1; 31. 12; 51. 8 (twice); 31. 19 *vīduuā ... ərəž.uxdāi vacaŋhəm xšaiamnō hizuuō vasō*, ‘a knowing one, one who for the true voicing of words is in free control of his tongue’; 34. 9 *θβahiiā ... vīdušō*, ‘of thy adept’; 33. 4 *nadəntō*, ‘detractors’; 34. 4 *rapəntē ... daibišiiəntē*, ‘to thy supporter ... to thy hater’; 44. 9 *θβāuuąs aš.īštiš ... hadəməi Ašā Vohucā siiąs Manəjhā*, ‘a very potent follower of thine, one who abides in their home with Right and Good Thought’; 47. 4 *isuuā.cīt hąs paraoš*, ‘even a man of much means’; 47. 6 *pourūš išəntō*, ‘many eager comers’; 48. 9 *saošiiąs*, ‘the one eager to promote’, cf. 34. 13; 45. 11; 46. 3; 48. 12; 53. 5 *vaziiamnābiiō kainibiiō ... xšmaibiiācā, vadəmnō*, ‘for girls getting married and for you, men marrying them’; YH 35. 4 *srunuuatascā asrunuuatascā xšaiiəntascā axšaiiəntascā*, ‘them that hear and them that hear not, them that have authority and them that have not authority’.

**207.** Participle or participial phrase in more pregnant application, emphasizing the property that is especially relevant in the context: 29. 2 *hiiəŋ hīm dātā xšaiiantō*, ‘when ye, having the power, set her there’, cf. 43. 1, 10; 51. 17; 29. 6 *atō vaocaŋ Ahurō Mazdā vīduuā vafūš viiānaiiā*, ‘then Lord Mazdā speaks, knowing (or the one who knows) the designs in his wisdom’; 32. 15 *auuāiš aibī yəŋg daiŋtū nōiŋ jiiātəuš xšaiiəmnəng vasō*, ‘those whom they implicate in them, not being in free control of their lives’; 46. 5 *yə vā xšaiiəng ā dąm drītā aiiantəm uruuātōiš vā ... miθrōibiiō vā, rasnā juuąs ... vīcirō hąs*, ‘as for one who, having the power (to choose), should take into his house one coming on

72 Skjærvø 141–4.



the basis of a promise or agreements, one living in rectitude, being a man of discrimination'; 44. 19 *yas.taṭ mīždam* <sup>+</sup>*hanantē nōiṭ* <sup>+</sup>*dāiṭi*, 'he that does not give that reward to the one who earned it'; 45. 3 *yam mōi vīduuā* *Mazdā vaocaṭ*, 'which Mazdā in his knowledge told me'; 46. 4 *aṭ tāng drəguuā ... pāt gā frōrətōiš ... dužazōbā haš*, 'but the wrongful one keeps those oxen from coming forth, abominable as he is'; 46. 6 *nōiṭ nā isəmnō*, 'a man not wanted'; 48. 3 *aṭ vaēdəmnāi vahištā sāsnaṇam*, 'but for him who apprehends it, the best of teachings'; 49. 12 *kaṭ tōi Ašā zbaiientē auuajhō Zaraduštraī?* 'what hast thou of help for him who invokes thee with Right, for Zarathustra?'; 51. 5 *vāstriiō šīiaov-nāiš ərašuuō, haš huxratuš*, 'a herdsman upright in his actions, being prudent'; 51. 18 *hiiaṭ rapēn tauuā*, 'that of thine which gives support'; 51. 20 *hazaošajhō vīspajhō ... Ašəm ... yazəmnəjāhō*, 'all ye of one mind who worship Right'; YH 35. 6 *advā hat vohū ... vərəziiōtūcā iṭ ahmāi fra.cā vātōiiōtū*, 'so, it being good, let him both put it into effect for himself and communicate it'.

**208.** In honorific reference to a characteristic or essential property: 31. 7 *tā ... raocəbiš rōiūβən x'vādrā*, 'those amenities permeating the world of light'; 32. 2 *aēibiiō Mazdā Ahurō, sārəmnō Vohū Manajhā, ... paiti.mraoṭ*, 'to them Lord Mazdā, being united with Good Thought, answers'; 32. 9 *išfīm ... bərxəḍəm hāiṭim Vajhəuš Manajhō*, 'potency, that is esteemed of Good Thought'; 33. 9 *təm mainiiūm ašā uxšaiiantəm*,<sup>73</sup> 'that intent that brings increase through right'; 45. 4 *Vajhəuš varəzaiiantō Manajhō*, 'of Good Thought that stimulates to action'.

**209.** Marking coincident activity: 28. 5 *kaṭ vβā darəsāni, manascā vohū vaēdəmnō ...?* 'shall I see thee, as I apprehend Good Thought?', cf. 31. 22; 29. 5 *aṭ vā ustānāiš ā huuā zastāiš frīnəmnā Ahurāiiā*, 'but we two are here with outstretched hands propitiating the Lord'; 30. 2 *sraotā gəušāiš vahištā ... ahmāi [nē] sazdiīai baodantō paiti*, 'hear with your ears the best message ... as ye look ahead to the declaration to him'; 30. 6 *hiiaṭ iš ā dəbaomā pərəsəmnəng upā jasaṭ*, 'because delusion comes over them as they deliberate'; 34. 6 *yaṭvā vā yazəmnascā uruuāidiīā stauuas aiiēni paiti*, 'so that I may come unto you worshipping and praising you the more gladly', cf. 45. 6; 50. 4, 9; 43. 10 *Ārmaiti hacimnō iṭ ā arəm*, 'in company with Piety I have set forth toward it', cf. 43. 12; 44. 10; 43. 14 *hiiaṭ nā friiāi vaēdəmnō isuuā daidūt*, 'what a man of means, on finding it for himself, would offer to his friend'; 49. 12 *yə və staotāiš, Mazdā, frīnāi, Ahurā, auuaṭ yāsas hiiaṭ və ištā vahištem*, 'who will propitiate you with praises, Lord Mazdā, praying for that which is the best at your disposal'; 51. 19 *huuō taṭ nā ... ahmāi dazdē, daēnāiiā vaēdəmnō, yə ahūm išasas aibi Mazdā dātā mrauuaṭ*, 'the man gets that (support) for himself, apprehending it with his moral self, who, in petitioning for existence, speaks Mazdā's ordinances'; 53. 7 *yauuaṭ āzuš ... parā[cā] mraocas aoracā*, 'one will apply his penis, dipping onward and down'.

73 My emendation for transmitted *ašaoxšaiiantā*, where the ending has been assimilated to the following *sarədiīaiiā*, making the sentence incomprehensible.

**210.** Expressing means or cause: 32. 4 *yā mašiiiā acištā* <sup>+</sup>*dantō* <sup>+</sup>*vaxšəntē daēuuō.zuštā*, ‘the worst things, by doing which mortals are to wax Daeva-favoured’; 32. 8 *yā mašiiəng cixšnušō ahmākəng gēuš bagā x̄vərəmnō*, ‘who sought to gratify our mortal race by feeding them portions of the cow’; 33. 3 *yē ašəunē vahištō x̄vəētū vā aṭ vā vərəzēniio airiiamnā vā ...* <sup>+</sup>*viḍas* *vā v̄βaxšənjhā gauioi*, ‘he who is best to the righteous one, whether with clan or village or tribe, or by tending the cow with care’; 34. 10 *ahiiā Vəjhəuš Manəjhō šiiəoḍnā vaocaṭ* ‘*gərəbəm*’ *huxratuš spəntəmcā Ārmaitim, dəmim viḍuuā hiṭəm Ašahiiā*, ‘(of) this Good Thought’s deeds the wise man says “let them be seized hold of”, and (of) bounteous Piety, knowing her the creator (and) companion of Right’; 51. 13 *yehiiā uruuā xraodaiti Cinuuatō pərətā ākā, x̄vāiš šiiəoḍnāiš hizuuascā Ašahiiā nəsuuā pavō*, ‘whose soul will torment him as it confronts him at the Arbiter’s Crossing, lost through his own actions and his tongue’s from the path of Right’.

Perhaps concessive in 31. 10 *nōiṭ ... auuāstriio dauuəs.cina humərətōiš baxštā*, ‘the non-herdsman, drive(?) her as he might, did not get her goodwill’.

**211.** In 31. 1 an initial participial phrase lays the basis for the following main clause: *tā vā uruuātā marəntō, aguštā vacā səngəhāmahī aēbiio yōi ...*, ‘minding these rules of yours, we proclaim words unheeded by those who ...’. Relative clauses are sometimes found in a similar function, and in 28. 4 a relative clause and a participial phrase are used in parallel: *yā uruuānəm mən gairē vohū dadē haṭrā manəjhā, ašišcā šiiəoḍnanəm viḍuš Mazdā, ... xsāi aēšē Ašahiiā*, ‘I who have taken my soul in mind for praise-song together with good thought, and knowing Mazdā’s repayments of actions, will look out in search of Right’.

**212.** More often a participial phrase is used as a means of extending a sentence: 32. 4 (following the words quoted in §210, *yā – daēuuō.zuštā*), *vəjhəuš sīž-diiamnā manəjhō, Mazdā Ahurahiiā xratəuš nəsiiantō Ašəatcā*, ‘retreating from good thought, losing the way from Lord Mazdā’s sapience and from Right’; 33. 5 *yas.tē vīspā.mazištəm sraošəm zbaiiā auuəjhānē, apānō darəgō.jiiātim*, ‘I that will invoke my supreme compliance to thee at the journey’s end, arrived at the long life’; 45. 8 *tām ... nū zī iṭ cašmainī vī.adarəsəm, Vəjhəuš Mainiiəuš šiiəoḍnahiiā uxḍaxiiācā viḍuš ašā*, ‘him I have just now discerned in my eye, knowing with right of the Good Will’s deed and utterance’; 46. 18 *ahmāi ascīṭ vahištā maxiiā ištōiš ...* <sup>+</sup>*cōišəm ...*, *əstəng ahmāi yā nā əstāi daidīṭā, Mazdā Ašā, xšmākəm vārəm* <sup>+</sup>*xšnaošəmnō*, ‘on him for my part the best things at my disposal I confer, (but) hatred on him who would subject us to hatred, (thereby) doing justice, Mazdā and Right, to your preference’; 47. 5 *hanarə v̄βahmāt zaošāt drəguuā baxšaiti, ahiiā šiiəoḍnāiš Akāt ā šiiəs Manəjhō*, ‘it is without thy favour that the wrongful one partakes thereof, by his own actions abiding on the side of Evil Thought’.

**213.** The verb *aog-* is construed with a participle as predicate: 32. 7 *aēšqam aēnaḡḡam naēcīṭ vīduuā aojōi*, ‘of these offences I declare I know nothing’, lit. ‘knowing nothing, I so make my declaration’.

**214.** With reduplicated desideratives we find an adjectival form in *-a-* which is in effect a participle and behaves as one: 43. 15 *nōiṭ nā pourūš drəguuatō xīiāṭ cixšnušō*, ‘may a man not be one who seeks to gratify the many wrongful’, cf. 32. 8; 45. 8–10 *tēm nā staotāiš nəməḡḡhō ā vīuuarəšō ... tēm nā Vohū maṭ Manəḡḡhā cixšnušō*, ... *tēm nā yasnāiš ārmatōiš miməḡḡzō*, ‘seeking to envelop him in our reverent praises ... seeking (also) to gratify him together with Good Thought ... seeking (also) to magnify him with our pious acts of worship’.

#### Verbal adjectives in *-ta-*

**215.** These are syntactically less flexible. The verbal element may govern a case, as in 30. 1 *raocōbīš darəsata uruuāzā*, ‘the bliss beheld by the light’; 31. 1 *aguštā vacā ... aēibiiō yōi ...*, ‘words unheeded by those who ...’; 43. 10 *pərəsācā nā, yā tōi ōhmā parštā; parštəm zī vβā ...*, ‘and ask us the questions (to) us (acc.) that thou hast; for the question by thee ...’; 49. 9 *fšōḡḡhiiō suiiē taštō*, ‘the cultivator made for strengthening’; *ibid. ašā yuxtā ... Dejāmāspā*, ‘the Djamaspas yoked with right’. Compare, with adverbial modifier, *YH 39. 2 ašāunəḡḡm ... kudō.zātanəḡḡm.cīt*, ‘of the righteous wherever born’.

**216.** These forms can serve as predicates in nominal sentences, with the effect of a finite verb: 29. 8 *aēm mōi idā vistō*, ‘this man here I have found’, cf. 29. 6; 50. 1; 48. 2 *hā zī aḡḡhəuš vaḡḡhī vistā ākərətiš*, ‘for that is the pattern of existence found to be good’; 48. 1 *yā daibitānā fraoxtā*, ‘the deceitful things that have been asserted’; 49. 3 *aṭcā aḡḡmāi varənāi ... ni.dātəm ašəm sūidiāi*, ‘but for this chosen path, right is laid down to strengthen (us)’.

**217.** Neuter plurals are used in a general sense: 43. 12 *nōiṭ asruštā pairi.aoyžā*, ‘thou givest advice (that will) not (go) unheeded’; 46. 19 *manō.vistāiš maṭ vīs-pāiš*, ‘with all spiritual acquisitions’; in particular, *dātā* is used substantivally of Mazdā’s ordinances, 33. 1; 46. 15; 49. 7; 51. 14, 19.

#### Gerundives in *-iia-*

**218.** Forms occur from four verbal roots. They are used mostly as simple attributives: 27. 13 *yaḡḡā ahū vairiiō*, ‘as (he is) the master one would choose’; 43. 13 *vairiiā stōiš*, ‘a possession worth choosing’, cf. 54. 1; substantivized, 34. 14 *taṭ ... vairīm*, ‘that thing worth choosing, that prize’; predicatively, 51. 1 *vohū xšadrəm vairīm*, ‘good command is a thing to choose’; 31. 4 *yadā Ašəm zəuuīm*, ‘when Right is to be invoked’; 44. 8 *yācā ... arəm vaēdiā*, ‘and those (words)

that are fitly to be apprehended’;<sup>74</sup> 48. 8 *kā ūβōi ... išiiā?* ‘what desirable (reward) of thine (is there)?’; 51. 17 *yaṃ hōi išiiṃ dātū ... Mazdā*, ‘let Mazdā make it desirable to him’; 54. 1 *ā Airiiāmā išiiō ... jaṇtū ... Aṣahiiā yāsā aṣīm, yaṃ išiiṃ Ahurō masatā*, ‘let Aryaman the longed-for one come ... I pray for Right’s reward, the longed-for one that the Lord conceives’.

### Verbal Modifiers (Preverbs)<sup>75</sup>

**219.** With many verbs the meaning may be modified, nuanced, or sharpened by the addition of what are often called preverbs, as they usually precede the verb (sometimes with other words intervening), though some of the disyllabic ones may also follow it (usually at the end of the verse: *apā*, 33. 4; *aibī*, 51. 19; *paiī*, 30. 2; 34. 6; within the verse, 29. 7 *dāiiāt dēāuuā*). When the preverb immediately precedes the verb, the two are sometimes written as a single word, but it is doubtful to what extent real univertation occurs; the preverb does not intervene between augment and verb, cf. 45. 8 *viiādarasəm*, i.e. *vī adarasəm*, ‘I have discerned’, and in many places an enclitic intervenes between preverb and verb, as in 28. 11 *frō mā sīšā*, 33. 12 *us mōi arəšuuā*; 33. 8; 45. 6; 46. 2; 49. 1, 6; 51. 22.<sup>76</sup> Univertation, however, is seen in 46. 5 *uz.ūiīiōi im*, where *uz im ūiīiōi* might have been expected. Two preverbs appear together in 46. 12 *aṭ iṣ vohū hōm aibī mōist manajhā*, ‘he brought them together into union with good thought’.

**220.** The combination of preverb and verb is often complemented by a particular case of a noun, and sometimes the preverb seems to adhere more closely to the noun than to the verb; we then describe it as a preposition or postposition. Some words serve only as prepositions and not as preverbs, and vice versa:

Either preverb or preposition: *aibī aṇtarə auuā (dēāuuā) ā paiī pairī*.

Only preverb: *apā frā hōm nī nīš upā us vī*.

Only preposition: *aipī ānū (dēānū) hacā hadā hanarə hadrā maṭ parā parō*.

The prepositional uses have been analysed in the sections dealing with the accusative, instrumental, ablative, and locative cases. (The dative and genitive are not construed with prepositions.) As to the senses that particular preverbs impart to particular verbs, that falls to the province of lexicography and does not call for treatment here.

<sup>74</sup> Some manuscripts give *vaēidiīāi* (infinitive), but that would have only two syllables, and the metre calls for three.

<sup>75</sup> Reichelt §§522–64.

<sup>76</sup> In the transmitted text, when a preverb is separated from a following verb, it is regularly repeated immediately before the verb, to the detriment of metre: this clearly results from a systematic editing process.

## Dependent Clauses

**221.** We may distinguish in terms of function between relative, temporal, causal, comparative, final/consecutive, conditional, and object clauses, and indirect statements and questions. But all of these are in a sense varieties of relative clause, introduced by a pronoun or conjunction from the *ya-* stem.

### Relative clauses<sup>77</sup>

**222.** Under this heading I will consider relative clauses in the restricted sense, that is, those in which the relativizer is a pronoun referring to a nominal or pronominal ‘head’, or an adverb such as ‘where’.<sup>78</sup> The Old Avestan texts are dense with such clauses. Sometimes there are two or more in the same sentence, and there may be one subordinate to another, as for example in 43. 4, quoted in §7.<sup>79</sup>

Despite the frequency of relative clauses and the frequency of vocatives, we do not find a relative clause attached to a vocative as in ‘our father, which art in heaven’. It may however be attached to a second-person pronoun, as in 28. 8 and 11, quoted in §§238 and 231 respectively.

**223.** Relative clauses may be divided into two categories, restrictive and appositive. Restrictive (defining) clauses are those which are essential to the identification of the head; appositive (non-defining) clauses serve for the further characterization of a head sufficiently identified without them. Both types are abundant in the texts. I have counted some 270 relative clauses, of which about 70% are restrictive.

### Restrictive (defining)

**224.** Restrictive relative clauses may precede or follow the main clause or be embedded within it; about twice as many follow it as precede, and twice as many precede as are embedded. In nearly half of those that follow, and in two thirds of those that precede, the relative pronoun has a correlative demonstrative in the main clause: see §§129, 132–3.

**225.** Many relative clauses are generic in their reference, for example those specifying a class of persons who should expect particular consequences of their character or actions. The position preceding the main clause is especially fa-

77 Caland 17–46; Delbrück iii. 295–406; Bartholomae 1199–1228; Reichelt §§734–52; Seiler 53–206; Kellens–Pirart ii. 53–64; Hintze (1997); Skjærvø 154–60.

78 I use ‘head’ for what in traditional grammar is called the antecedent, a misleading term in that it often does not precede but follows the relative clause or is located inside it. Some use the term ‘nucleus’.

79 On multiple or compound relative clauses cf. B. Forssman, *MSS* 45 (1985), 55–67.

voured for these, as in 45. 3 *yōi īm vā nōiṭ iḍā maḍrām varaṣṇṭī*, ... *aēibiiō aḡh-āuš auuōi aḡhaṭ apēmām*, ‘those of you who do not so act on this prescript, for them “Woe!” will be their worldly life’s end’; 46. 18 *yā maibiiā yaoš, ahmāi ascīṭ vahištā maḡiā ištōiš ... cōišām*, ‘who(ever) (assigns) weal to me, to him I for my part assign the best at my disposal’. As the examples indicate, the indeterminate referent may be either plural or singular.

**226.** If the reference is not to a specific person or thing but to any that may fit the case, the subjunctive is used, as in 45. 3 just cited (*varaṣṇṭī*); 28. 8 *yaēibiiāscā iṭ rāḡhaḡhōi*, ‘and (those) on whom(ever) thou bestowest it’; 30. 5 *yaēcā xšnaoṣṇ Ahurām haiḍiiāiš šīiaoḍnāiš*, ‘and those who please the Lord with genuine actions’; 32. 15 *auuāiš aibi yēḡ dainī*, ‘those whom they implicate in them’; 44. 12 *kā aṣauuā, yāiš pərəsāi, drəguuā vā?* ‘who is righteous or wrongful (of those) whom I question?’; 44. 19 *yas.taṭ mīzdəm hanəṭē nōiṭ dāiṭī, yā iṭ ahmāi ərəž.uxḍā nā dāiṭē, kā tēm ahiiā maēniš aṣaṭ paouruiiē?* ‘he that does not give that reward to one who earns it, the man that takes it for himself when it has been promised, what punishment for that will catch him initially?’; 46. 1 *nōiṭ mā xšnāuš, yā vərəzēnā hēcā*, ‘I am not pleased with such communities as I consort with’; 50. 3 *yām nazdištām gaēḍqām drəguuā baxšaiṭī*, ‘the neighbouring creature (any cow) that the wrongful one has’; 51. 8 *huuō zī maḍrā šīiātō, yā viḍušē mrauuaiṭī*, ‘happy that prophet who speaks to one who knows’.

For a more remote contingency the optative may be used: 46. 5 *yā vā xšaiiqs ā ḍam drītā aiaṇṭəm ... 6 aṭ yas.tēm nōiṭ nā isəmnō āiiāt*, ‘as for one who on his own authority should take into his house one coming ... But as for a man who should come to him unwanted’.

The subjunctive and optative may also be used in relative clauses to express an intended or desired outcome; see §§250–3.

**227.** The head to which a relative clause relates sometimes has to be understood from the context:<sup>80</sup> 31. 9 *hiiat axiiāi dadā paḍqam, vāstriiāt vā ā itē yā vā nōiṭ aḡhaṭ vāstriiō*, ‘when thou didst offer her a path, to proceed either from the herdsman or (from him) who is not a herdsman’; 31. 15 *pərəsā auuat, yā maēniš, yā drəguuāiṭē xšadrām hunāiṭī*, ‘I ask this, what is the punishment (for him) who is broaching dominion for the wrongful one’; 31. 19 *gūštā yā maṇṭā aṣəm*, ‘let him listen (to him) who thinks on right’; 31. 21 *Mazdā dadāt ... vaḡhāuš vazduarē manəḡhō, yā hōi mainiiū šīiaoḍnāišcā uruuāḍō*, ‘Mazdā gives the permanence of good thought (to him) who (is) his ally in will and deeds’; 34. 14 *taṭ zī ... astuuaiṭē uštānāi dātā ... yōi zī gəuš vərəzēnē aziā*, ‘for ye have set this prize for corporeal life, (for those) who (are) in the community of the milch cow’; 44. 12, quoted in §226; 45. 6 *yā hudā, yōi həṇṭī*, ‘who (is) a benefactor (of all) who are’, cf. 44. 16; 51. 10; 51. 8 *akōi ā drəguuatē, uštā yā Aṣəm dadrē*, ‘amid ill for the wrongful one, (but) in bliss (for him) who has embraced Right’; *YH 37. 2 tēm aṭ yasnanəm paouruatātā yazamaidē, yōi gəuš hacā šīieṇṭī*,

80 Cf. Delbrück iii. 300–2.

'him we worship with the primacy of rites (of those) who dwell in accord with the cow'.

**228.** In several of these cases *yā* is in effect equivalent to 'if anyone ...'; and so it is in certain instances where the understood head has no definite grammatical status in the main clause, as in 32. 16 *hamōm taṭ vahištācīṭ, yā ušuruiiē siiascīṭ dahmahiiā*, 'that is equal to the best (= there is nothing better than), if one just draws back to the safe haven(?) of the enlightened one'; 31. 13.

**229.** If necessary for purposes of connection, the unexpressed head is treated as being contained in the relative pronoun: 33. 1 *draguuataēcā hiiatcā ašāunē, yēxiācā hēm.iāsaitē miḍahiiā yācā hōi ārəzuuā*, 'for the wrongful one and as regards the righteous, and (for him) whose false and straight deeds are put in the balance'; 44. 20 *yāiš gəṃ Karapā Usixšcā aēšmāi dātā, yācā Kauuā qnmōnē urū-dōiiatā*, 'with whom the Karpan and the Usij subject the cow to violence and (to all that) which the Kavi makes her lament to her soul'; 53. 1 *yezī hōi dāt āiiaptā ... Mazdā ... yāēcā ...*, 'if Mazdā will grant blessings to him and (to those) who ...'.

#### Appositive (non-defining)

**230.** Appositive relative clauses normally follow the main clause, or in a few cases are embedded in it. There is no correlative, as that would imply that what is predicated in the relative clause is uniquely true of the head, making it part of its essential identity, as in a restrictive clause.<sup>81</sup>

**231.** In a few cases, however, the relative clause precedes the main clause for rhetorical purposes, to characterize the head before it plays its part in the main clause: 28. 4 *yā uruuānəm mōn gairē vohū dadē haḍrā managhā, ... xsāi aēšē Ašahiiā*, 'I who have taken my soul in mind for praise-song together with good thought ... will look out in search of Right'; 28. 11 *yā āiš ašəm ni.pāṅhē manascā vohū yauuaētāitē, tuuēm, Mazdā Ahurā, frō mā sīšā*, 'thou who with their aid dost protect thy Right and Good Thought for ever, teach me, Lord Mazdā', cf. 33. 11; 45. 7–8 *yehiiā sauuā išāntī rādaṅhō ... tēm ... nū zī īṭ caš-mainī vī.adarəšəm*, 'the Caring One whose strength all may activate ... him I have just now discerned in my eye'. The demonstrative *tēm* in this last passage is not a correlative of the kind typical in restrictive clauses, it is just resumptive after the lengthy preamble. The same applies to the demonstrative in the main clause following the elaborate anaphoric structure in 33. 4–6, *yā ūβaṭ, Mazdā, asrušīṃ akəmcā manō yazāi apā, ... yas.tē vīspō.mazištəm sraošəm zbaiiā auuaṅhānē ... yā zaotā ašā ərəzuš, huuō mainiiēuš ā vahištāṭ kaiiā ahmāṭ*, 'I that by wor-

<sup>81</sup> So in 31. 7 *tā, Mazdā, mainiiū uxšiiō, yā ā nūrōmcīṭ ... hāmō*, 'through that will, Mazdā, thou dost increase, ...', *tā* should not be taken as correlative to *yā*, which is equivalent to 'and it is' or 'and thou art' (the same even unto now).

ship will seek to keep from Thee, Mindful One, disregard and bad thought ... I that will invoke (my) all-surpassing compliance to Thee at the journey's end ... I that minister straight in accord with right: as this person, from this my best will I desire ...'.

232. The head of an appended relative clause is not necessarily the most recent noun: one may have to look further back for it, as in 32. 13 *yaēcā ... jīgərəzaṭ kāmē* *ḍḅahiiā maḍrānō dūtīm, yē iš pāṭ darəsāṭ Ašahiiā*, 'and those who decry in their lust thy prophet's message, (the lust) that will keep them from the sight of Right'; 32. 14 *hiiat vīsəṅtā drəguuantəm auuō, hiiatcā gāuš jaidiīā mraoī, yē dū-raošəm saocaiiat*, 'when they set themselves to assist the wrongful one and when the cow is spoken for killing, (the wrongful one) who makes the resistant (haoma) flare up'.

233. Occasionally a relative is used to introduce an idea quite independent of what precedes, becoming in effect just a sentence-connective: 44. 10 *taṃ daēnaṃ, yā hatṃ vahištā—yā mōi gaēvā Ašā frādōiṭ hacəmnā*, 'that religion which is the best in existence—may it promote my flock in union with Right'; 45. 2 *aṭ frauuaxšiiā aṅhəuš mainiīū paouruiīē, yaiīā spaniīā ūitī mrauuat yām angrəm*, 'I will tell forth the two Wills at the world's beginning; of whom the Bounteous one was to speak thus to the Hostile one'; 46. 11; 51. 13, 17.

#### Features common to both restrictive and appositive clauses

234. The head is sometimes located within the relative clause and subjected to its syntactic regimen, being put in the same case as the relative pronoun instead of that which would express its function in the main clause:<sup>82</sup> 28. 7 *dāstū, Mazdā, ... yā vē maḍrā srauuīmā rādā*, 'give thou, Mazdā, the pre-script through which we might hear your favours'; 30. 3 *aṭ tā mainiīū, paouruiīē yā yāmā x'afənā asruuātəm*, 'they are the two Wills, the twins who in the beginning made themselves heard through dreaming'; 31. 3 *hiiat uruuatəm cazdōṅhuuadəbiiō*, 'the rule that is for the prudent'; 31. 13 *yā frasā auuīšiiā, yā vā ... pərəsaētē taiīā*, 'the questioning that is overt, or the secrets that the two debate'; 32. 3 *aṭ yūš daēuuā vīspāṅhō Akāṭ Manəṅhō stā ciḍrəm yascā vā maš yazaitē*, 'but ye Daevas are all spawn from Evil Thought, and (so is) the grandee who worships you'; 32. 11 *taēcīṭ mā mōrəṅden jiiōtūm, yōi drəguuantō ... cikōitərəš ... apaiieitī*, 'those are the ones who pervert life, the wrongful who have distinguished themselves by depriving', cf. 44. 12; 32. 12 *yā rāṅhaiian srauuəṅhā vahištāṭ šiiəoḍanāṭ marətānō, aēibiiō Mazdā akā mraoṭ*, 'because of the "deed of repute" by which they divert mortals from best action, Mazdā answers them with ill'; 33. 1 *aiš ... yā dātā aṅhəuš paouruiiehiīā*, 'by the ordinances of the first existence'; 33. 13 *dōištī mōi yā vā ā bifrā*, 'show me the virtues(?) that you have'; 34. 1 *yā šiiəoḍnā, yā vacəṅhā, yā yasnā amərətātātəm ...*

82 Cf. Caland 29 f.; Delbrück iii. 298 f.



*taibiiō dāghā*, ... *aēšqam tōi* ... *dastē*, ‘the deed through which, the word through which, the worship through which thou takest continuing life for thyself, of these is offering made thee’; 34. 13 *tēm aduuānəm* ... *daēnā saōšiiantqam yā hū.kəratā Ašātcīt uruuāxšať hiiať cəuiištā hudābiiō mīždəm*, ‘that road, the well-paved one on which the Promoters’ moral selves advance from Right itself to the reward that ye have assigned to well-doers’; 43. 2 *yā dā Ašā vañh-ōuš māiiā manañhō*, ‘the transforming powers of good thought that thou didst establish with Right’, cf. 43. 4; 43. 6 *yahmī* ... *uruuaēsē jasō*, ‘at that bend where thou comest’; 43. 10 *pərəsacā nā, yā tōi āhmā parštā*, ‘and ask us the questions thou hast of us’; 44. 8 *mən.dāidiiai yā tōi, Mazdā, ādištiš*, ‘to take to heart the instruction that is thine, Mazdā’; 45. 10 *tēm* ... *mimayžō, yē qnmēnī Mazdā srāuuī Ahurō*, ‘seeking to magnify him, Mazdā the Lord who is heard in my soul’; 46. 1, quoted in §226; 48. 3 *vīduuā yācēt guzrā sēnghāñhō*, ‘knowing even the laws that are hidden’; 49. 6 *mrūitē yā vē xratəuš xšmākahiiā ā manañhā*, ‘to speak with the sapient thought that is yours’; 50. 3, quoted in §226; 50. 10 *yā varəšā yācā pairī āiš šiiəoñnā*, ‘what deeds I do and what (I have done) before’; 51. 9 *yam xšnūtəm rānōibiiā dā*, ‘the atonement that thou didst establish for the two parties’; 51. 16 *qam* ... *našať* ... *yam cistīm ašā mañtā*, ‘he attained that insight which he meditated with right’; 53. 6 *drūjō hacā rāvəmō yēm spašvā frāidīm*, ‘the prosperity that ye see of the man attached to wrong’; YH 38. 1 *yāscā tōi gənā*, ‘and the Dames that are thine’.

**235.** In three places the head is repeated from the main clause in the relative clause: 32. 12–13 *yāiš grāhmā ašāť vərətā Karpā xšədrəmcā išanqam drujəm, yā xšədrā grāhmō* ..., ‘with whom the Karpan chooses glutton(?) over right, and the dominion of those who desire wrong; by which dominion the glutton(?) ...’; 50. 5–6 *hiiať yūšmākāi mađrānē vaorəzađā* ... *yā mađrā vācəm, Mazdā, baraiťi*, ‘that ye will look gladly upon your prophet ... which prophet is bringing forth his voice, Mazdā’; 51. 14 *gauuōi ārōiš ā səñdā xvāiš šiiəoñnāišcā sēnghāišcā; yā iš sēnghō apəməm Drūjō dəmānē āđāt*, ‘manifesters of harm to the cow by their actions and teachings; which teaching will consign them at the last to the house of Wrong’.

**236.** The relative pronoun usually occupies the initial position in the clause. In some instances it is postponed to follow another word or phrase, but it always precedes the verb if there is one: 28. 1 *Vañhōuš xratūm Manañhō yā xšnəuiišā gəušcā uruuānəm*, ‘by which Thou wouldst do justice to Good Thought’s purpose and the cow’s soul’; 30. 3, quoted in §234; 32. 5 *akā šiiəoñnəm vacañhā yā fracinas draguuantəm xšaiťō*, ‘by the evil speech with which he assigns the deed for the wrongful one to control’ (here the clause boundaries overlap); 32. 15 *auuāiš aibī yāng daiñťi*, ‘those whom they implicate in them’; 34. 10 *tācā vīspā, Ahurā, vβahmī* ... *xšədrōi <y>ā vōiiədrā*, ‘and all

those excellences(?), Lord, that are in thy domain’;<sup>83</sup> 34. 13, quoted in §234; 45. 5 *vacā, srūidiiāi hīiat marātaēibiiō vahištām*, ‘the word that is best for mortals to hear’; 46. 10 *ajhāuš yā tū vōistā vahištā*, ‘what thou knowest (to be) the best things in life’.

The relative pronoun as quasi-article<sup>84</sup>

**237.** In §8 it was noted that many relative clauses are verbless, the copula being understood, and examples were quoted. Sometimes a phrase consisting of relative pronoun + (predicative) noun seems to mean no more than the noun would by itself, as in 30. 5 *aiiā mainiuuā vārātā yō drəguuā acištā vərəziō*, ‘of these two Wills the one that is wrongful chooses to do the worst things’, where *yō drəguuō* means no more than *drəguuō*; 32. 15 *yā Karpōtāscā Kəuūtāscā*, ‘the Karpanhood and the Kavihood’; 33. 1 *miḅahiiā yācā hōi ārəzuuā*, ‘his false and straight deeds’; 43. 5 *hīiat dā śīiaoḅnā mīždauuəṇ yācā uxḁā*, ‘when thou madest actions and speech wageable’; 46. 1 *daxiiḁuš yōi sāstārō drəguuantō*, ‘the wrongful governors of the regions’; 46. 3 *yōi uxšānō asṇam*, ‘the Oxen of Days’.

**238.** In this usage the relative pronoun has in effect become something like a definite article. The denaturing is still more apparent when the phrase is transposed into the accusative: 28. 8 *ḅβā ... yōm Ašā vahištā ha-zaošəm*, ‘thee, the one of one mind with best Right’, as in Greek one might say σὲ τὸν Δίκῆι ὁμόφρονα; 28. 9 *anāiš vā nōit ... Ašəmcā yānāiš zaranaēmā Manascā hīiat vahištām*, ‘with these prayers may we not anger you and Right and the Best Thought’; 32. 5 *vā ... yōng daēuuōng*, ‘you the Daevas’; 45. 2 *spaniiā ūitī mrauuat yōm angrəm*, ‘the Bounteous one was to speak thus to the Hostile one’; 45. 8 *təm ... vī.adarəsəm ... yōm Mazḁam Ahurəm*, ‘him I have discerned, Mazdā the Lord’; 46. 4 *tāng ... yōng ašahiiā važdrōng*, ‘those bringers of right’; 46. 8 *yā vā mōi yā gaēḁā dazdē aēnaḅhē*, ‘or he who is subjecting my flock to maltreatment’. In *YH* we find an example with the instrumental: 35. 4 *tāiš śīiaoḅnāiš yāiš vahištāiš*, ‘with these actions, the best ones’ (ταῖς πράξεσι ταῖς ἀρίστοις).

**239.** By a further extension the accusative pronoun may govern a defining phrase in a different case: 31. 6 *yā mōi vīduuā vaocaṭ haiḁim, maḁrəm yim haur-uuatātō*, ‘who, knowing it, speaks my truth, the prescript that is of health’ (ἐπιρωιδῆν τὴν ὑγίειος); 49. 8 *Ašahiiā dā sarəm ... yam vaḅhāu ḅbahmī ā xšāḁrōi*, ‘grant union with Right, the one in thy good domain’ (ἐνωσιν τὴν ἐν τῆι σῆι ἀρχῆι).

83 *xšāḁrōi yā* is my emendation, or rather reinterpretation, of *xšāḁrōiīā*: West (2008), 129–30.

84 Cf. Caland 18–28; Delbrück iii. 304–9.

The attraction of the pronoun into the accusative is not invariable, cf. 53. 5 *ahūm yā* (not *yim*) *vajhēuš manajhō*, ‘the life (that is) of good thought’.

### Temporal clauses<sup>85</sup>

**240.** Temporal clauses are mostly introduced by *hiiat*, the neuter of the relative pronoun. This serves as something of a general-purpose conjunction, and one has to decide according to the sense between ‘when’, ‘seeing that’, ‘because’, ‘in order that’, ‘the fact that’. In 51. 12, for example, *nōiṭ tā im xšnāuš vāēpiiō Kəuuīnō pəratā zimō ... hiiat ahmī urūraost aštō, hiiat hōi ī caratascā aodərāšcā zōišnū vāzā*, we would naturally translate ‘the Kavi catamite did not please him at the crossing in the winter, when the emissary had barred his way at it, when his two draught animals were trembling from the journey and the cold’, except that the correlative *tā* in the main clause strictly requires the rendering ‘thereby, that the emissary ...’. The second *hiiat* clause is more unequivocally temporal.

*hiiat ... paouruuīm* means ‘when first’, ‘as soon as’; *parā hiiat* means ‘before’.

Other conjunctions introducing temporal clauses are *yadā* ‘when’ (specifically temporal); *yauuat* ‘as long as’, ‘as far as’; *yāt* ‘since (the time when)’.

**241.** In the *Gāthās* the conjunction is regularly initial in the clause. In *YH* we (perhaps) find it postponed to second position in 38. 4 *yā vā, Vajhūš, Ahurō Mazdā nāməm dadāt, vajhudā hiiat vā dadāt*, ‘with the names that Lord Mazdā gave you, Good Ones, when the maker of good was making you’.

**242.** The temporal clause most often follows the main clause, but not infrequently precedes it, as in 30. 4 *aṭcā hiiat tā hēm mainiiū jasaētəm paouruuīm, dazdē gaēmca ājiiātūmcā*, ‘once those two Wills join battle, one adopts life or non-life’; 30. 8, 11; 31. 4; 43. 12 *hiiatcā mōi mraoš ‘Ašəm jasō’ frāxšnānē, aṭ tū mōi nōiṭ asruštā pairī.aoyžā*, ‘and when in thy providence thou tellest me, “Go to Right”, thou givest me advice that will not go unheeded’; 46. 12, 15; 50. 9. In *YH* 36. 6 the main and temporal clauses are interlaced: *barəzištəm barəzi-manəm (ā.uuaēdaiiamahī) auuat yāt huuarə auuācī*, ‘highest of the high we proclaim that sun since it was named’.

In 31. 11 three successive *hiiat* clauses in asyndeton precede the main clause: *hiiat nē, Mazdā, paouruuīm gaēvāscā tašō daēnāscā ... , hiiat astuuantəm dadā uštānəm, hiiat šīiaoθnācā sēnghašcā yaθrā ...*, ‘since first, Mazdā, thou didst fashion our living bodies and moral selves, since thou gavest corporeal vitality, since (thou gavest) the actions and pronouncements in which ...’. For two successive ones following the main clause cf. 51. 12 quoted in §240; for two connected by *-cā*, 32. 14 quoted in §244.

85 Reichelt §§755, 782, 787; Skjærvø 160 f.

**243.** When the reference is to the past, the verb is in a past tense of the indicative or injunctive: 29. 2 *kaṽā tōi gauuōi ratuš, hiiat hīm dātā xšaiiantō*, ‘how (was) thy ruling for the cow, when ye powers made her?’; 31. 9 *hiiat axiīā dadā paṽaṽam*, ‘when thou didst offer her a path’; 43. 5 *ayhāuš zaṽōi ... hiiat dā šīiaoṽnā mīzdauuān*, ‘at the genesis of the world, when thou madest actions wageable’; 46. 12 *hiiat us ašā naptiiaēšū našsucā Tūrahiiā jōn Friiānahiiā*, ‘when he came forth with right among the kin and descendants of Tūra Friyāna’; YH 38. 4, quoted in §241.

**244.** When the reference is to something that happens from time to time, or at an unspecified time, the verb is in the °present or +aorist injunctive: 29. 5 *aṽ vā ustānāiš ā huuā zastāiš frīnəmnā Ahurāiiā ... hiiat Mazdān +duuaidī frasābiiō*, ‘but we two are here with outstretched hands propitiating the Lord, as we subject Mazdā to our questions’; 30. 4 and 31. 11 quoted above, §242 (both present injunctive); 31. 8 *aṽ ṽβā mōjhi ... yazūm stōi manajhā ... hiiat ṽβā hōm cašmainī +grabəm*, ‘I think of thee as being young in my thought, when I catch thee in my eye’, cf. 43. 5; 32. 14 *ahiiā grāhmō ā.hōiṽōi nī Kāuuaiiascīṽ xratūš dadaṽ ... hiiat °vīsəntā drəguuəntəm auuō, hiiatcā gāuš jaidiīā °mraoī*, ‘into its bonds the glutton(?), the very Kavis surrender their reason, when they set themselves to assist the wrongful one, and when the cow is spoken for killing’; 43. 7 *spəntəm aṽ ṽβā ... mōjhi ... hiiat mā vohū °pairī.jasat manajhā °pərəsatcā mā*, ‘bounteous I think thee, when one approaches me with good thought and asks me’; 45. 10 *hiiat hōi ašā vohūcā +cōišt manajhā, xšāvrōi hōi hauruuātā aməratātā ahmāi stōi daṽ*, ‘when he with Right and Good Thought assigns (them) to him, into his control they give health and continuing life to be his’; 46. 7 *kəm.nā ... mauuaitē pāiūm dadā, hiiat mā drəguuā °dīdarəsatā aēnəjhē*, ‘whom dost thou set as protector for my kind, when the wrongful one seeks to take hold of me for maltreatment?’

**245.** When the reference is to a specific, unique activity occurring at the present time, we find the present indicative, even if the main clause refers to the past or future: 32. 3–4 *šīiaoməṽ ... yāiš asrūdūm būmiiā haptaiṽē, yāt yūš tā fra.mīmaṽā, yā ...*, ‘your deeds for which ye have become renowned in earth’s seventh part, ever since you have been enjoining those things that ...’; 43. 8 *hiiat ā būštiš vasas.xšāvrahiīā diīā, yauuāt ā ṽβā, Mazdā, staomī ufiīacā*, ‘so I may obtain the offices of him who rules at will for as long as I am praising and hymning thee, Mazdā’.

**246.** When the reference is to the future, the subjunctive is used: 28. 4 *yauuāt °isāi °tauuācā, auuāt xšāi aešē Ašahiīā*, ‘so long as I have the ability and strength, I will look out in search of Right’, cf. 50. 11; 30. 8 *yadā kaēnā +jamaitī aēnəjhaṽm*, ‘when the requital comes for their misdeeds’, cf. 31. 4; 30. 9 *aṽcā tōi vaēm xiīāmā yōi im frašəm kəronāun ahūm, ... hiiat haṽrā manā +buuāt yaṽrā cistiš aṽhaṽ maēṽā*, ‘may we be the ones who will make this world splendid, as our minds come together where insight is intermittent’; 43. 4 *aṽ ṽβā*

*mēnḡhāi taxmāmcā spəntəm ... hiiat mōi vaḡhāuš hazē +jimat manayhō*, ‘I will think thee bold and bounteous when the force of good thought comes to me’; 43. 12 *uz.iraiddiāi, parā hiiat mōi ā +jimat Sraošō*, ‘to start out before Compliance comes to me’, cf. 48. 2; 44. 15 *yezī ahiīā Ašā, pōi maḡ, xšaiiehī, hiiat hōm spādā anaocayhā jamaētē*, ‘if thou hast this power with Right to protect me when the hostile armies meet’; 46. 15 *hiiat dāḡōḡḡ vī +caiaḡḡ adāḡqscā, tāiš yūš šīiaodnāiš ašəm xšmaibiiā daduiiē*, ‘when ye distinguish between the just and the unjust, by those actions ye shall win yourselves Right’; 50. 9 *yadā ašōiš maxiiā vasē °xšaiiā, aḡ hudānaoš išaiiḡs ḡərazdā xiiēm*, ‘when I have my reward at my disposal, then may I be enabled in my benefactor’s favour’.—In 30. 11 *hiiat tā uruuātā sašāḡḡ ... aḡ apiḡ tāiš ayhaitī uštā*, ‘when ye grasp those rules, then thereafter it will be as we desire’, it seems necessary to emend the present indicative *sašāḡḡ* to the subjunctive *sašāḡḡ*.

One passage is anomalous: 46. 11 *yōḡḡ xḡā uruuā xḡāēcā +xraodaḡ daēnā, hiiat +aibī.ḡəməḡ, yaḡrā Cinuuatō pəratuš*, ‘(the Karpans and Kavis,) whom their own soul and their own morality will torment when they come to where the Arbiter’s Crossing is’. The subjunctive in the main clause suggests a prediction for the future, but the aorist injunctive in the temporal clause implies a timeless generalization as in §244. Probably *xraodaḡ* is to be taken as a ‘relative future’ of the type identified in §181: ‘whenever such people reach the Arbiter’s Crossing, it ensues that / is to be anticipated that their soul torments them’, or perhaps ‘their soul is ready to torment them whenever they reach ...’.

### Causal clauses<sup>86</sup>

247. The following clauses introduced by *hiiat* may be classed as causal: 30. 6 *aiiā nōiḡ əraš vi.šīiātā daēuuācinā, hiiat iš ā dābaomā pərasəmnōḡ upājasat* (pres. inj.), *hiiat vərənātā* (pres. inj.) *acištəm manō*, ‘between those two not even the Daevas discriminate rightly, because delusion comes over them as they deliberate, *hiiat* (because? so that? when?) they choose the worst thought’; 43. 11 *hiiat xšmā uxḡāiš dīdaijḡhē paouruuīm*, ‘because I am learning by your utterances first of all’; 44. 18 *hiiat mōi mazdā api.uuaiḡi*, ‘seeing that (or now that) mindfulness has been instilled in me’;<sup>87</sup> 46. 2 *vaēdā taḡ, yā ahmī ... anaēšō: mā kamnaḡšuuā, hiiatcā kamnānā ahmī*, ‘I know why I am ineffectual: through my poverty in cattle and because I am poor in men’; 47. 3 *yā ahmāi ḡəḡm ... hōm.tašaḡ ... hiiat hōm Vohū ... fraštā Manayhā*, ‘which fashioned the cow for him, because he took counsel with Good Thought’; 49. 9 *sraotū sāsnā fšējḡhiiō ... hiiat daēnā vahištē yūjən* (aor. inj.) *mīždē ... Djāmāspā*, ‘let the cultivator hear the teachings, since (or now that) the Djamaaspas have yoked their moral selves for the best reward’.

86 Reichelt §§756; Skjærvø 163.

87 On the interpretation of this sentence see §178.

Comparative clauses<sup>88</sup>

**248.** Comparisons are most clearly expressed with *yaðā* ‘as, just as’, with or without correlative *aðā* or *iðā*: 27. 13, 29. 4, 33. 1, all quoted in §133; 44. 18 *kaðā ašā taṭ miždam hanānī ... hauruuātā aməratātā, yaðā hī taibiio dāḡhā?* ‘how am I rightfully to earn that reward ... with health and continuing life, even as thou hast taken these for thyself?’

For 34. 5 *yaðā vā hahmī* and for *yaðənā* see §135.

**249.** Certain clauses introduced by *hiiaṭ* seem to be comparative in nature: 32. 5 *tā dəbənəotā mašim hujiiātōiš ... hiiaṭ vā akā manəḡhā yōḡ daēuuōḡ Akascā Mainiiuš*, ‘so ye lure the mortal from good living, as (or because?) the Evil Will also (lures) you who are Daevas by evil thought’; 34. 8 *tāiš zī nā šīiaodnāiš biianṭī, yaēšū as pairī pourubiiō iðiiējō, hiiaṭ aš.əojā nāidiiāḡhəm*, ‘for they intimidate us by those actions in which there was danger to many, as a strong man does a weaker one’; 43. 8 *haiðiiō duuaēšā, hiiaṭ isōiā, drəḡuuaitē ... xiiōm*, ‘may I be in reality, as (or what) I would wish, the bane of the wrongful one’; 46. 2 *rafədrēm cəguuā hiiaṭ friiō friiāi daidīt*, ‘affording support as (or which) a friend would offer to a friend’; 46. 6 *huuō ašauuā, yahmāi ašauuō friiō, hiiaṭ daēnā paouruiā dā, Ahurā*, ‘he is righteous who has a righteous one as his friend, as thou didst establish the original moralities, Lord’.

Final and consecutive clauses<sup>89</sup>

**250.** Final clauses and those expressing an intended consequence always follow the main clause. They are introduced either by a relative pronoun referring to an agent or instrument identified in the main clause, or by *hiiaṭ* or *yaðā*. The verb goes in the subjunctive or optative. Where the verb of the main clause is a wish in the optative, that of the dependent clause is also optative. In other cases we may say that the subjunctive implies that the end is likely to be achieved, while the optative implies that it will become possible.

Purpose can also be expressed within the main clause by a dative (§§81–3) or infinitive (§§198–203).

**251.** Relative pronoun + subjunctive: 28. 6 *dā ... Zərəduštrāi əojōḡḡhuuəṭ rafənō ...*, *vā daibišuuəṭ duuaēšā tauruuaiiāmā*, ‘give Zarathushtra strong support, by which we may overcome the foe’s hostilities’; 28. 11 *frō mā sīšā ... vaocəḡhē ...*, *vāiš ā aḡhuš paouruiiō bauuəṭ*, ‘teach me to voice (those words) through which the pristine existence may come about’; 29. 10 *aogō dātā ašā xšədrəmčā auuəṭ ...*, *vā hušəitiš rāməmcā dāt*, ‘give strength with right and that dominion, by which one may establish fair dwelling and peace’; 30. 1 *aṭ tā vaxsiiā ... vā mazdāvā hiiaṭcīt vīdušē*, ‘now I will tell those things that you are to

88 Reichelt §§760–3; Skjærvø 163 f.

89 Reichelt §§746, 757–8, 765–6, 787; Skjærvø 162 f.

bring to the attention even of one who knows'; 31. 2 *yaṭā ratūm Ahurō vaēdā Mazdā aiiā ašaiiā, yā ašāt hacā juuāmahi*, 'how Lord Mazdā has made the judgment concerning those two portions, (the judgment) by which we may live in accord with Right'; 50. 4 *xšaṭrācā, yā īšō stānhat ā paiṭī*, 'and with that dominion by which one may stand on the path of enablement'; 53. 4.

Relative pronoun + optative: 28. 1 *ahiiā yāsā ... rafədrahiiā ... šiiəoṭnā, Vañhəuš xratūm Manañhō yā xšnəuuīšā*, 'I pray for his help by means of an action through which thou couldst satisfy Good Thought's purpose'; 29. 2 *kām hōi uštā ahurəm, yē drəguuīdəbīš aēšəməm vādaiiōit?* 'whom did ye want as her lord, that might repulse fury by the wrongful?'; 31. 3 *taṭ nē ... vaocā ... yā juuanṭō vīspəng yāuraiiā*, 'tell us that, whereby I might persuade everyone alive'; 31. 4 *išasā ... xšaṭrəm aojōñhuuat, yehiiā vərədā vanaēmā Drujəm*, 'I shall seek a strong authority, by whose increase we might vanquish Wrong'; 46. 8 *paitiəogəṭ tā ahmāi jasōit duuaēšəñhā tanuuēm ā, yā īm hujiiātōiš pāiīāt*, 'may those (actions of his) recoil on him with hostility, on his person, so that they may keep him from good living'.

252. *hiiat* + optative: 43. 8 *at ašāunē rafnō xiiēm aojōñhuuat, hiiat ā būštīš vāsas.xšaṭrahiīā diiā*, 'and to the righteous one may I be a strong support, so that I may obtain the offices of him who rules at will'; 43. 10 *pərasācā nā, yā tōi əhmā parštā ... hiiat ṭβā xšaiiəš aēšəm diiāt əmauuantəm*, 'and ask us what thou hast to ask of us, so that one who might, having control, make thee potent (and) strong'.

253. *yaṭā* + subjunctive: 34. 6 *yezī aṭā stā haiṭīm, ... aṭ taṭ mōi daxštəm dātā ... yəṭā vā yazəmnascā uruuāidiīā stauuas aiiēnī paiṭī*, 'if ye are truly thus, then prove that to me, so that I may approach you worshipping and praising you the more gladly'; 44. 1 *at nē Ašā friiā dazdiīai hākurənā, yəṭā nē ā Vohū jimat Manañhā*, 'we have friendly relations to maintain with Right, so that it will come to us with Good Thought'; 46. 16–17 *aṭrā tū arədrāiš idī ... yaṭrā Ašā hacaiṭē Ārmaitiē ... yəṭā vā afšmānī səngəhānī*, 'go with the zealous to where Piety is together with Right, so that I may proclaim verses for you'.

### Conditional clauses<sup>90</sup>

254. Conditional clauses are normally introduced by *yezī* 'if'. In the attested examples the if-clause relates either to the present, with the verb (if any) in the present indicative, or to the future, with the verb in the subjunctive. There is no instance of a counterfactual conditional.<sup>91</sup>

With indicative: 34. 6 *yezī aṭā stā haiṭīm*, 'if ye are truly thus'; 44. 15 *yezī ahiiā ašā pōi maṭ xšaiiēhī*, 'if thou hast this power with Right to protect me';

<sup>90</sup> Reichelt §§785; Skjærvø 164–6.

<sup>91</sup> For this the optative would no doubt have been used, as in Yt. 8. 52–4, 10. 55; Reichelt §792; Skjærvø 166.

48. 9 *kaṭ ā vaēdā, yezī cahiiā xšaiiaθā ... yehiiā mā āiθiš duuaēθā?* ‘shall I know it, if ye have control over anything whose danger is a threat?’

Without a verb: see §8.

With subjunctive: 48. 1 *yezī aṭ āiš Ašā Drujəm vānghaiī, ... aṭ tōi sauuāiš vahməm vaxšaṭ, Ahurā,* ‘now if thereby Right will vanquish Wrong, then one will increase thy praise together with thy strength, Lord’; 53. 1 *vahištā īštiš srāuū Zaraθuštrahē ..., yezī hōi dāt āiiaptā ... Mazdā yauuōi vīspāiīā,* ‘best in renown is the capability of Zarathushtra, if (it is true that) Mazdā will grant him blessings for ever’.

255. A conditional relationship can also be expressed paratactically.<sup>92</sup> In two passages it is signalled by a peculiar use of the particle *-cā*, attached to the initial word of the first sentence:<sup>93</sup> 30. 7 *ahmācā xšaθrā jasaṭ* (pres. inj.) *manajhā vohū ašācā, aṭ kəhrpəm utaiiūitiš dadāt* (pres. inj.), *ārmaitiš qnmā,* ‘suppose one comes with dominion for him, with good thought and right, then vitality informs the body, piety the soul’; 32. 1 (beginning of poem) *axiiācā xʷaētus yāsaṭ* (pres. inj.), *ahiiā vərəzənəm maṭ airiiamnā, ahiiā daēuuā mahmī manōi, Ahurahiīā uruuāzəmā Mazdā: ʾθβōi dūtāḡhō āḡhāmā, tēḡ daraiiō yōi vā daibišəḡtī:* *aēibiiō Mazdā Ahurō ... paiī.mraoṭ* (pres. inj.), ‘suppose for his the clan prays, for his the village with the tribe, for his the Daevas, in my fancy, for the Lord Mazdā’s gladdening, (saying) “we will be thy messengers, to rend apart those who hate you”, to them Mazdā the Lord answers’.

In 53. 7 we find a similar structure without the *-cā*: *vī.zaiiaθā* (aor. subj.) *magəm tēm, aṭ vā ‘vaiiōi’ aḡhaiī apēməm vacō,* ‘(if) you will abandon this ceremony, then “Woe!” will be your last word’.

### Object clauses<sup>94</sup>

256. It is sometimes convenient to give a whole clause the syntactic function of a noun, so that it can serve as subject or object of a verb in the main clause. This is achieved by heading it with *hiiaṭ* ‘(the fact) that’: 44. 17 *kaθā zarəm carānī hacā xšmaṭ, āskaiīum xšmākəm, hiiaṭcā mōi xiiāṭ vāxš aēšō?* ‘how am I to journey in accord with you towards the object of my yearning(?), (namely) attachment to you, and (the goal) that my voice may be effective?’; 50. 5 *ārōi zī xšmā ... hiiaṭ yūšmākāi maḡrānē vaorāzaθā,* ‘for it is settled by you that ye will

92 Cf. Delbrück iii. 420 f.; É. Pirart in Crespo–García Ramón 506–24.

93 The construction is known in Vedic, and traces of a similar function of reflexes of *\*-k<sup>w</sup>e* have been found also in Hittite, Latin, and Gothic, but it has not hitherto been recognized in Iranian. Cf. Brugmann (1902) §913; Hirt vii. 140–2; Wackernagel (1955–79), i. 257–61; H. Eichner, *MSS* 29 (1971), 27–46; Watkins (1995–2008), 300–6; O. Szemerényi, *Scripta Minora* i (Innsbruck 1987), 384–6; H. Hettrich, *Untersuchungen zur Hypotaxe im Vedischen* (Berlin–New York 1988), 250–60; id. in O. Panagl–T. Krisch (edd.), *Latein und Indogermanisch* (Innsbruck 1992), 279–82.

94 Reichelt §759; Skjærvø 153 f.; cf. Delbrück iii. 324–7.



look gladly on your prophet'; after a verb of speaking, 51. 8 *aṭ zī tōi vax-šiiā ... hiiṭ akōi ā drəguuaitē, uštā yē Aṣəm dadrē, ... yaṃ xšnūtəm rānōibiiā dā*, 'for I will tell thee (this truth,) that amid ill for the wrongful one, but in bliss for him who has embraced Right, is the atonement that thou didst establish for the two parties'; with correlative demonstrative, YH 35. 3 *taṭ aṭ varəmaidī ... hiiṭ ī mainimadicā vaocōimācā varəzimācā, yā ...*, 'we have chosen this, that we might think and speak and do those things that ...'.

### Indirect statements and questions<sup>95</sup>

**257.** In 51. 8, quoted in §256, we have seen one way of treating indirect speech of the type 'say that such-and-such is the case'. Simpler propositions, of the type 'say that A is B' or 'A is said to be B', are accommodated within the main clause by means of a nominative or accusative predicate; see §§48 and 55. A noun in another case may also appear as predicate after a verb of speaking, as in 43. 13 *vairiiā stōiš, yā v̄βahmī xšadrōi vācī*, 'a desirable possession that is said to be in thy realm'.

**258.** Indirect questions are introduced by pronouns or conjunctions from the relative *ya-* stem, not the interrogative *ka-*. Thus to the direct question in 44. 19 *taṭ v̄βā pərəsā ...: yas.taṭ ..., kā tām ahiiā maēniš aṣat?* 'I ask this: he who ..., what punishment for that will catch him?', there corresponds the indirect one in 31. 15 *pərəsā auuaṭ, yā maēniš, yē ...*, 'I ask that, what the punishment is (for him) who ...', as if it were 'I ask about the punishment which there is'.

Further examples: 51. 22 *yehiiā mōi ... vahištəm yesnē paiṭi, vāēdā*, 'I know in whose worship is my best (interest)'; with *yā* or *yaṭā* 'how', 34. 12 *srūidiiāi, Mazdā, frāuuaočā, yā vī.dāiiāṭ ašiš rāšnəm*, 'proclaim for our hearing, Mazdā, how one might distribute the rewards of (thy) rulings'; 44. 1 *taṭ v̄βā pərəsā ... nəmaḡhō ā, yaṭā nəmō xšmāuuatō*, 'I ask this out of reverence, how is the (proper) reverence of your kind'; 46. 9 *yā mā arədrō cōiṭaṭ paouruiiō, yaṭā v̄βā zəuiištīm uz.əmōhī*, 'the zealous one who will be first to recognize how I found(?) thee out as the promptest'; 48. 9 *vīdiiāṭ saošiiṣ, yaṭā hōi ašiš aḡhaṭ*, 'may the Promoter know how his reward is to be'; 51. 5 *vīspā tā pərəsā, yaṭā ašāṭ hacā ḡaṃ vīdaṭ vāstriiō*, 'all this I ask: how the herdsman gets a cow in accord with Right'.—In 31. 16 an indirect question beginning with *yaṭā* is modified into one with two different forms: *pərəsā auuaṭ, yaṭā huuō yē hudānuš... yaṭā huuō aḡhaṭ yā.šīiaoṭnascā*, 'I ask that, how the liberal man who ... when he will be there, and how-actioned'.

The *yezī* clause in 48. 9, quoted in §254, is virtually an indirect question.

95 Reichelt §789.

## Recapitulation: Uses of the Moods

**259.** Various uses of the moods, both in main and in subordinate clauses, have been illustrated in preceding sections. They may now be brought together under their own rubric. The injunctive is here considered together with the indicative; it is not really a mood in the same way as are the indicative, subjunctive, optative, and imperative, but a convenient grammatical classification for those verb forms from present or aorist stems that lack the distinctive markers of a mood.

### Indicative and injunctive<sup>96</sup>

**260.** The present indicative is regularly used in performative utterances (§159); in statements or questions regarding a current state of affairs (§§160–1); in the assertion of universal truths (§162). In temporal and causal clauses it is used of what is actually the case at the present time (§§245, 247).

In if-clauses it is used when there is an open possibility that something is the case (§254).

**261.** In relative clauses it is used both for what is actually (temporarily) the case and for general (permanent) truths.

Actually the case: 29. 9 *yām ā vasamī īšā.xšādrīm*, ‘whom I wish enabled with authority’; 31. 14 *tā ōβā pərasā, Ahurā, yā zī ā aēitī jānghaticā*, ‘I ask thee, Lord, about the things that are approaching and will come’; 31. 15 *yā drəguuaitē xšādrəm hunāitī ... yā nōit jiiōtūm hanarə vīnastī vāstriiehiā aēnaḡhō*, ‘who is broaching dominion for the wrongful one ... who does not find a livelihood without wronging the herdsman’; 32. 1 *tānḡ ... yōi vō daibišantī*, ‘those who hate you’; 32. 3 *yascā vā maš yazaitē*, ‘and the grandee who worships you’; 32. 6 *aēnā ... yāiš srāuuahiitē*, ‘the offences by which he seeks renown’; 33. 7, 9; 43. 14; 44. 11, 12, 13; 46. 8.

General/permanent truths: 28. 11 *yā aiš Ašəm ni.pānḡhē*, ‘thou who through them guardest Right’; 29. 3 *yā šauuaitē adrənḡ ərəšuuānḡhō*, ‘he by whom the upright promote the weak’; 30. 5 *mainiiuš spāništō, yā xraozdištānḡ asēnō vastē*, ‘the Bounteous Will, who clothes himself in the hardest stones’; 31. 11 *yadrā varənānḡ vasā dāiitē*, ‘where the free agent makes his choices’; 33. 5 *paḍō, yaēšū Mazdā Ahurō šaēitī*, ‘the paths on which Lord Mazdā dwells’, cf. 43. 3; 43. 6 *Vohū Manāḡhā, yehiiā šiiāoḍnāiš gaēḍā ašā frādētē*, ‘with Good Thought, by whose actions the flock prospers with right’.

**262.** In referring to recurrent phenomena or habitual actions the present indicative is sometimes used, but more often the present or aorist injunctive (§163). Likewise in temporal clauses (§244).

---

96 Kellens–Pirart ii. 65–80.

**263.** The aorist indicative (marked by the augment) is used of past events (§177), and also of perceptions that the speaker has just had (§165).

In other passages such events are referred to with unaugmented aorists, formally injunctives but treatable as indicatives (§176): 29. 1 *kahmāi mā ūβarōž-dūm? kē mā tašat?* ‘for whom did ye shape me? Who made me?’; 29. 2 *kām hōi uštā ahurəm?*, ‘whom did ye want as her lord?’; 31. 5, 7, 10, 11, etc. Likewise in temporal clauses (§243).

**264.** For the imperfect indicative<sup>97</sup> see §177.

For the perfect indicative see §§154–6, 164, 180.

**265.** Injunctive forms are used in some circumstances where a marked indicative would not be appropriate: in imaginary narrative (§181); in a quasi-conditional sentence equivalent to ‘suppose ...’ (§255); in questions about the future (§175); in prohibitions with *mā* (§192); in positive injunctions (§193).

### Subjunctive<sup>98</sup>

**266.** The subjunctive is essentially the prospective mood, expressing what is *envisaged* as opposed to being known or perceived. In main clauses it is used in statements of intent or resolve, deliberative questions, and generally in predictions, assurances, and questions about the future (§§169–74).

Occasionally it is used for what *can* happen or *sometimes* happens (§184).

In imaginary narrative, whether set in the distant past or in the present, the subjunctive may be used of something that was or is to be anticipated in a certain situation (§§181, 246).

**267.** In restrictive relative clauses the subjunctive is used when the reference is to an open class (§226); in final clauses, to express purpose or expected consequence (§§250–1, 253).

In temporal, conditional, and object clauses it is used for future time (§§246, 254, 256).

### Optative<sup>99</sup>

**268.** The optative is the mood for voicing contingent possibilities, dependent on something else that is itself uncertain. In main clauses it is used for diffident propositions or questions (§185), for wishes or prayers (§§186–8), and for prescriptions about behaviour (§189).

<sup>97</sup> Formally present injunctive, as there is no clear instance of an augmented form (though see p. 63 n. 64).

<sup>98</sup> Reichelt §§644–50; Kellens–Pirart ii. 80–8; Eva Tichy, *Der Konjunktiv und seine Nachbarkategorien* (Bremen 2006); Skjærvø 135 f.

<sup>99</sup> Reichelt §§651–5; Kellens–Pirart ii. 88–92; Skjærvø 136 f.

In restrictive relative clauses it is used for the specification of a hypothetical contingency (§226); in final clauses, to express a desired end that may become achievable if a certain wish is granted (§§250–2). Examples in object clauses are to be understood similarly (44. 17 and YH 35. 3, quoted in §256).

### Imperative

**269.** The imperative is used for requests in invocations and prayers and for positive exhortations and instructions (§§190–1).

### Quotation of Direct Speech<sup>100</sup>

**270.** Zarathushtra sometimes attributes utterances to others. As they are conceived as being syntactically complete in themselves, strategies are needed to incorporate them within his syntax while allowing their other-voice status to be apparent. The most straightforward method is to introduce them with a verb of speaking or asking, as in 29. 1 *gōuš uruuā gərəždā: ‘kahmāi mā v̄βarōž-dūm?’* ‘the cow’s soul complains, “For whom did ye shape me?”’; 29. 2 *adā tašā gōuš pərəsat Ašəm: ‘kaṽā tōi gauuōi ratuš?’* ‘then the Maker of the Cow asks Right, “How was thy ruling for the cow?”’; 29. 3, 6, 9; 31. 2; 32. 2; 43. 7, 8, 9, 12. With *ūitī* ‘thus’: 45. 2 *yaiiā spaniiā ūitī mrauuat yēm angrəm: ‘nōit nā manā ...’*, ‘of whom the Bounteous one was to speak thus to the Hostile one, “Neither our thoughts ...”’.

In 32. 1 the connection between the verb and the utterance is less close: *axiiācā x<sup>a</sup>aētus̄ yāsat ... Ahurahiīā uruuāzēmā Mazdā: ‘v̄βōi dūtāghō āghāmā’*, ‘suppose the clan prays for his, for Lord Mazdā’s gladdening, (saying) “We will be thy messengers”’.

**271.** When a question has been asked, it may be followed directly by the answer, with no explicit marking of the change of speaker: 29. 7–8 *kas.tē vohū manajhā yē ī dāiiāt dēāuuā marātaēibiiō?*—‘*aēm mōi idā vistō, yē nē aēuuō sāsna gūšatā*’, ‘whom hast thou who by good thought could establish those things for mortals?’—“This man here I have found, who alone listens to our teachings”’; 31. 5–6; 34. 5; 44. 12; 46. 14.

**272.** In 44. 6, to express the idea ‘if it is a true proposition that ...’, Zarathushtra says *yā frauuaxšiiā yezi tā aṽā haiṽiiā, ‘Ašəm šiiāoṽnāiš dēβazaitī Ārmaitiš’*, ... *kaēibiiō ... gām tašō?* ‘if what I am about to say is true so: “Piety in action confirms Right ...”, for what people didst thou make the cow?’

**273.** A piece of speech, or a thought formulated as if for speech, may be introduced with a noun that indicates its status: 29. 5 *hiiat Mazdāq̄m duuaidī frasābiiō:*

100 Spiegel §391; Skjærvø 99 f.

*‘nōiṭ arəžajiiōi frajiiāitiš?’* ‘as we subject Mazdā to our questions: “Is there no prospect for the righteous-living one?”’; 47. 2 *ahiiā mainiiēuš spēništahiiā vahišṭam ... vərəziiat ōiiā cistī*, *‘huuō ptā Ašahiiā Mazdā’*, ‘of this Bounteous Will one effects the best (showing) with this insight: “He, Mazdā, is the father of Right”’; followed up by a verb of utterance, 51. 16 *ṭam Kauuā Vištāšpō ... naṣaṭ ... yaṃ cistīm Ašā maṇtā*, *‘spəṇtō Mazdā Ahurō’*, *avā nē sazdiīai uštā*, ‘the Kavi Vishtaaspa attained that insight which he meditated with Right, “Bounteous is Lord Mazdā!”’, thus to proclaim to us as we desired’. (For the *avā* cf. §133.)

**274.** An utterance of one or two words can be treated as a nominal package and made the subject or object of a verb: 34. 10 *ahiiā vaṃhēuš manahō šīiaov-nā vaocat ‘gərəbqam’ luxratuš*, ‘(of) this Good Thought’s deeds the wise man says “let them be seized hold of”’; 53. 7 *aṭ vā ‘vaiiōi’ aṃhaṭ apēməm vacō*, “‘Woe!’ will be your last utterance’, cf. 45. 3.

In other passages an exclamation is absorbed into a derived noun or compound: 31. 20 *auuaētās vacō*, ‘Woeness by way of speech; 53. 6 *vaiiū.bərədbiiō*, ‘for the Woe-criers’; 32. 12 *yōi gēuš mōrəṇḍən uruuāxš.uxfi jiiōtūm*, ‘who pervert the cow’s life with the “Move!”-shout’.

## Particles<sup>101</sup>

**275.** Particles may be divided into three categories: sentence particles, that is, those that serve to introduce a sentence, to lend it a particular tone, or to connect it with the preceding one; relational particles, those that define the relationship between parallel words or phrases within the sentence; and focusing particles, those that attach to a particular word and lend it weight or emphasis.

There are notable differences of usage between the *Gāthās* and *YH*. Several particles appear in the latter but not in the former, and there is a systematic divergence in the placing of *aṭ* (§277). The differences may be due to the difference between verse and prose, or to idiomatic differences between Zarathustra’s speech and that of the author of *YH*.

Many particles are enclitic and can only stand after a tone-bearing word. Some of these (*cā*, *cīṭ*, *cinā*, *nā*) are conventionally printed as attachments to the preceding word, but the distinction between those that are and those that are not so treated is entirely conventional; it had of course no meaning in the oral phase of transmission.

---

101 Kellens–Pirart ii. 99–189; Skjærvø 149–53.

## Sentence particles

**276.** It is a fixed characteristic of sentence particles that they stand at the beginning of the sentence, either in initial position or as enclitics following the first word.

**277.** Much the commonest is the one that appears in the *Gāthās* as *aṭ* and in *YH* mostly as *āṭ* or *āaṭ*.<sup>102</sup> In the *Gāthās* it is normally initial, but postponed to second word in 31. 18; 43. 5 (and the five repetitions of this verse in the poem); and 48. 1 if *adāiš* is to be resolved into *aṭ aiš* (§278). In 34. 15 *Mazdā, aṭ mōi* ... the sentence may be considered to begin after the initial vocative (cf. §358). In *YH*, on the other hand, the particle is only once initial and otherwise postponed; the effect is to foreground the initial word. The one passage where it is initial is the exception that proves the rule, see §334.

In general *aṭ* fulfils the role of a rather neutral sentence connective, continuative or prosecutive in effect. However, in three cases it appears at the beginning of a poem (30. 1; 45. 1; 49. 1), where it must be regarded as simply ingressive, not connective. In another passage it opens the reply to a question: 46. 14 *kas.tē ašauuā uruuāθō mazōi magāi? kē vā fra.srūidiiāi vaštū?—aṭ huuō Kauuā Vištāspō*, ‘which righteous one is thy ally for the great rite? Who wishes to be renowned?—(Well,) it is the Kavi Vishtaaspa’.

Sometimes *aṭ* seems to have a lightly adversative tone; where any translation is called for, ‘but’ is often the most suitable. It has a clearly adversative sense when used within the sentence in an antithesis between clauses (which are compressed sentences), as in 30. 4 *acištō drəguuatəm, aṭ ašāunē vahištəm manō*, ‘the worst (existence) that of the wrongful, but for the righteous one, best thought’, cf. 34. 4; 43. 8.

Following a subordinate clause *aṭ* often marks the beginning of the main clause in what might be called an ‘apodotic’ function:<sup>103</sup> 30. 8 *aṭcā yadā aēšəm kaēnā jamaiti aēnaḥəm, aṭ, Mazdā, taibiio xšəvərəm ... vōiuūdāitē*, ‘and when the requital comes for their misdeeds, (then) for thee, Mazdā, will be found dominion’; 31. 2 *yezī aiš nōiṭ uruuānē aduuā aibī.dərəštā vaxiiā, aṭ vā vīspāng ā aiiōi*, ‘I through these (words) the better way (is) not in plain view to the soul, (then) I appeal to you all’; 33. 3 *yē ašāunē vahištō ... vīdās vā θβaxšəḥhā gauuōi, aṭ huuō Ašahiīā aḥaṭ ... vāstrē*, ‘he that is best to the righteous one ... or by tending the cow with care, (well,) he will be in the pasture of Right’; 43. 12 *hiiatcā mōi mraoš ‘Ašəm jasō’ frāxšnənē, aṭ tū mōi nōiṭ asruštā pairi.aoyžā*, ‘and when in thy providence thou tellest me “Go to Right”, (then) thou givest me advice that will not go unheeded’; 30. 7, 11; 34. 6; 46. 12; 48. 1; 50. 9.

102 Cf. Narten (1986), 94 f., 257–9; G. E. Dunkel, *HS* 101 (1988), 53–78; Kellens–Pirart ii. 105–20; Skjærvø 150 f. Narten and Dunkel (56) regard *aṭ* and *ā(a)ṭ* as of different origin, but apart from the matter of initial or deferred placement I can see no distinction of usage.

103 Cf. Dunkel 65–8; Kellens–Pirart ii. 107–9.

For combinations of *aṭ* with other particles (*aṭcā*, *aṭcīt*, *aṭ vā*, *aṭ zī*, etc.) see below, §§281–3, 294, 297.

**278.** In three places *aṭ* has probably been obscured in the written tradition by being run together with another monosyllabic particle or pronoun.

Twice we find in second position an obscure word *adāiš*: 48. 1 *yezī adāiš Aṣā Drujəm vānghaitī*, ‘if [ ] Right will vanquish Wrong’; YH 35. 4 *gauuōi adāiš tāiš śīiaoṽnāiš yāiš vahištāiš fraēšīīmahī rāmācā vāstrəmācā dazdīīāi*, ‘for the cow [ ], with these actions that are the best, we urge the establishment of peace and pasture’. It is persuasively resolved by Narten (following Insler) into *aṭ āiš*, ‘thereby, hereby’.<sup>104</sup>

In YH 35. 6 *yaṽā āṭ utā nā vā nāirī vā vaēdā haiṽīm, aṽā haṭ vohū taṭ ḡḡādū vərəziīōtūcā īṭ ahmāi*, ‘as anyone, either man or woman, knows a truth, so, it being good, let him [ ] both put it into effect for himself and ...’, the otiose *taṭ* is eliminated and the strange *ḡḡādū* explained by Narten’s resegmentation as *tatō āṭ ū*, where *tatō* = Vedic *tátas* ‘then, thereupon’ and *ū* is the common Vedic particle *u*, not otherwise apparent in the Avesta.<sup>105</sup>

**279.** Two enclitic particles that are primarily relational, *cā* ‘and’ and *vā* ‘or’, can also be used as sentence connectives.

The special use of *cā* to introduce a conditional sentence, as it were ‘suppose’, has been dealt with in §255. In other cases *cā* simply marks a sentence as developing the content of the preceding one, as in 30. 3 *aṭ tā mainīiū, ... manahi vacahicā śīiaoṽnāi hī, vahīiō akəmācā; āscā hudāḡhō əraš vī.šīīātā*, ‘they are the two Wills, those two kinds of thought, of speech, of deed, the better and the evil; and between them well-doers discriminate rightly’; 43. 12; 47. 5; 49. 7, 10; 53. 5 *sāxvānī vazīiamnābiū kainibiū mraomī xšmaibiīācā, vadamnā: mōḡcā ī dazdūm*, ‘these precepts I speak for girls marrying and for you, bridegrooms; and (make sure you) take them to heart’.

*vā* is used as a sentence connective in two circumstances:

1) With a relative pronoun, making provision for an alternative hypothetical situation, as in 46. 5 *yā vā xšaiīqs ā dām drītā aiīantəm*, ‘as for one who on his own authority should take into his house one who comes’, cf. 31. 13; 46. 8, 10.

2) With an interrogative pronoun in a series of questions: 34. 12 *kaṭ tōi rāzarā, kaṭ vaši, kaṭ vā stūtō, kaṭ vā yasnahīā?* ‘what is thy rule, what dost thou wish, (or) what of praise, or what of worship?’; 46. 14; 51. 11. As if to say, ‘if you cannot answer my first question, here is an alternative one’.

Apart from the examples of conditional *cā*, sentence-connecting *cā* and *vā* are in all cases attached to an initial monosyllable.

**280.** Apart from *aṭ*, the commonest sentence particle is *zī* (enclitic; = Vedic *hi*). It generally has an explanatory force, ‘for’: 31. 18 *māciš aṭ vā drəḡuātō*

104 Narten (1986), 104.

105 Narten (1986), 111–14.

*maḍraścā gūštā sāsnāścā, ā zī dāmānəm vīśəm vā ... dušitācā marakaēcā*, ‘let none of you listen to the wrongful one’s prescripts and teachings, for he will give house or manor into chaos and ruin’; 30. 10; 34. 3, 8, 14; 43. 10; 44. 2; 45. 1; 46. 6; 47. 6; 48. 2, 6, 12; 50. 5; 53. 4, 5.

In 51. 8 it is used twice in parentheses, where it is unclear what nuance it imparts: *aṭ zī tōi vaxšiiā, Mazdā (vīdušē zī nā mruiiāt) hiiṭ akōi ā drəguuaitē, uštā yē Ašəm dadrē (huuō zī maḍrā šiiātō, yē vīdušē mrauuiitī) yaḡm xšnūtəm ...*, ‘I will tell thee, Mazdā (a man would be speaking to one who knows), that amid ill for the wrongful one, but in bliss for him who has embraced Right (happy that prophet who speaks to one who knows) is the atonement which ...’.

**281.** In nine places a sentence is introduced by the combination *aṭcā*.<sup>106</sup> In 29. 9 it would seem to have adversative force, and so perhaps in 49. 3, while in the other passages (30. 4, 8, 9; 34. 2; 43. 2; 53. 2, 7) it is simply progressive.

*aṭcā* also occurs as a relational particle (§293).

**282.** In two places we find the combination *aṭ zī*. In the first it is plausible to find something of both the adversative tenor of *aṭ* and the explanatory force of *zī*: 29. 6 *nōiṭ aēuuā ahū vistō naēdā ratuš ...*, *aṭ zī ṽβā fšuiiaṅtaēcā vāstriiāicā ṽβōraštā tatašā*, ‘indeed no patron has been found (for the cow), nor a ruling; the Shaper has created thee both for the stock-raiser and the herdsman’. One might render the particles with ‘but, you see’. In 51. 8 (quoted in §280) *aṭ zī* begins a new stanza, and it is unclear what logical relationship with the preceding one is intended.

In *YH* 35. 7 the particles appear together in the reverse order, *zī aṭ*. The *aṭ* may be the ordinary connective, enclitic as usual in *YH*. The *zī* (its only occurrence in *YH*) marks a progression from a general maxim (anyone who knows a good truth should put it into effect and exhort others to do so) to its application: *Ahurahiīā zī aṭ vā Mazdā yasnəcā vahməcā vahištəm aməhmaidī gəušcā vāstrəm: taṭ aṭ vā vərəziīamahī fracā vātēiīamahī*, ‘now, it is Lord Mazdā’s worship and praise that we have apprehended as your greatest good, and the cow’s pasture, and this we will put into effect for you and communicate’.

**283.** A further combination with *aṭ* is *aṭcīt*. (For *cīt* see §305.) In 31. 1 it is used like simple *aṭ* as the adversative in an antithesis within the sentence: *aguštā vacā səṅghāmahī aēibiiō yōi uruuātāiš drujō ašahiiā gaēṽā vīmərəṅcaitē, aṭcīt aēibiiō vahištā yōi zrazdā aḡhən Mazdāi*, ‘we proclaim words unheeded by those who with the rules of Wrong are disrupting Right’s flock, yet the best for those who will be trustful towards Mazdā’. In 50. 3 it introduces a new sentence, and ‘yet’ is again a suitable rendering.

**284.** There is an enclitic particle *tū*, corresponding to Vedic *tū*.<sup>107</sup> In almost all cases it is associated (as the Vedic particle often is) with vocatives and second-

<sup>106</sup> Cf. perhaps Latin *atque*.



person imperatives: 28. 6, 7 (twice); 34. 15; 43. 10; 46. 16; 49. 7; 53. 3; *YH* 39. 4; 41. 3, 4. This suggests that in origin it was an enclitic form of *tuuōm* ‘thou’, and in Old Avestan it may still have been felt to have this value. In 41. 2, however, it must have a less specific one: *vohū xšaθrəm tōi, Mazdā Ahurā, apaēmā vīspāi yauuē; huxšaθras.tū nē nā vā nāiri vā xšaētā ubōiio aḡhuio*, ‘thy good rule, Lord Mazdā, may we attain for evermore; and may a good ruler, whether man or woman, assume rule over us in both existences’. The particle perhaps underlines the link between *huxšaθras* and the preceding *vohū xšaθrəm*.

**285.** An asseverative particle *vōi* (= Vedic *vái*) is found only at *YH* 36. 3, *ātarš vōi Mazdā Ahurahiā ahī; mainiiuš vōi ahiiā spēništō ahī*, ‘truly, the fire of Mazdā the Lord art thou; truly, his most bounteous will art thou’.

**286.** The negative particles *nōiūt* and *mā* may also be classed as sentence particles; for them see §§10–13, 192.

For sentence-initial *atē* and *adā*, both meaning ‘then, thereupon’, *aθā* ‘therefore’ (with imperative), and hortative *nū*, see §133.

### Relational particles

**287.** The commonest of relational particles is the ubiquitous enclitic *cā*, ‘and’. Its predominant use is to link nouns or phrases that are syntactically on the same footing: pairs (or longer series) of nouns, of adjectives, of verbs, etc.

In the case of an added phrase consisting of more than one word, *cā* is attached to the first, as in 28. 1 *Vaḡhēuš xratūm Manaḡhō ... gēušcā uruuānəm*, ‘Good Thought’s purpose and the cow’s soul’. (Contrast *YH* 39. 1 *yazamaidē gēuš uruuānəmcā tašānəmcā*, ‘we worship both the soul and the maker of the cow’, where the two accusatives are both qualified by *gēuš*.)

**288.** Where there are two items to be joined, the basic pattern is A B-*cā*, = ‘A and B’. Or the *cā* may be added to both terms, A-*cā* B-*cā*, in which case we may translate ‘both A and B’: 29. 6 (quoted in §282); 30. 4 *gaēmā ajiiāitūmcā*, ‘both life and non-life’; 28. 2, 5, 10, etc.; *YH* 35. 2 *iadacā aniiadacā*, ‘both here and elsewhere’; *ibid.*, *vərəziāmananəmcā vāuərazananəmcā*, ‘both present and past’, etc. Such a pair may be in apposition to a preceding *ubē* ‘both’ (§21).

Less often *cā* is appended to the first term only: 30. 9 *Mazdāscā Ahurāḡhō*, ‘Mazdā and Lords’, cf. 31. 4; 30. 11 *xʷitičā ənəiti*, ‘through success and failure’; 33. 8 *aməratāscā utaiiūtī hauruuatās*, ‘continuing life and health with vitality’; 34. 6; 43. 4; 51. 14. This may be a poetic licence, as it does not occur in *YH*.

---

107 Cf. Bartholomae 654 f.; Narten (1968), 264 n. 46; J. S. Klein in Crespo–García Ramón 262 f.

**289.** Where there are three or more items, the primary pattern is A B-*cā* C-*cā*, as in 29. 11 *kudā aṣəm vohucā manō xšəθrəmcā?* ‘where are right and good thought and dominion?’; 28. 3, 8; 32. 3. The comprehensive A-*cā* B-*cā* C-*cā* (31. 11) is suitable for solemn or hieratic formulae, and like the corresponding two-term pattern it occurs many times in *YH*.

Other arrangements, such as A B C-*cā* (30. 7, 10; 31. 6; 33. 10; 44. 5); A B-*cā* C (30. 3); A-*cā* B C-*cā* (33. 14); A B-*cā* C D E-*cā* (29. 1), are restricted to the *Gāthās*.

**290.** Words may also be joined asyndetically, with no *cā* at all, as in 28. 10 *dāθōng ... ərəθβōng*, ‘upright and worthy’; 31. 20 *darəgəm āiīu təmaṅhō, duš-xʷarəθōm, auuaētās vacō*, ‘a long age of darkness, foul food, the crying of woe by way of utterance’; 44. 10; 44. 17 *hauruuātā amərətātā*, ‘with health and continuing life’, cf. 18; 45. 7; 45. 9 *pasūš vīrōng ahmākōng*, ‘our herds and men’; 47. 3; 48. 4; in *YH* mostly with three terms (or more), 35. 2 *humatanəm hūxtanəm huuarštənəm*, ‘of good thought, good words, good deeds’; 36. 4–5 *pai-ri.jasāmaidē nəmaxiiāmahī išūidiīāmahī θβā*, ‘we attend, revere, give thee thanks’, etc.

**291.** In a couple of passages *cā* is attached to a word that is not paired with anything, and here perhaps it is to be understood as ‘also’: 28. 3 *yaēibiiō xšəθrəmcā aγzaonuuanəm varəxdaitī ārmaitiš*, ‘you for whom piety increases your unimpaired dominion also(?)’; 32. 5 *tā dəbənəotā mašīm hujiiātōiš ... hiiat və akā manəṅhā yōng dəəuuōng Akascā Mainiiuš*, ‘so ye lure the mortal from good living, as the Evil Will also does you who are Daevas, by evil thought’.

**292.** When two or more relative clauses are joined by *cā*, the implication is that the referents are different. So in 32. 10 *huuō mā nā srauuā mōrəndaṭ, yē acištəm vaēnaṅhē aogadā gəm ašibiiā huuarəcā, yascā dāθōng drəguuatō dadāt, yascā vāstrā vīuuāpaṭ, yascā vadarē vōiždaṭ ašāunē*, ‘that man perverts good repute, who declares that the worst thing to behold with the eyes is the cow, and the sun; and he who makes the upright wrongful, and he who destroys the pastures, and he who raises his weapon against the righteous one’, four kinds of wrongdoer are condemned, not one who does all those things; ‘and he who’ is equivalent to ‘or he who’. Likewise in 32. 14 *hiiat vīsəntā drəguuantəm auuō, hiiatcā gəuš jaidiīā mraoī*, ‘when they set themselves to assist the wrongful one, and (or) when the cow is spoken for killing’.

**293.** A 2nd pl. pronoun or possessive adjective is occasionally accompanied by the name of someone included in the ‘you’, with *cā*: see §122. There is a similar phenomenon at 28. 6 *dāidī ... Zaruštrāi aojōnghuuat rafənō ahmaibiiācā*, ‘give strong support to Zarathushtra and to us’, i.e. ‘to us, that is to myself, Zarathushtra, and to the rest of us’.

**294.** *aṭcā*, normally a sentence particle (§281), appears at 53. 4 as a strengthened form of -*cā* in a series of nouns: *yā fədrōi vīdāt paidiācā vāstriāēibiiō*

*atcā* *x<sup>v</sup>aētauuē*, ‘with which a woman may serve her father and husband, the herdsmen and the clan too’, or ‘but also the clan’.

**295.** In a negative sentence parallel items are coordinated with *nōiṭ* ... *naēdā* ‘not ... nor’; see §12.

**296.** The disjunctive particle *vā* ‘or’ behaves much like *cā*, but with less variability in its placing. Thus we have A B *vā*, ‘A or B’ (34. 5); A *vā* B *vā* (31. 9, 12, 17; *YH* 41. 2); A B C *vā* (45. 11); A B *vā* C *vā* (31. 13, 16, 18); A *vā* B *vā* C *vā* (*YH* 39. 2).

For *vā* as a sentence connective see §279.

**297.** The combination *at vā* is equivalent to simple *vā*, but it precedes the host word: 33. 2 *vacanḥā vā*, *at vā mananḥā*, *zastōibiiā vā*, ‘whether by word or by thought or by hands’; 33. 3 *x<sup>v</sup>aētū vā*, *at vā vərəzēniio*, *airiiamnā vā*, ‘whether with clan or with village or with tribe’; 33. 8.

**298.** The enclitic *ṽβat* (in *YH* *ṽβāt*) is used in disjunctions where the terms are not mutually exclusive alternatives but each valid at different times; it means approximately ‘as the case may be’, ‘beziehungsweise’: 44. 3 *kā yā mā uxšiietī nərəfsaitī ṽβat?* ‘who is it through whom the moon now waxes, now wanes?’; *YH* 35. 10 *staotāiš ṽβāt* ... *staoiōibiiō aibī*, *uxdā ṽβāt uxdōibiiō*, *yasnā ṽβāt yas-nōibiiō*, ‘be it with praises where praises are concerned, or with utterances where utterance is concerned, or with act of worship where acts of worship are concerned’.

### Focusing particles

**299.** In 29. 6 *nōiṭ aēuuā ahū vistō naēdā ratuš*, ‘indeed no patron has been found, nor a ruling’, *aēuuā* is evidently an intensifying particle, the counterpart of Vedic *evá*.

**300.** Besides its prepositional and preverbal usages, *ā* appears as an enclitic strengthening particle attached to relative, demonstrative, and interrogative pronouns. The particle is probably a different word from the pre-verb/preposition.<sup>108</sup>

Relative: 29. 9 *yām ā vasamī iśā.xśaḍrīm*, ‘whom I wish enabled with authority’; 31. 7 *tā* ... *mainiiū uxšiiō*, *yē ā nūrēmciṭ* ... *hamō*, ‘through that will thou dost increase, which even now is the same’; 43. 8 *yauuat ā ṽβā* ... *staomī ufiācā*, ‘just as long as I am praising and hymning thee’; 50. 7 *yāiš ā zāḍā*, ‘with which ye will win’; *YH* 36. 1 *ahiiā* ... *āḍrō* ..., *yē ā axtiš ahmāi*, *yām axtōiio*

<sup>108</sup> É. Pirart argues that it represents a systematic alteration of *u* = Vedic *u* (Kellens–Pirart ii. 131–40). The hypothesis has a certain amount in its favour, though it is obscure how such a transformation should have come about. In Vedic *á* too serves as an intensifying particle, though its usage does not particularly resemble that of Avestan *ā*.

*dāḡhē*, ‘of this fire, which is torment for him whom thou puttest to torment’.<sup>109</sup>

Demonstrative (referring back): 32. 15 *anāiš ā vī.nēnāsā yā Karpōtāscā Kāuuītāscā*, ‘with these (activities) the Karpanhood and Kavihood have lost their way’; 34. 11 *tāiš ā Mazdā*, †*vīduuaēšam ōβōi ahī†*, ‘with these, Mazdā, thou ...’;<sup>110</sup> 53. 6<sup>bis</sup> *anāiš ā manahīm ahūm mərəḡgəduiē*, ‘with them ye ruin spiritual life’; 53. 8 *anāiš ā dužuuaršnaḡhō dafšniīā hōḡtū*, ‘hereby let the evil-doers be thwarted’.

Interrogative (following another question): 44. 12 *katārēm ā aiiēm*<sup>111</sup> *vā huuō vā aḡrō?* ‘which is it, this one or that one who is the enemy?’; 48. 9 *kaṭ ā* [var. *kadā*, *kaṭā*] *vaēdā*, *yezī cahiīā xšaiiaṭā?* ‘shall I (var. when/how shall I) know it, if ye have control over anything?’

**301.** The neuter pronoun *īt* ‘it’, like Vedic *id*, can serve as an enclitic particle. Where *īt* occurs in the *Gāthās* it always has pronominal function except in the compounds *ciṭīt* (§306) and *zīt* (§311). In *YH* it is the pronoun in 35. 6 (four times), but in 39. 3 we have it (as with *ciṭīt*) reinforcing another particle: *aṭ iṭā yazamaidē vaḡhūscā īt vaḡhīscā īt*, ‘in this fashion we worship both the Good Ones (male) and the Good Ones (female)’.

**302.** *utā* ‘also’ (= Vedic *utā*) appears only in *YH*: 35. 6 *yaṭā aṭ utā nā vā nāiri vā vaēdā haiṭīm*, ‘as also either a man or a woman knows a truth’; 40. 4 *aṭā xʷaētus*, *aṭā vərəzēnā*, *aṭā haxēmam xiiāt*, *yāiš hišcamaidē*; *aṭā vā utā xiiāmā*, ‘so may it be with the clan, so with the communities, so with the societies we associate with; so also may we be for you’, i.e. ‘so may you find us also’.

**303.** The *kaḡm* that appears reinforcing a dative pronoun at verse-end in 44. 20 *yōi pišīieinī aēibiīō kaḡm*, *yāiš ...*, ‘they that blaspheme(?) for the sake of those with whom ...’, corresponds to Vedic *kām* ‘well’, which is also used after datives, especially at verse-end, meaning ‘for the sake of’.

**304.** For *cā* as a generalizing particle in relative clauses, making ‘who’ into ‘whoever’, see §141.

**305.** The most frequent focusing particle in the *Gāthās* is *cīt* (= Vedic *cid*). It emphasizes the word to which it is attached, usually a noun or pronoun, giving

109 In 28. 11 *yāiš ā aḡhuš paouruiīō bauuaṭ*, ‘through which the pristine existence may come about’, the *ā* perhaps rather modifies *bauuaṭ* so as to mean *adsit*; cf. 30. 7; 31. 9; *YH* 39. 1. In several places the addition of the particle after *yā* or *tā* would mend defective metre: 43. 4, 10; 45. 3; 49. 3.

110 Lommel’s *ōβōiiahī* ‘thou frightenest’ looks plausible, but *vī.duuaēšā-* should mean ‘countering the enemy’, ‘opposing hatred’, and so be a predicate of Mazdā himself or his supporters; in any case the *-am* ending is wrong, and the half-line has too many syllables.

111 *aiiēm* is my emendation for *aḡrō*, which is a senseless anticipation from the end of the line: West (2008), 127.

the sense of ‘X at least’ or ‘even X’: 29. 6 *nōiṭ aēuuā ahū vistō naēdā ratuš ašāt-cīṭ hacā*, ‘indeed no patron has been found, nor a ruling, at any rate in line with Right’; 29. 10 *azēmciṭ*, ‘I at any rate’, ‘I for one’, cf. 46. 18; 30. 1 *yā mazdādhā hīiat.cīṭ vīdušē*, ‘things that you are to bring to attention even in the case of one who knows’ (cf. §312); 31. 5 *tācīṭ* ... *yā nōiṭ vā aḥaṭ aḥhaiṭi vā*, ‘just those things that will not be or will be’, cf. 32. 11; 44. 3; 46. 19; 31. 7 *nūrēmciṭ*, ‘even now’, cf. 51. 1 *nūciṭ* ‘right now’; 32. 8 *Vīuuajhušō* ... *Yīmas-cīṭ*, ‘the son of Vīvahvant, Yīma himself’, cf. 14; *ibid.*, *aēšamciṭ*, ‘regarding those very things’; 32. 16 *hamēm taṭ vahištācīṭ*, ‘that is equal to the very best’; *ibid.*, *yehiiā mā aiṭiščīṭ duuaēdhā*, ‘of that whose very danger is a threat’; 33. 14 *Zaradūštrō tanuuascīṭ xʷaxiiā uštanəm dadāiṭi*, ‘Zarathuštra dedicates the energy of his own very body’; 34. 7 *yōi* ... *aspēncīṭ sādrcācīṭ caxraiō ušaurū*, ‘who can make even misfortunes, even sorrows innocuous’; 34. 13 *daēnā saošiiantam yā hūkeratā Ašācīṭ uruuāxšaṭ*, ‘(the road) on which the Promoters’ moral selves advance from Right itself’; 47. 4 *kasēušcīṭ nā ašāunē kādhō aḥaṭ; isuuācīṭ haš paraoš akō drəguaiētē*, ‘even the poor man may be kind to the righteous one, even the man of much means malign towards the wicked’.

For the special use of *cīṭ* with the interrogative stem *ka-* to make an indefinite pronoun see §142. This is the only use of *cīṭ* found in *YH*.

**306.** In 29. 4, in a polar expression referring to past and future, the contrasted adverbs of time are each underlined with *ciṭiṭ*, a combination of *cīṭ* and *iṭ* (§300): *saxʷarē* ..., *yā zī vāuuərəzōi pairī ciṭiṭ* ... *yācā varəšaitē aipī ciṭiṭ*, ‘initiatives, both those that have been enacted before and those that will be hereafter’.

**307.** In negative sentences *cinā* is used instead of *cīṭ*: 30. 6 *aiiā nōiṭ əraš vi.šiiātā daēuuā.cinā*, ‘between those two not even the Daevas discriminate rightly’; 31. 10 *nōiṭ, Mazdā, auuāstriiō dauuāscinā humərətōiš baxštā*, ‘the non-herdsman, Mazdā, drive(?) her as he might, did not get her goodwill’.

In 29. 6 (quoted in §305), where we have *cīṭ*, the negative does not relate to the emphasized word in the same way; it is not ‘not even in line with Right’.

**308.** The enclitic *bā* makes an isolated appearance in Old Avestan at *YH* 35. 5, *huxšadrōtəmāi bā aṭ xšadrəm* ... *dadəmahičā cīšmahicā huuənmahicā*, ‘to the best ruler we dedicate, assign, and inaugurate rule’. In the Younger Avesta, where it is commoner, it regularly comes, as here, after the first word of the sentence, to which it lends emphasis. That it takes precedence over the sentence connective *aṭ* implies its close connection with the word *huxšadrōtəmāi*.

**309.** For the particle *nā* that appears in some interrogative sentences see §136. Attached to *cīṭ*, it makes the question-marker *ciṭənanā*, which is strong enough to stand in initial (non-enclitic) position: see §137. Attached to *yaṭ* (= *hīiat*), it makes the relative adverb/conjunction *yaṭənanā*, for which see §135.

**310.** Enclitic *mā* (= Vedic *sma*) has to be distinguished from three homophones: the prohibitive particle *mā*, the accusative personal pronoun ‘me’, and the instrumental of the possessive adjective *ma-* ‘my’. It is used especially after a relative or demonstrative pronoun, to emphasize that it is *that very one*. It does not occur in *YH*.

With relative (like Greek ὅς περ): 31. 5 *Vohū Manaḡhā ... yehiiā mā ərəšiš*, ‘with Good Thought, the one whose prophet (I am)’; 32. 16 *xšaiiḡs ... yehiiā mā aiθišcīṭ duuaēθā*, ‘in control of just that whose very danger is a threat’, cf. 48. 9; 43. 10 *aṭ tū mōi dāiš Aṣəm, hiiat mā zaozaomī*, ‘show me Right, the one I constantly invoke’; 44. 12 *yā mā drəguuā θβā sauuā paiṭi.ərətē*, ‘the wrongful one, the very one who takes pleasure in opposing thy gains’; 46. 9 *kā huuō, yā mā aradrō cōiṭaṭ paouruiō*, ‘who is he, that zealous one who will be the first to recognize ...?’

With demonstrative: 32. 10 *huuō mā nā srauuā mōrəndaṭ, yā ...*, ‘that is the man who perverts good repute, the one that ...’, cf. 11; 50. 2 *akəs tāng mā niš qsiā*, ‘drive out those evil ones (specifically)’.

The remaining instances are: 43. 9 *rātəm nəmaḡhō Ašahiiā mā, yauuaṭ isāi, mantiāi*, ‘the tribute of reverence of Right, so far as I can, I will be thinking of’; 46. 9 *yā tōi Aṣā, yā Aṣāi gəuš tašā mraoṭ, išəntī mā tā tōi vohū manaḡhā*, ‘what things Right said to thee, and the maker of the cow to Right, they put into practice for thee with good thought’; or more likely it is a question, ‘do they put them into practice?’

**311.** Besides its use as a sentence particle, *zī* appears a number of times following a relative pronoun in clauses of a comprehensive character, especially those embracing past, present, and future: 29. 4, see §306; 33. 10 *tā huṭtaiiō, yā zī aḡharā yāscā həṭṭi yāscā ... buuaiṭi*, ‘those good lives that have been and that are and that will come to be’; 45. 7 *yōi zī juuā aḡharacā buuaiṭicā*, ‘those living and who have been and who will come to be’. Others: 31. 14 *tā ... yā zī ā aēṭi jəṅghaticā*, ‘those things that are approaching and will come’; 34. 14 *vəḡhəuš šiiəoṭnā manaḡhō, yōi zī gəuš vərəzənē aziā*, ‘through enactment of good thought (by) those in the community of the milch cow’; 47. 5 *ašāunē cōiš yā zī cīcā vahištā*, ‘thou assignest to the righteous one whatever best things there be’.

In 45. 8 *nū zīṭ ... vī.adarəsam*, *zīṭ* is taken to be for *zī iṭ* and to be emphasizing *nū*, ‘just now’; cf. §133.

**312.** The neuter relative pronoun *hiiat* is used as a particle with the sense ‘when it comes to’, ‘as regards’: 30. 1 *yā mazdāθā hiiat.cīṭ vīdušē*, ‘things that you are to bring to attention even (when it comes) to one who knows’; 31. 14 *yāθā tā aḡhan həṅkerətā hiiat*, ‘how those (requisites) will be (as regards) at the Reckoning’; 33. 1 *šiiəoṭnā razištā drəguuataēcā hiiatcā ašāunē*, ‘by action most just both for the wrongful one and (as regards) for the rightful one’; *YH* 35. 5 and 40. 1 *ahmaṭ hiiat aibi*, ‘insofar as depends on us’.

In *YH* 35. 5 it stands for ‘which is to say’, ‘namely’: *huxšadrōtəmāi bā aṭ xšadrəm ... dadəmahicā cīšmahicā huuaḡmahicā, hiiat Mazdāi Ahurāi*, ‘to the best

ruler we dedicate, assign, and inaugurate rule, that is, to Mazdā the Lord'.<sup>112</sup> In 37. 4 *Aṣəm aṭ vahištəm yazamaidē hiiat sraēštəm, hiiat spəntəm, <hiiat>*<sup>113</sup> *aməṣəm* etc., it is possible to take *hiiat* as simply the neuter pronoun after the neuter *Aṣəm*, but it may rather have the force of 'because', 'qua': 'Best Right we worship as the fairest, as bounteous, as immortal'. In 39. 2 *daitikanəmcā aidiiūnaṃ hiiat urunō*, it is 'and the souls of wild creatures insofar as they are harmless

---

112 This foreshadows the wider use of *yaṭ* as an indeclinable relative particle in the later Avesta.

113 For my insertion of the third *hiiat* cf. West (2008), 130.

